Yahoo Group Archive: bayscan (2002-12)

Yahoo Group summary: Scanning enthusiasts in Bay area

These Yahoo Groups messages were archived in WARC format by ArchiveTeam in 2016. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the WARC files in 2026.

Date span: 2002-12-01 through 2002-12-31

Source WARC: yahoo-groups-2016-02-01T12:27:03Z-ffd4nq.warc.gz

Source item: https://archive.org/details/yahoo-groups-2016-02-01T12-27-03Z-ffd4nq

Messages included in this PDF: 799

Message records with no body available in the source archive: 15

Yahoo replaced the domain portions of email addresses with @... in the archived messages. Characters lost in Yahoo's archived text appear as ?.

Messages are presented in ascending Yahoo message-ID order. Gaps indicate records absent from the source WARC or messages omitted from this PDF as spam.

17203. Re: [bayscan] TEST

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-01 00:09:27 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 12609 Message: 17203

thanks for your opinion and grace : (

jeffrey

At 03:04 PM 11/30/2002 -0800, you wrote:
>It's old and the responses aren't funny. Tests are just annoying; pointless
>messages about them makes them double-plus annoying.
>
>--On Saturday, November 30, 2002 8.17 -0800 w0web <w0web@...> wrote:
>
> > so I did something right today?
> > George H. (w0web)
> > w0web@...
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "Bill" <MFDResq1@...>
> > To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> > Sent: Saturday, November 30, 2002 07:41
> > Subject: Re: [bayscan] TEST
> >
> >
> >> a passing grade would be at least an C+
> >> George H.
> >>
> >>
> >> LOL
> >>
> >> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> > ---
> > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > Checked by AVG anti-virus system
> (<http://www.grisoft.com).>http://www.grisoft.com).
> > Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/25/2002
> >
> >
> >

> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>--
>| Kenton A. Hoover | |
>| Private Citizen | +1.415.850.5924 |
>| San Francisco, California USA | shibumi@... |
>+==========
><http://kc6sst.marchordie.org>http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==========+
>| "You leg wound people, you're so sensitive! Can't you just |
>| get over it?!?" |
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
><http://rd.yahoo.com/M=234081.2711418.4084139.1925585/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=13279
85/R=0/*http://ad.doubleclick.net/clk;4870024;7586687;x?http://www.ameriquestmortgage.com/
welcome.html?ad=Yahoo01>505a5.jpg
>505e3.jpg
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17204. instant messanger

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-01 01:53:34 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17204 Message: 17204

now I have another way to drive you all crazy

I am jeffreyinhollister on yahoo instant messanger

so yell at me there

Jeffrey <><

17205. Re: [bayscan] Encryption

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-01 01:51:02 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 7201 Message: 17205

No, shortly after I sent you the email, Bundy logged on a we chatted about it and a few other things. Narcotics, vice, that kind of thing will be encrypted. There are already a couple EMS channels doing that (to keep last names, etc., from being heard by the general public, etc.) regular PD channels (like A1 thru A12) will stay analog as well SFFD.
ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17206. (no subject)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-01 01:57:02 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17206 Message: 17206

county engine9311,and Hollister city responding to wild oak drive structure fire

fire in kitchen spreading from oven and the fire trucks are in the middle of the lights on parade

look out santa

Jeffrey <><

17207. Re: [bayscan]

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-01 01:55:17 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17207 Message: 17207

[Body unavailable in the source archive]

17208. Re: [bayscan]

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-01 01:56:34 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17208 Message: 17208

Does Santa have an SCBA? does he have a Nomex suit too?

Hehehehh , quiet tonight!
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17209. RE: [bayscan] Encryption

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-01 02:00:38 UTC
From: Vincent
Topic: 7201 Message: 17209

Ed
That is what I thought. Do you know or where I can get an accurate SF trunk system talkgorup list. I have Kelty's 2002 Trunked Radio System. I'm also am a contributor to Trunked Information Radio site. However, I notice there are some discrepancies.

Regards,

Vince

-----Original Message-----
From: caladded@... [mailto:caladded@...]
Sent: Saturday, November 30, 2002 5:51 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Encryption

No, shortly after I sent you the email, Bundy logged on a we chatted about it
and a few other things. Narcotics, vice, that kind of thing will be encrypted. There are already a couple EMS channels doing that (to keep last names, etc., from being heard by the general public, etc.) regular PD channels (like A1 thru A12) will stay analog as well SFFD.
ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

<http://rd.yahoo.com/M=234081.2711418.4084139.1925585/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1327985/R=0/*http://ad.doubleclick.net/clk;4870024;7586687;x?http://www.ameriquestmortgage.com/welcome.html?ad=Yahoo01>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17210. Re: [bayscan] TEST

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-01 02:27:52 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 12609 Message: 17210

I wasn't speaking of the test messages; just the weird impulse some have to reply to them. I fully understand the urge to make sure the list is still working, given the poor standards Yahoo seems to believe in for e-mail transfer.

--On Saturday, November 30, 2002 16.09 -0800 Jeff & Pam <jpschil@...>
wrote:

> thanks for your opinion and grace : (
>
>
> jeffrey

--
Kenton A. Hoover	
Private Citizen	+1.415.850.5924
San Francisco, California USA	shibumi@...
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
| "You leg wound people, you're so sensitive! Can't you just |
| get over it?!?" |

17211. Re: [bayscan] TEST

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-01 02:52:38 UTC
From: w0web
Topic: 12609 Message: 17211

Get over it, your point was make a long time ago, and if you do not like the
banter that goes on here, leave, as I have been told many times.
George H. (w0web)
w0web@...
----- Original Message -----
From: "Kenton A. Hoover" <shibumi@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, November 30, 2002 18:27
Subject: Re: [bayscan] TEST

> I wasn't speaking of the test messages; just the weird impulse some have
to
> reply to them. I fully understand the urge to make sure the list is still
> working, given the poor standards Yahoo seems to believe in for e-mail
transfer.
>
> --On Saturday, November 30, 2002 16.09 -0800 Jeff & Pam
<jpschil@...>
> wrote:
>
> > thanks for your opinion and grace : (
> >
> >
> > jeffrey
>
> --
> | Kenton A. Hoover | |
> | Private Citizen | +1.415.850.5924 |
> | San Francisco, California USA | shibumi@... |
> +================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
> | "You leg wound people, you're so sensitive! Can't you just |
> | get over it?!?" |
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/25/2002

17212. OES 2

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-01 02:59:45 UTC
From: w0web
Topic: 17212 Message: 17212

my Bad, scanner got stuck on stupid (I stopped it and forgot to go back and hit scan)
George H. (w0web)
w0web@...

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/25/2002

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17213. OES 2

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-01 02:54:44 UTC
From: w0web
Topic: 17213 Message: 17213

there is a dead carrier on OES 2 17456 and it has been there for about an hour, anyone know what's up ????
George H. (w0web)
w0web@...

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/25/2002

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17214. Re: [bayscan] OES 2

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-01 03:11:26 UTC
From: w0web
Topic: 17212 Message: 17214

my bad , scanner was stuck on stupid (forgot to hit the scan key)
George H. (w0web)
w0web@...
----- Original Message -----
From: "w0web" <w0web@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, November 30, 2002 18:54
Subject: [bayscan] OES 2

> there is a dead carrier on OES 2 17456 and it has been there for about an
hour, anyone know what's up ????
> George H. (w0web)
> w0web@...
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/25/2002
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/25/2002

17215. Re: [bayscan] Encryption

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-01 03:49:29 UTC
From: J. Smith
Topic: 7201 Message: 17215

No, previously these talkgroups have been digital, now they will be encrypted.

On the other hand, there seems to be a concerted switch to digital talkgroups going on as well. In the last fortnight I've found about a score and a half new digital groups in 'Frisco. "Switch" may be the wrong word because there doesn't seem to be a diminishment in the amount of regular traffic, I suppose "addition" is a better term. There is also an apparent realignment of purpose among the talkgroups currently assigned but not often used (unrelated tg used for stakeouts, etc.). It looks like there's work to make some sweeping changes, probably effective 1/1/03.

Obviously switching to digital restricts reception to authorized radios and digital scanners. Is there any advantage to digital talkgroups? What's the motivation for such a move? If privacy of public agencies is the only goal, why not skip digital and go directly to encryption?

----- Original Message -----
From: "Vincent" <vince48@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, November 30, 2002 1:38 PM
Subject: RE: [bayscan] Encryption

> Bundy
> I thought these talkgroups were encrypt already?
>
> Vince
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Bundy Chanock [mailto:bundy@...]
> Sent: Thursday, November 28, 2002 7:19 AM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Cc: SCANNORCAL (E-mail)
> Subject: [bayscan] Encryption
>
> Well folks.....they're made the switch in San Francisco.
>
> All of the talkgroups which were digital...narc, vice, sid, etc....are now
> encrypted. No more straight digital in San Francisco. Those of you who
were
> waiting for the new scanners so that you could listen to it i SF will be
> disappointed. Unless, of course they blow their keys and don't realize it
> for a day or two.
>
> Bundy

>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
<http://rd.yahoo.com/M=234081.2711418.4084139.1925585/D=egroupweb/S=17050631
>
08:HM/A=1327985/R=0/*http://ad.doubleclick.net/clk;4870024;7586687;x?http://
> www.ameriquestmortgage.com/welcome.html?ad=Yahoo01>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

17216. Re: [bayscan] Encryption

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-01 04:03:18 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 7201 Message: 17216

You got the same resources I do. In fact, I could learn something from you? which are the wrong ones? LOL. I know the FREQs are right. Which TGs? thanks
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17217. Re: [bayscan] Encryption

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-01 04:05:24 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 7201 Message: 17217

[Body unavailable in the source archive]

17218. Re: [bayscan] Encryption

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-01 04:09:01 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 7201 Message: 17218

Thats what he said? They were digital, now they are encrypted. Nothing more needs to be added. He also pointed out the changes already HAVE been made, NOT 1/1/03. Bundy has the best information as far as San Francisco stuff on this site .....period
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17219. Re: [bayscan] instant messanger

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-01 06:01:35 UTC
From: PHILIP HUMES
Topic: 17204 Message: 17219

I'd rather yell at you here so all can hear and see!!!!! 8-) lol
----- Original Message -----
From: Jeff & Pam
To: BAYSCAN@... ; CENCALFIRE@... ; NORCALFIRE@...
Sent: Saturday, November 30, 2002 5:53 PM
Subject: [bayscan] instant messanger

now I have another way to drive you all crazy

I am jeffreyinhollister on yahoo instant messanger

so yell at me there

Jeffrey <><

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17220. Re: [bayscan] TEST

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-01 06:02:51 UTC
From: PHILIP HUMES
Topic: 12609 Message: 17220

lighten up hoover. Some of us have a sense of humor

Philip
----- Original Message -----
From: Kenton A. Hoover
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, November 30, 2002 6:27 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] TEST

I wasn't speaking of the test messages; just the weird impulse some have to
reply to them. I fully understand the urge to make sure the list is still
working, given the poor standards Yahoo seems to believe in for e-mail transfer.

--On Saturday, November 30, 2002 16.09 -0800 Jeff & Pam <jpschil@...>
wrote:

> thanks for your opinion and grace : (
>
>
> jeffrey

--
Kenton A. Hoover	
Private Citizen	+1.415.850.5924
San Francisco, California USA	shibumi@...
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
| "You leg wound people, you're so sensitive! Can't you just |
| get over it?!?" |

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17221. RE: [bayscan] instant messanger

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-01 05:00:17 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 17204 Message: 17221

hahaha good one.. i'm in favor of total disclosure too =)
-----Original Message-----
From: PHILIP HUMES [mailto:pehumes@...]
Sent: Saturday, November 30, 2002 10:02 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] instant messanger

I'd rather yell at you here so all can hear and see!!!!! 8-) lol
----- Original Message -----
From: Jeff & Pam
To: BAYSCAN@... ; CENCALFIRE@... ;
NORCALFIRE@...
Sent: Saturday, November 30, 2002 5:53 PM
Subject: [bayscan] instant messanger

now I have another way to drive you all crazy

I am jeffreyinhollister on yahoo instant messanger

so yell at me there

Jeffrey <><

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17222. RE: Encryption

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-01 13:49:20 UTC
From: Bundy Chanock
Topic: 7201 Message: 17222

Hi Vince,

No, they were previously just digital. As of last week, they are all now encrypted.

Bundy

>From: "Vincent" <vince48@...>
>Subject: RE: Encryption

>Bundy
>I thought these talkgroups were encrypt already?

>Vince

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17223. Re: [bayscan] Encryption

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-01 13:54:14 UTC
From: Bundy Chanock
Topic: 7201 Message: 17223

Hi Vince,

No, I'm not saying that. I'm saying that the ones which were ALREADY digital are now encrypted.

Here was the first part of my original message:

"All of the talkgroups which were digital...narc, vice, sid, etc....are now encrypted. No more straight digital in San Francisco".

The talkgroups which are analog will remain analog for the time being, so you can still listen to Fire and PD A1-A16 for example.

Bundy

>Ed
>I hear you. I too have a 785 ordered. I'm really debating in getting the
>card. I might. Not a bunch of digital signals in this area.
>Ed, is Bundy saying "all" SFPD talkgroups will be encrypt now?

>Vince

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17224. RE: [bayscan] Encryption

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-01 16:10:49 UTC
From: Vincent
Topic: 7201 Message: 17224

Bundy
Greetings. I understand. I always thought these groups were encrypted, not realizing that it was a digital signal I was hearing. I understand now. Thanks for the clarification.

Vince

-----Original Message-----
From: Bundy Chanock [mailto:bundy@...]
Sent: Sunday, December 01, 2002 5:54 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Encryption

Hi Vince,

No, I'm not saying that. I'm saying that the ones which were ALREADY digital are now encrypted.

Here was the first part of my original message:

"All of the talkgroups which were digital...narc, vice, sid, etc....are now encrypted. No more straight digital in San Francisco".

The talkgroups which are analog will remain analog for the time being, so you can still listen to Fire and PD A1-A16 for example.

Bundy

>Ed
>I hear you. I too have a 785 ordered. I'm really debating in getting the
>card. I might. Not a bunch of digital signals in this area.
>Ed, is Bundy saying "all" SFPD talkgroups will be encrypt now?

>Vince

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

<http://rd.yahoo.com/M=233351.2658116.4039490.1925585/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1341247/R=0/*https://www.gotomypc.com/tr/yh/grp/300_mapG/g22lp?Target=mm/g22lp.tmpl>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17225. new weather group

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-01 16:36:07 UTC
From: Bill
Topic: 17225 Message: 17225

i created a new weather group for weather alerts if you like to join please do sorry if this is off topic

USSWA-subscribe@yahoogroups.com

- Bill

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17226. Rescue / CDF /Stanislaus County

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-02 02:32:02 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 17226 Message: 17226

CDf has been working a MVA on Del Puerto Canyon road , west of I-5 somewhere near the S.Clara/Stanislaus line. One vehicle over a cliff , about 150 ft down in a very precaurious (sic) position. one still trapped as over 1745. High Angle rescue in progress looking for more lights etc. On CDF SCU 151.445 , not sure what tac channel. very little radio traffic on the above freq now. on CHP webpage , but not much information (Merced office) Accident occured a good 2-1/2 hours ago
Ed

17227. Pro-95

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-02 04:04:29 UTC
From: w0web
Topic: 17227 Message: 17227

is anyone using any software for programming and control of the radio shack pro-95 ???
George H. (w0web)
w0web@...

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/25/2002

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17228. Update to Industrial Radio System Addendum

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-02 04:34:07 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz
Topic: 13280 Message: 17228

Hi all;

The IRS addendum has been updated and corrected

For a copy go to;

http://www.saltydogradio.com/irs/IRS_Addendum_20021201.pdf

Send additions and corrections to Bob Eisner at
rheisner@...

Regards

Ed

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail Plus - Powerful. Affordable. Sign up now.
http://mailplus.yahoo.com

17229. Re: [bayscan] Rescue / CDF /Stanislaus County

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-02 04:57:01 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17226 Message: 17229

wow can you give an update I heard that call come in about what 2:30 pm is
it still going on?

jeffrey

At 02:32 AM 12/2/2002 +0000, you wrote:
>CDf has been working a MVA on Del Puerto Canyon road , west of I-5
>somewhere near the S.Clara/Stanislaus line. One vehicle over a
>cliff , about 150 ft down in a very precaurious (sic) position. one
>still trapped as over 1745. High Angle rescue in progress looking for
>more lights etc. On CDF SCU 151.445 , not sure what tac channel. very
>little radio traffic on the above freq now. on CHP webpage , but not
>much information (Merced office) Accident occured a good 2-1/2 hours
>ago
>Ed
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
><http://rd.yahoo.com/M=234081.2711418.4084139.1925585/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1327986/R=0/*http://ad.doubleclick.net/clk;4870027;7586687;a?http://www.ameriquestmortgage.com/welcome.html?ad=Yahoo01>18ba62.jpg
>18bab0.jpg
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17230. cencalfire chat

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-02 05:06:13 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17230 Message: 17230

chat room open and active till 9:30 come on in

17231. Coast Guard Freq.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-02 14:16:37 UTC
From: w0web
Topic: 17231 Message: 17231

anyone have the local C.G. Freq. for the bay area ???
Thanks

17232. Re: [bayscan] Coast Guard Freq.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-02 14:34:49 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 17231 Message: 17232

> anyone have the local C.G. Freq. for the bay area ???

There are a bunch of them, including a healthy subset of the Marine channels.

First of all, there's VTS: http://www.uscg.mil/d11/vtssf/

The site has lots of maps and there's a communications primer all about operations in and around the Bay. Channel 14 (156.7) is where you'll find them. They monitor 16 (156.8) and sort of "live" on 21A/22A (157.05/157.10). "San Fran Air" can be found on 381.8; my experience has been that the local choppers check in 10 minutes prior to landing at SFO.

You should note that the Coast Guard is further along than many other services in providing encrypted comms for even routine activities, so there's not much to listen to besides the interaction with the public.

/jordan

17233. Re: [bayscan] Coast Guard Freq.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-02 14:39:37 UTC
From: w0web
Topic: 17231 Message: 17233

Thank you, very helpful
George H. (w0web)
w0web@...
----- Original Message -----
From: "Jordan Hayes" <jmhayes@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2002 06:34
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Coast Guard Freq.

> > anyone have the local C.G. Freq. for the bay area ???
>
> There are a bunch of them, including a healthy subset of the Marine
> channels.
>
> First of all, there's VTS: http://www.uscg.mil/d11/vtssf/
>
> The site has lots of maps and there's a communications primer all about
> operations in and around the Bay. Channel 14 (156.7) is where you'll
> find them. They monitor 16 (156.8) and sort of "live" on 21A/22A
> (157.05/157.10). "San Fran Air" can be found on 381.8; my experience
> has been that the local choppers check in 10 minutes prior to landing at
> SFO.
>
> You should note that the Coast Guard is further along than many other
> services in providing encrypted comms for even routine activities, so
> there's not much to listen to besides the interaction with the public.
>
> /jordan
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/25/2002

17234. Re: [bayscan] Coast Guard Freq.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-02 16:30:30 UTC
From: PHILIP HUMES
Topic: 17231 Message: 17234

If you have a shortwave receiver, their primary HF freq is 5696kHz usb

Philip Humes
----- Original Message -----
From: Jordan Hayes
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2002 6:34 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Coast Guard Freq.

> anyone have the local C.G. Freq. for the bay area ???

There are a bunch of them, including a healthy subset of the Marine channels.

First of all, there's VTS: http://www.uscg.mil/d11/vtssf/

The site has lots of maps and there's a communications primer all about operations in and around the Bay. Channel 14 (156.7) is where you'll find them. They monitor 16 (156.8) and sort of "live" on 21A/22A (157.05/157.10). "San Fran Air" can be found on 381.8; my experience has been that the local choppers check in 10 minutes prior to landing at SFO.

You should note that the Coast Guard is further along than many other services in providing encrypted comms for even routine activities, so there's not much to listen to besides the interaction with the public.

/jordan

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17235. Re: [bayscan] Pro-95

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-02 16:36:52 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17227 Message: 17235

I don't know but think about it, why would any idiot want to program a 1000 channel scanner without software? I sure as heck am not when I get my BC250D .....soon hopefully (it IS December) :-)

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17236. Re: [bayscan] Rescue / CDF /Stanislaus County

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-02 16:38:22 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17226 Message: 17236

Sorry Jeff, I had been up since 4 am and went and crashed, but traffic on 151.445 was real light, so..... hope its on the news or in the paper check the murky news
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17237. Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-02 16:54:29 UTC
From: J. Smith
Topic: 17237 Message: 17237

```
> You should note that the Coast Guard is further along than many other
> services in providing encrypted comms for even routine activities, so
> there's not much to listen to besides the interaction with the public.

Does it seem to others that we're in the final decade of interesting
scanning as a hobby? With this trend toward encryption the only things
we'll be able to receive soon will be fire, MUNI and taxi services.
```

17238. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-02 18:07:03 UTC
From: PHILIP HUMES
Topic: 17237 Message: 17238

You can also get trains, planes, garbage trucks, and all the business band stuff.
Philip
----- Original Message -----
From: J. Smith
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2002 8:54 AM
Subject: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

> You should note that the Coast Guard is further along than many other
> services in providing encrypted comms for even routine activities, so
> there's not much to listen to besides the interaction with the public.

Does it seem to others that we're in the final decade of interesting
scanning as a hobby? With this trend toward encryption the only things
we'll be able to receive soon will be fire, MUNI and taxi services.

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17239. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-02 17:10:48 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17237 Message: 17239

I wouldn't make any assumptions about taxi services -- fare poaching is such an issue between companies they'll go encrypted as soon as it is cheap and foolproof enough. Unless those Gandolf MDTs take over first.

But otherwise, I think that all we'll be able to listen to come 2020 is fire. The bus services never want to advertise when the bus is going to appear. That's why they travel in packs.

Of course, if Motorola keeps up their desire for 300% margins on encryption products, we might make it to the next century.

Traffic analysis -- the next frontier for scanners. :-)

--On Monday, December 2, 2002 8.54 -0800 "J. Smith" <device@...>
wrote:
>
> Does it seem to others that we're in the final decade of interesting
> scanning as a hobby? With this trend toward encryption the only things
> we'll be able to receive soon will be fire, MUNI and taxi services.

--
Kenton A. Hoover	
Private Citizen	+1.415.850.5924
San Francisco, California USA	shibumi@...
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
| "You leg wound people, you're so sensitive! Can't you just |
| get over it?!?" |

17240. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-02 17:15:19 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 17237 Message: 17240

> The bus services never want to advertise when the bus
> is going to appear. That's why they travel in packs.

But see http://www.nextbus.com/ for a different approach.

17241. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-02 17:20:30 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17237 Message: 17241

all the better for us fire fans

Jeffrey In Hollister <><

owner cencalfire

At 08:54 AM 12/2/2002 -0800, you wrote:
> > You should note that the Coast Guard is further along than many other
> > services in providing encrypted comms for even routine activities, so
> > there's not much to listen to besides the interaction with the public.
>
>Does it seem to others that we're in the final decade of interesting
>scanning as a hobby? With this trend toward encryption the only things
>we'll be able to receive soon will be fire, MUNI and taxi services.
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
><http://rd.yahoo.com/M=233351.2658116.4039490.1925585/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1341247/R=0/*https://www.gotomypc.com/tr/yh/grp/300_mapG/g22lp?Target=mm/g22lp.tmpl>7a272d.jpg
>
>7a276c.jpg
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17242. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-02 18:22:11 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17237 Message: 17242

Re: trains. UP is going to APCO 25 over the next seven years. Since there are fewer railroads and likely to be fewer every year, I wouldn't hold out for that.

Business band will go the way of the taxi services.

Garbage trucks though. Wow. Think of the TV possiblities:

Trash! Filmed live on location with the men and women of santitation. All garbage does not require a search warrant once moved to the curb.

More seriously, the needs of "homeland security" are likely to push almost all of the public safety agencies (perhaps even including fire) down the encryption road. What would be more problematic would be if we were to move down the road to low probablitity of intercept equipment like the military. Those systems are usually secure right out of the box.

--On Monday, December 2, 2002 10.07 -0800 PHILIP HUMES
<pehumes@...> wrote:

> You can also get trains, planes, garbage trucks, and all the business band
> stuff. Philip

--
Kenton A. Hoover	
Private Citizen	+1.415.850.5924
San Francisco, California USA	shibumi@...
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
| "You leg wound people, you're so sensitive! Can't you just |
| get over it?!?" |

17243. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-02 18:30:52 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 17237 Message: 17243

How do these digital/encrypted radios sound? Can someone upload some (non-sensitive!) examples?

17244. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-02 18:34:27 UTC
From: J. Smith
Topic: 17237 Message: 17244

> road. What would be more problematic would be if we were to move down the road
> to low probablitity of intercept equipment like the military. Those systems are
> usually secure right out of the box.

I'm not getting your point. Do you mean that these systems, in addition to being digital, are difficult to find at all and thus traffic analysis is defeated? Is there any fun in traffic analysis anyway?

17245. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-02 18:36:17 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 17237 Message: 17245

```
> Is there any fun in traffic analysis anyway?

(ask people who listen to numbers stations and EAMs)
```

17246. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-02 18:36:35 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17237 Message: 17246

Those on this list who think that all public safety is going encrypted seem to forget one thing.....money. Despite all the political stuff re: homeland security, all these new gadgets take money, Motorola isn't going to give them away. Note: if "homeland security" is going to cause all of these public safety agencies to go that way, don't you think of all the local agencies to go encrypted, San Francisco would have switched ALL of their TGs to it, not just the ones listed? I just can't see towns like Pacifica, Half Moon Bay, Tiburon, Newark, etc., etc., etc., spending the money on something they don't need. I, for one, am not worried about it.
(Ps, my 3rd BC780 arrives via my UPS man .....tomorrow)
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17247. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-02 18:44:11 UTC
From: J. Smith
Topic: 17237 Message: 17247

Yes, but at least those examples have the allure of significance that goes along with spies and nuclear weapons. Traffic analysis of your local police department? Sounds like it would verge on the excitement of accounting (no offense intended toward the legions of accounting professionals and hobbyists).

> > Is there any fun in traffic analysis anyway?
>
> (ask people who listen to numbers stations and EAMs)

17248. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-02 18:56:53 UTC
From: J. Smith
Topic: 17237 Message: 17248

Digital sounds like an electronic jackhammer and seems to be louder than voice traffic, but maybe it's just more annoying. Also a bit like a microphone right next to a loud motor, it's rythmic at about 4 cycles a second. If you get SF's trunked system I can point you at some digital TGs so you can experience the annoyance firsthand (64663, 23833, 7303, 40071, 54991, 33710, 29567, + lots more. [some may have switched to digital in the last 3 weeks]). 49328 is a digital data transmission.

Regular radio scanners will receive digital transmissions, they just can't make sense out of them. I've been told that when a trunk scanner is exposed to a trunked encrypted transmission, however, they will not recognize the transmission as a transmission and will continue scanning without anything audible. There may be a silent pause, however.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jordan Hayes" <jmhayes@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2002 10:30 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

> How do these digital/encrypted radios sound? Can someone upload some
> (non-sensitive!) examples?

17249. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-02 18:59:09 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17237 Message: 17249

Lousy?

Here:

http://rover.wiesbaden.netsurf.de/~signals/DIG_intro.htm (mainly EuroMil)

and here:

http://www.unkster.com/digital/

These are the best ones I've found.

The usual tip-off on the encrypted signals is the beginning and end of the transmission. The sync for straight digital versus encrypted digital sounds slightly different.

The NSA Museum has an exhibit where you can hear the quality of the clear voice once it's been run through the various military systems (up to STY phones). Unfortunately, it's not on the web. But if you're in the Baltimore area, I can tell you how to get there.

--On Monday, December 2, 2002 10.30 -0800 Jordan Hayes <jmhayes@...>
wrote:

> How do these digital/encrypted radios sound? Can someone upload some
> (non-sensitive!) examples?

--
Kenton A. Hoover	
Private Citizen	+1.415.850.5924
San Francisco, California USA	shibumi@...
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
| "You leg wound people, you're so sensitive! Can't you just |
| get over it?!?" |

17250. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-02 19:13:34 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17237 Message: 17250

LPI systems make it difficult to find the transmitter. That only works on the field end, but since law enforcement agencies seem to be so happy with half-duplex...

Traffic analysis is boring, boring, boring. However, if you enjoy crosswords or jigsaw puzzles, it might be up your alley. If you want to learn more about traffic analysis, the only general introduction I know of is "Traffic Analysis and the Zendian Problem". You can get a reprint for about $40 from Aegean Press. I once wrote some simple tools for working on the Zendian problem without cryptoanalyzing any of the messages, but never completed it.

One simple idea around traffic analysis I had a while ago was to hook up a trunking scanner to a computer and have it watch the battalion tac channels. When they started to have traffic over a certain threshold, it would then send out a page saying that there might be a working fire in a certain district. Then I could go listen. However, I don't really think it's worth the trouble unless the Bay Area were to have a photo page system like New York or Boston does. This same approach can be used on the encrypted tac channels, since in SF I think there are only two units who use them.

--On Monday, December 2, 2002 10.34 -0800 "J. Smith" <device@...>
wrote:

>> road. What would be more problematic would be if we were to move down the
> road
>> to low probablitity of intercept equipment like the military. Those
> systems are
>> usually secure right out of the box.
>
> I'm not getting your point. Do you mean that these systems, in addition to
> being digital, are difficult to find at all and thus traffic analysis is
> defeated? Is there any fun in traffic analysis anyway?

--
Kenton A. Hoover	
Private Citizen	+1.415.850.5924
San Francisco, California USA	shibumi@...
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
| "You leg wound people, you're so sensitive! Can't you just |
| get over it?!?" |

17251. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-02 19:14:22 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17237 Message: 17251

Numbers stations are interesting, but are really just a categorization exercise. I think they only real find they ever made were the two networks that went dead after they arrested that DIA officer who was spying for Cuba.

EAMs aren't interesting at all. Except for that one clear text one sent back in 1991...

--On Monday, December 2, 2002 10.36 -0800 Jordan Hayes <jmhayes@...>
wrote:
> (ask people who listen to numbers stations and EAMs)

--
Kenton A. Hoover	
Private Citizen	+1.415.850.5924
San Francisco, California USA	shibumi@...
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
| "You leg wound people, you're so sensitive! Can't you just |
| get over it?!?" |

17252. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-02 19:28:36 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17237 Message: 17252

COMINT on the police or fire departments can be useful in the simple example I gave in the earlier note (that is, when the tac channels go hot, likely something is happening). In a military context, there are link tricks that are used to make this less useful. In a public-safety, trunked, digital environment, traffic analysis is very useful, since you don't want to burn up your limited live channel capacity if you can avoid it.

As to accounting being exciting, didn't you know that the other way into the FBI becides the law degree is being a CPA? Or if you can combine both, the sky is the limit!

--On Monday, December 2, 2002 10.44 -0800 "J. Smith" <device@...>
wrote:

> Yes, but at least those examples have the allure of significance that goes
> along with spies and nuclear weapons. Traffic analysis of your local police
> department? Sounds like it would verge on the excitement of accounting (no
> offense intended toward the legions of accounting professionals and
> hobbyists).

--
Kenton A. Hoover	
Private Citizen	+1.415.850.5924
San Francisco, California USA	shibumi@...
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
| "You leg wound people, you're so sensitive! Can't you just |
| get over it?!?" |

17253. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-02 19:35:33 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17237 Message: 17253

Betting that technology is going to stay expensive is always going to be a losing bet. And note that I picked 2020 as the target date, not 2005.

There is no good arguement for putting the dispatch channels on crypto if you have to put a new $1K board in each radio, plus deal with key dumps because of voltage spikes in the cars. However, if the radios are all software-defined anyway and they enable over-the-air rekeying, then it is an easy jump for a department to make.

We forgot to mention the other two non-crypto SF agencies: Parking and Traffic, and the Sheriffs. They bought MTS and MCS radios, which can't be digital or encrypted. This does mean that the event channels will be clear until at least 2005 I guess.

--On Monday, December 2, 2002 13.36 -0500 caladded@... wrote:

> Those on this list who think that all public safety is going encrypted seem
> to forget one thing.....money. Despite all the political stuff re:
> homeland security, all these new gadgets take money, Motorola isn't going to
> give them away. Note: if "homeland security" is going to cause all of these
> public safety agencies to go that way, don't you think of all the local
> agencies to go encrypted, San Francisco would have switched ALL of their TGs
> to it, not just the ones listed? I just can't see towns like Pacifica, Half
> Moon Bay, Tiburon, Newark, etc., etc., etc., spending the money on something
> they don't need. I, for one, am not worried about it.
> (Ps, my 3rd BC780 arrives via my UPS man .....tomorrow)
> Ed
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

--
Kenton A. Hoover	
Private Citizen	+1.415.850.5924
San Francisco, California USA	shibumi@...
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
| "You leg wound people, you're so sensitive! Can't you just |
| get over it?!?" |

17254. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-02 19:39:20 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17237 Message: 17254

There are bits set on the talkgroup selector when encryption is in use. In order to match up key domains, you have to do this. I don't know what the different scanner vendors chose do with encrypted transmissions.

--On Monday, December 2, 2002 10.56 -0800 "J. Smith" <device@...>
wrote:
> I've been told that when a trunk scanner is exposed
> to a trunked encrypted transmission, however, they will not recognize the
> transmission as a transmission and will continue scanning without anything
> audible.
--
Kenton A. Hoover	
Private Citizen	+1.415.850.5924
San Francisco, California USA	shibumi@...
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
| "You leg wound people, you're so sensitive! Can't you just |
| get over it?!?" |

17255. C.G. Radio

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-02 14:37:21 UTC
From: w0web
Topic: 17255 Message: 17255

I found them Thanks everyone
George H. (w0web)
w0web@...

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/25/2002

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17256. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-02 19:58:17 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17237 Message: 17256

A lot probably depends on what happens re: terrorist activity too. Should there be another attack on our shores, the odds of homeland security stuff increasing go way up obviously. OTOH, should they catch Bin Laden, Saddam be knocked out of power or something of that sort, people will begin to feel more comfortable. Americans would love nothing better than to get back to pre 9-11 living. Although I doubt that will happen anytime soon.
Americans love their freedom and that includes freedom of the airwaves.
we will only wait and see.....
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17257. gas leak / San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-02 20:32:11 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 17257 Message: 17257

2 inch meter broke at juvenile hall downtown San Jose/ includes areas of Police admin bldg and Police/Fire communications / Sheriffs hdqts/ courts etc. doing shelter in place , hearing of no evacuations yet. Area around highway 87/ Hedding/ Mission /San Pedro .

Police 460.15 (channel 1)
Fire 153.98 (Command 4 ...repeater!!)

17258. Bay Area frequency file for PRO-95?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-02 20:37:17 UTC
From: writer34us
Topic: 17258 Message: 17258

Programming the freqs was easy (only 28) but entering the TG names for more than 100 of them is very tedious, not to mention the possibility of losing them (happened with my PRO-91) and having to do it again...

Is there a file that will copy this - the actual names such as A9 SFPD dispatch spare - either direct from another 95 or using WIN95?

If so, does anyone have it?

17259. Re: [bayscan] gas leak / San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-02 20:42:04 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17257 Message: 17259

Now they say its a 3 inch main, major leak.....

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17260. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-02 21:41:54 UTC
From: efhoppe@juno.com
Topic: 17237 Message: 17260

Encryption not only ruins fun but hides that agency for the public. Of course, some stuff needs to be hidden but a lot needs to be public information. These agencies do belong to the public. We pay for them, we use them and we need to know that they are doing there jobs legally and correctly. We must presevere the Freedom of information and not havie any corruption or incompetence hidden away in the dark.

Earl
CALIFORNIA ~ USA
KE6CIM
Moderator PC-TECHNICAL

Sign Up for Juno Platinum Internet Access Today
Only $9.95 per month!
Visit www.juno.com

17261. ** Possible Helicopter Down...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 00:11:22 UTC
From: greg
Topic: 17261 Message: 17261

Initial details came through on ALCO SO Dispatch West. EAGLE-6 dispatched to the area of Grizzly Peak in Berkeley to search for a possible helo down. I do not have any talkgroup or frequency information for the incident.

-g-

"You don't live with me... you live among the remains of dead people. You sift through the detritus, read the terrain... you search for signs of passing, for the scent of your prey... and then you hunt them down. That's the only thing you're committed to. The rest is the mess you leave as you pass through."

17262. Re: [bayscan] ** Possible Helicopter Down...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 00:11:28 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 17261 Message: 17262

> Initial details came through on ALCO SO Dispatch West.

That's TG 16016

> EAGLE-6 dispatched to the area of Grizzly Peak in Berkeley
> to search for a possible helo down.

EBRP on their way, too.

It seems like it's vague where the call is; ALCO just said that it was a VOR in the vicinity of Orinda, but there isn't one. EAGLE-6 seems confused.

Nothing on Berkeley about this either.

17263. ** Possible Helicopter Down update...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 00:20:21 UTC
From: greg
Topic: 17263 Message: 17263

VOR of 4 degrees from Oakland (not sure if this is from OAK or not), 7 miles out. This places it in the Orinda area. FAA reported this to ALCO. EAGLE-6 is responding on SO dispatch West.
-g-

"You don't live with me... you live among the remains of dead people. You sift through the detritus, read the terrain... you search for signs of passing, for the scent of your prey... and then you hunt them down. That's the only thing you're committed to. The rest is the mess you leave as you pass through."

17264. Helicopter down update...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 00:23:53 UTC
From: greg
Topic: 17264 Message: 17264

Moraga Country club is the location of the downed helicopter. EAGLE 6 now calling Moraga PD.
-g-

"You don't live with me... you live among the remains of dead people. You sift through the detritus, read the terrain... you search for signs of passing, for the scent of your prey... and then you hunt them down. That's the only thing you're committed to. The rest is the mess you leave as you pass through."

17265. Re: [bayscan] ** Possible Helicopter Down update...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 00:20:41 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 17263 Message: 17265

> VOR of 4 degrees from Oakland

I think this was 47 degrees from the Oakland VOR:

http://www.airnav.com/cgi-bin/navaid-info?id=OAK&type=VORTAC&name=OAKLAND

Which can be seen here:

http://www.acme.com/mapper/mapper.cgi?lat=37.725914&long=-122.22359&scale=11&theme=Image&width=3&height=3&dot=Yes

... the Moraga Country Club is more like 8.77 miles from there, but pretty close!

/jordan

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17266. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 01:13:17 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17237 Message: 17266

Kenton what is your point? are you going to make one sometime soon? if not
let me know so I can filter your rant thank you

Jeffrey
At 10:22 AM 12/2/2002 -0800, you wrote:
>Re: trains. UP is going to APCO 25 over the next seven years. Since there are
>fewer railroads and likely to be fewer every year, I wouldn't hold out for
>that.
>
>Business band will go the way of the taxi services.
>
>Garbage trucks though. Wow. Think of the TV possiblities:
>
>Trash! Filmed live on location with the men and women of santitation. All
>garbage does not require a search warrant once moved to the curb.
>
>More seriously, the needs of "homeland security" are likely to push almost all
>of the public safety agencies (perhaps even including fire) down the
>encryption
>road. What would be more problematic would be if we were to move down the road
>to low probablitity of intercept equipment like the military. Those
>systems are
>usually secure right out of the box.
>
>--On Monday, December 2, 2002 10.07 -0800 PHILIP HUMES
><pehumes@...> wrote:
>
> > You can also get trains, planes, garbage trucks, and all the business band
> > stuff. Philip
>
>--
>| Kenton A. Hoover | |
>| Private Citizen | +1.415.850.5924 |
>| San Francisco, California USA | shibumi@... |
>+==========
><http://kc6sst.marchordie.org>http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==========+
>| "You leg wound people, you're so sensitive! Can't you just |
>| get over it?!?" |
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
><http://rd.yahoo.com/M=234081.2711418.4084139.1925585/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=13279
85/R=0/*http://ad.doubleclick.net/clk;4870024;7586687;x?http://www.ameriquestmortgage.com/
welcome.html?ad=Yahoo01>3863e9.jpg
>386447.jpg

>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17267. Re: [bayscan] Bay Area frequency file for PRO-95?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 01:18:53 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17258 Message: 17267

it could be worse I lost at least 35 diffent 500 channel set ups for my 780
with alpha tags when my PC blew a few weeks back hard drive is un
retrevable waaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa!!!!!!!!!!!

also lost a ton of pics and about 300 songs.....

Jeffrey (learning to back up) in Hollister

At 08:37 PM 12/2/2002 +0000, you wrote:
>Programming the freqs was easy (only 28) but entering the TG names for
>more than 100 of them is very tedious, not to mention the possibility
>of losing them (happened with my PRO-91) and having to do it again...
>
>Is there a file that will copy this - the actual names such as A9 SFPD
>dispatch spare - either direct from another 95 or using WIN95?
>
>If so, does anyone have it?
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
><http://rd.yahoo.com/M=234081.2711418.4084139.1925585/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1328027/R=0/*http://ad.doubleclick.net/clk;4870030;7586687;u?http://www.ameriquestmortgage.com/welcome.html?ad=Yahoo01>3d85e0.jpg
>3d861f.jpg
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17268. Re: [bayscan] ** Possible Helicopter Down update...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 01:15:57 UTC
From: J. Smith
Topic: 17263 Message: 17268

That's interesting. I walked the map due westward just to see what was due west of OAK and found that it's Hunter's Point.

Now, should I add my exact lattitude and longitude to my sig, or would that be understandable only by the most dangerous people? <grin>

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jordan Hayes" <jmhayes@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2002 4:20 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] ** Possible Helicopter Down update...

> > VOR of 4 degrees from Oakland
>
> I think this was 47 degrees from the Oakland VOR:
>
> http://www.airnav.com/cgi-bin/navaid-info?id=OAK&type=VORTAC&name=OAKLAND
>
> Which can be seen here:
>
>
http://www.acme.com/mapper/mapper.cgi?lat=37.725914&long=-122.22359&scale=11
&theme=Image&width=3&height=3&dot=Yes
>
> ... the Moraga Country Club is more like 8.77 miles from there, but pretty
close!
>
> /jordan
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

17269. Re: [bayscan] ** Possible Helicopter Down update...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 01:23:07 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17263 Message: 17269

Guys .....I would BET they Don't mean Oakland, California ... they mean Oakland Center (FAA) which is in the Fremont area ....
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17270. Re: [bayscan] ** Possible Helicopter Down update...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 01:25:38 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 17263 Message: 17270

> I would BET they Don't mean Oakland, California

They meant the Oakland VOR.

17271. Re: [bayscan] ** Possible Helicopter Down update...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 01:30:04 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 17263 Message: 17271

> should I add my exact lattitude and longitude to my sig,
> or would that be understandable only by the most dangerous
> people? <grin>

I'm pretty sure you're already in the targeting database as FOXTROT THREE KILO SLANT FIVE.

But that might have been someone else; I'll check again later.

17272. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 01:47:58 UTC
From: J. Smith
Topic: 17237 Message: 17272

I agree with you, but I fear we're fighting a losing battle. People always seem willing to give up significant liberty in exchange for a little temporary security. We were warned about this by no less than Benjamin Franklin, but here we are.

Then again, it seemed to work for the Soviets for several decades.

----- Original Message -----
From: <efhoppe@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2002 1:41 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

> Encryption not only ruins fun but hides that agency for the public. Of
> course, some stuff needs to be hidden but a lot needs to be public
> information. These agencies do belong to the public. We pay for them,
> we use them and we need to know that they are doing there jobs legally
> and correctly. We must presevere the Freedom of information and not
> havie any corruption or incompetence hidden away in the dark.
>
> Earl
> CALIFORNIA ~ USA
> KE6CIM
> Moderator PC-TECHNICAL

17273. Re: [bayscan] Rescue / CDF /Stanislaus County

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 02:35:27 UTC
From: Darryl Skivers
Topic: 17226 Message: 17273

any idea how the rescue call ended up ? Darryl Skivers
----- Original Message -----
From: <caladded@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2002 8:38 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Rescue / CDF /Stanislaus County

> Sorry Jeff, I had been up since 4 am and went and crashed, but traffic on
> 151.445 was real light, so..... hope its on the news or in the paper
> check the murky news
> Ed
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

17274. Re: [bayscan] ** Possible Helicopter Down ...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 02:42:15 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 17274 Message: 17274

Just to follow-up on this, EAGLE-6 reported that he was "extremely 10-8" and was giving "the pilot and his instructor" (heh) a lift back to Oakland Airport, Sierra Flight School (?). Mechanic on the way to tend to the helo ... A friend of mine drove past the Morage Country Club a few minutes later and said he didn't see any smoke or public safety ...

17275. Fatal accident Cummings Skyway near Crockett blvd

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 02:51:14 UTC
From: aaaarchie_94520
Topic: 17275 Message: 17275

Chp 42.92 Con Fire 159.735 Big Rig vs Car car rearended trucks trailer

17276. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 02:53:17 UTC
From: Glists
Topic: 17237 Message: 17276

The trick with EAMs is the number of times the message is repeated by the
same person. If the message is repeated 3 times, something is up.
Still, you never know exactly what...

At 11:14 AM 12/2/2002 -0800, you wrote:
>Numbers stations are interesting, but are really just a categorization
>exercise. I think they only real find they ever made were the two networks
>that
>went dead after they arrested that DIA officer who was spying for Cuba.
>
>EAMs aren't interesting at all. Except for that one clear text one sent
>back in
>1991...

17277. Re: Fatal accident Cummings Skyway near Crockett blvd update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 02:57:09 UTC
From: aaaarchie_94520
Topic: 17275 Message: 17277

--- In bayscan@y..., "aaaarchie_94520" <aaaarchie@a...> wrote:
> Chp 42.92 Con Fire 159.735 Big Rig vs Car car rearended trucks
trailer
Incident: 1995 Type: Possible Fatality Location:
CUMMINGS SKWY JNO CROCKETT BLVD Zoom Map: 550 7E Info as of:
12/2/2002 6:53:00 PM

ADDITIONAL DETAILS
6:51PM - 1039 DOTCC
6:50PM - BOTH EB/WB 4 ARE STILL OPEN, CUMMINGS IS SHUT DOWN
COMPLETELY
6:49PM - DOT ETA 45 MINUTES
6:48PM - PLZ CONFIRM HWY 4 OPEN IN BOTH DIRECTIONS ? THX
6:40PM - CIVIC CENTER CB ADV D ETA 20-30
6:37PM - 1039 AMERICAN
6:36PM - ROLL FB EVIDENCE TOW
6:34PM - 1039 COCO SO FOR CORONER
6:34PM - ROLL CORONER
6:33PM - 1039 DOTCC
6:33PM - RESP CALTRANS FOR ASSIST ON CLOSURES
6:32PM - 1039 CIVIC CENTER AUTO TOW W/BIG RIG,TOW TO CB W/ETA
6:27PM - ROLL BIG RIG 1185 PLS
6:27PM - ROLL BIG RIG 1185
6:26PM - BIG RIG SIDEWAYS ACROSS NB/SB
6:26PM - BOTH LNS BLKD NEED 1184
6:14PM - DRVR OF OLDS POSS TRAPPED
6:14PM - BLU OLDS VS BIG RIG
6:13PM - RP ADV THIS IS GOING TOWARDS CROCKETT
6:12PM - WHOLE ROADWAY IS BLKD
6:12PM - VEH RAN INTO THE BACK OF THE SEMI
6:12PM - VEH VS BIG RIG

RESPONDING OFFICERS STATUS
6:27PM - CHP Unit Enroute
6:31PM - CHP Unit On Scene
6:52PM - CHP Unit On Scene

17278. Re: [bayscan] ** Possible Helicopter Down update...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 02:58:51 UTC
From: Glists
Topic: 17263 Message: 17278

I believe the BRA coordinates (Bearing&range) use nautical miles. The VOR is the bulls-eye. This nomenclature always seemed like a lot of work to me, but I assume it is handy for pilots.

At 04:20 PM 12/2/2002 -0800, you wrote:
>VOR of 4 degrees from Oakland (not sure if this is from OAK or not), 7
>miles out. This places it in the Orinda area. FAA reported this to ALCO.
> EAGLE-6 is responding on SO dispatch West.
>-g-
>
>
>-----
>
>"You don't live with me... you live among the remains of dead people. You
>sift through the detritus, read the terrain... you search for signs of
>passing, for the scent of your prey... and then you hunt them down.
>That's the only thing you're committed to. The rest is the mess you leave
>as you pass through."
>
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17279. Re: [bayscan] Rescue / CDF /Stanislaus County

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 03:14:30 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17226 Message: 17279

Sorry Darryl, I missed the "end of the story" nothing on local TV news that I saw.
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17280. Re: [bayscan] ** Possible Helicopter Down update...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 03:17:13 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 17263 Message: 17280

> I believe the BRA coordinates (Bearing&range) use
> nautical miles.

(BRA is actually Bearing Range Altitude).

Ah! 7nm =~ 8mi. Makes even more sense!

> This nomenclature always seemed like a lot of work to me,
> but I assume it is handy for pilots.

Seems like it. That way you know how far and in what direction to go to
from where you are to where it is ...

Listen in! OAK is 116.8MHz :-)

/jordan

17281. RE: Possible Helo down Update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 03:44:44 UTC
From: cowboymike1971
Topic: 17281 Message: 17281

I saw a quick blurb on KGO Ch.7 News. It had Mechanical Probs. Landed upright no injuries supposedly. Showed a pic of it, looked damage free.

17282. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 04:23:22 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 17237 Message: 17282

Garbage trucks?? I've never found them in the Albany El Cerrito area. Any ideas for frequencies. And of course, don't forget the source for much of what those garbage trucks pick up, we can still listen to fast food drive throughs!! But, the HomeLand Security may soon incript those as well. Wouldn't want to give anyone ideas about what America eats!! Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: "PHILIP HUMES" <pehumes@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2002 10:07 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

> You can also get trains, planes, garbage trucks, and all the business band stuff.
> Philip
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: J. Smith
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Monday, December 02, 2002 8:54 AM
> Subject: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.
>
>
> > You should note that the Coast Guard is further along than many other
> > services in providing encrypted comms for even routine activities, so
> > there's not much to listen to besides the interaction with the public.
>
> Does it seem to others that we're in the final decade of interesting
> scanning as a hobby? With this trend toward encryption the only things
> we'll be able to receive soon will be fire, MUNI and taxi services.
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>

> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

17283. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 04:25:02 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 17237 Message: 17283

I wouldn't make any assumptions about taxi services -- fare poaching is such
an
> issue between companies they'll go encrypted as soon as it is cheap and
> foolproof enough. Unless those Gandolf MDTs take over first.

Nah, they already use cell phones a lot.

17284. Re: [bayscan] Rescue / CDF /Stanislaus County

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 04:55:16 UTC
From: Darryl Skivers
Topic: 17226 Message: 17284

any local newspaper coverage if so can you e-mail that link Darryl Skivers
----- Original Message -----
From: <caladded@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2002 7:14 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Rescue / CDF /Stanislaus County

> Sorry Darryl, I missed the "end of the story" nothing on local TV news
that I
> saw.
> Ed
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

17285. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 06:10:18 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17237 Message: 17285

Isn't some of the stuff fast foods joints sell really Americas' secret weapon? I broke myself of the habit of going thru them drive thru places sitting on my rear, loaded up with calories listening to the scanners.

(OK, I still do the drive thru part, but only coffee ..... find it relaxing sometimes to get to park someplace with a warm cup and 2 or 3 scanners going.....but thats all part of being a scanner nut.)
actually, garbage trucks, taxis and other non-emergency stuff can be entertaining. We used to have radios in our company vehicles and all was not exactly "professional" radio traffic.
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17286. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.taxis

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 06:15:28 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17286 Message: 17286

My brother is a cab driver, trust me, they do NOT have the money to fill their fleet with new radios. Your right about the cellphones, the drivers own those with their own money. But it makes since, so that their regular customers call them directly. You sure can't blame them, especially since 9-11 hurt tourism so badly, they need every buck they can get. my brother owns his own cab and used to drive maybe 4 days a week, sometimes 3 and would lease the cab back to the company on his days off / hours off. While he still does that part, he needs to drive 5-6 days a week because the number of customers has decreased, especially the airport runs.
Taxi radio traffic can be amusing..... around the 152 MHz area
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17287. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 08:07:17 UTC
From: PHILIP HUMES
Topic: 17237 Message: 17287

search in 461 and 464 mHz

----- Original Message -----
From: Jim Gammon
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2002 8:23 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Garbage trucks?? I've never found them in the Albany El Cerrito area. Any ideas for frequencies. And of course, don't forget the source for much of what those garbage trucks pick up, we can still listen to fast food drive throughs!! But, the HomeLand Security may soon incript those as well. Wouldn't want to give anyone ideas about what America eats!! Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: "PHILIP HUMES" <pehumes@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2002 10:07 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

> You can also get trains, planes, garbage trucks, and all the business band stuff.
> Philip
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: J. Smith
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Monday, December 02, 2002 8:54 AM
> Subject: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.
>
>
> > You should note that the Coast Guard is further along than many other
> > services in providing encrypted comms for even routine activities, so
> > there's not much to listen to besides the interaction with the public.
>
> Does it seem to others that we're in the final decade of interesting
> scanning as a hobby? With this trend toward encryption the only things
> we'll be able to receive soon will be fire, MUNI and taxi services.
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17288. Re: [bayscan] Bay Area frequency file for PRO-95?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 07:16:13 UTC
From: TK
Topic: 17258 Message: 17288

Now that sucks...

Jeff & Pam wrote:

> it could be worse I lost at least 35 diffent 500 channel set ups for my 780
> with alpha tags when my PC blew a few weeks back hard drive is un
> retrevable waaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> also lost a ton of pics and about 300 songs.....
>
> Jeffrey (learning to back up) in Hollister
>
> A

17289. taxi radio service

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-04 08:13:52 UTC
From: PHILIP HUMES
Topic: 17289 Message: 17289

TAXI RADIO SERVICE: Taxis work duplex. Mobile in 152 mHz and Base in 157 mHz.

So here are the pairs of VHF freqs. After that the 452/457 freqs

152.xxx first followed by 157.xxx paired to the 152 freq.

152.270 157.530

152.285 157.545
152.300 157.560

152.315 157.575

152.330 157.590

152.345 157.605

152.360 157.620

152.375 157.635

152.390 157.650

152.405 157.665

152.420 157.680

The UHF freqs:

452 and 457.

050--100--150--200--225--250--275--300--350

400 450 500

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17290. Berkeley PD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 09:29:11 UTC
From: Kent
Topic: 17290 Message: 17290

I live in Berkeley, but for some reason I can't pickup the BPD on 154.19. I can receive 460.175 and 460.250 though. I'm a newbie so maybe I'm doing something wrong. I'm using a Icom IC-Q7A and I'm receiving 154.19 on FM mode. Perhaps I should try AM or WFM?

Thanks for the help!

17291. Re: [bayscan] losing freq / 780

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 10:35:09 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17291 Message: 17291

Iosing frequencies does suck. But the 780 has the memory, remember you can both download and upload to the software. I have screwed around while in the field adding stuff then updating my software by uploading a bank or two. nice feature. I wish it had like a 10 second delay. Shouldn't whine, it does have a nice delay feature with several options, but for my local FD, once the ringdown hit, it would hold it long enough to hear the dispatch as well. oh well, some of us want our cake and eat it too.
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17292. Re: [bayscan] Berkeley PD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 10:40:57 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17290 Message: 17292

Do you mean you can't pick up B F D, Berkeley Fire on that channel, is that just a typo? That is there main freq. Does the radio have CTCSS because other agencies also use that frequency? FM is the right mode
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17293. Re: [bayscan] Bay Area frequency file for PRO-95?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 14:05:54 UTC
From: w0web
Topic: 17258 Message: 17293

floppy disk is what you need store the files on a floppy
George H. (w0web)
w0web@...
----- Original Message -----
From: "TK" <qmp@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2002 23:16
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Bay Area frequency file for PRO-95?

> Now that sucks...
>
> Jeff & Pam wrote:
>
> > it could be worse I lost at least 35 diffent 500 channel set ups for my
780
> > with alpha tags when my PC blew a few weeks back hard drive is un
> > retrevable waaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > also lost a ton of pics and about 300 songs.....
> >
> > Jeffrey (learning to back up) in Hollister
> >
> > A
>
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/25/2002

17294. Helicopter down in Moraga update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 14:50:50 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz
Topic: 17294 Message: 17294

Posted on the FAA's Web site today.

For future reference: http://www2.faa.gov/avr/aai/iirform.htm

Ed

```
**********************************************************************
** Report created 12/3/02 Record 3 **
**********************************************************************

IDENTIFICATION
Regis#: 2302A Make/Model: R22 Description: R-22
Date: 12/02/2002 Time: 2346

Event Type: Incident Highest Injury: None Mid Air: N
Missing: N
Damage: None

LOCATION
City: OAKLAND State: CA Country: US

DESCRIPTION
A ROBINSON R22 HELICOPTER EXECUTED A PRECAUTIONARY LANDING AT THE
MORAGA GOLF COURSE, 7 MILES NORTH OF OAKLAND, CA DUE TO VIBRATIONS.
OTHER CIRCUMSTANES ARE UNKNOWN. OAKLAND, CA

INJURY DATA
Total Fatal: 0
# Crew: 1 Fat: 0 Ser: 0 Min: 0 Unk:
# Pass: 0 Fat: 0 Ser: 0 Min: 0 Unk:
# Grnd: Fat: 0 Ser: 0 Min: 0 Unk:

WEATHER: UNKN

OTHER DATA
Activity: Unknown Phase: Cruise Operation: General
Aviation

Departed: UNKN Dep Date: Dep. Time:
Destination: UNKN Flt Plan:
Wx Briefing:
Last Radio Cont:
Last Clearance:

FAA FSDO: OAKLAND, CA (WP27) Entry date: 12/03/2002
```

17295. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 15:07:21 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17237 Message: 17295

I actually think all of it should be public, or at least reviewable. I'm pretty sure that one agency that isn't getting to monitor what's going on is the Office of Citizen Complaints of the Police Commission. And I think that's a really bad idea for a free society. I used to believe that police agencies could monitor themselves, but a friend of mine on the SF OCC as well as a few too many discussions with friendly police officers (not being sarcastic) made it clear to me that there has to be public oversight.

--On Monday, December 2, 2002 13.41 -0800 efhoppe@... wrote:

> Encryption not only ruins fun but hides that agency for the public. Of
> course, some stuff needs to be hidden but a lot needs to be public
> information. These agencies do belong to the public. We pay for them,
> we use them and we need to know that they are doing there jobs legally
> and correctly. We must presevere the Freedom of information and not
> havie any corruption or incompetence hidden away in the dark.

--
Kenton A. Hoover	
Private Citizen	+1.415.850.5924
San Francisco, California USA	shibumi@...
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
| "You leg wound people, you're so sensitive! Can't you just |
| get over it?!?" |

17296. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 15:08:26 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17237 Message: 17296

And *I* was accused of ranting...

--On Tuesday, December 3, 2002 1.10 -0500 caladded@... wrote:
> Isn't some of the stuff fast foods joints sell really Americas' secret
> weapon? I broke myself of the habit of going thru them drive thru places
> sitting on my rear, loaded up with calories listening to the scanners.

--
Kenton A. Hoover	
Private Citizen	+1.415.850.5924
San Francisco, California USA	shibumi@...
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
| "You leg wound people, you're so sensitive! Can't you just |
| get over it?!?" |

17297. Fire dispatch.com

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 15:18:27 UTC
From: w0web
Topic: 17297 Message: 17297

is there anymore services like this ???(firedispatch.com, I just got a two-way TEXT pager and would like to send up for more
Thanks for the help
George H. (w0web)
w0web@...

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/25/2002

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17298. Re: [bayscan] Fire dispatch.com

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 15:47:54 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17297 Message: 17298

Not locally that I have heard of

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17299. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 16:14:48 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17237 Message: 17299

I disagree. narcotics bust should NOT go public, too dangerous for the officers. BUT they should be taped, for review. I can't tell you how many times I have overheard this stuff on one certain agency that if I was selling drugs, I could easily dump the stuff and / or escape. Most are a bit hesitate with information and use low power transmitters and unknown FREQs but some (if they exist) smart drug sellers could figure it out.
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17300. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 16:16:14 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17237 Message: 17300

"I " didn't accuse you of anything. Leave me out of it.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17301. drill/ Palo alto

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 16:56:24 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 17301 Message: 17301

Palo Alto working a drill on their channel 2 . 482.8125
at Cubberly school on Middlefield/San Antonio , truck into the
school/ explosion/fire/building collapse/ wires down/ gas leak and so
on and so on..... but its a DRILL .....interesting radio traffic
Ed

17302. Re: Berkeley PD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 17:43:06 UTC
From: Kent
Topic: 17290 Message: 17302

It's no typo. I cannot tune to that frequency but hear nothing except static. I was able to pick that freq this morning when I was in the Albany/El Cerrito area. It's weird.

--- In bayscan@y..., caladded@a... wrote:
> Do you mean you can't pick up B F D, Berkeley Fire on that channel, is that
> just a typo? That is there main freq. Does the radio have CTCSS because
> other agencies also use that frequency? FM is the right mode
> Ed
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17303. Re: [bayscan] Re: Berkeley PD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 17:52:34 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17290 Message: 17303

By typo, I mean your are aware that 154.19 is their FIRE FREQ not police, correct? Why you can't get anything is beyond me. Can you get other 150xxx stuff on that radio? By that are you getting hi band VHF stuff other than 154.19 ?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17304. Re: [bayscan] drill/ Palo alto

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 18:18:02 UTC
From: Brian Wolter
Topic: 17301 Message: 17304

Glad you said that this is a drill. That would freak me out! haha

- Brian

17305. Re: Berkeley PD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 18:21:44 UTC
From: Kent
Topic: 17290 Message: 17305

Actually, I'm not aware of that. I just got the scanner and was trying to receive something interesting. I was able to pickup some 150 frequencies in Marin last night but not in Berkeley. I was able to receive channel 7 on 179.580 for the game last night.

What is the BPD freq anyway? 460.175 and 460-250?

--- In bayscan@y..., caladded@a... wrote:
> By typo, I mean your are aware that 154.19 is their FIRE FREQ not police,
> correct? Why you can't get anything is beyond me. Can you get other 150xxx
> stuff on that radio? By that are you getting hi band VHF stuff other than
> 154.19 ?
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17306. Re: [bayscan] drill/ Palo alto

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 19:35:25 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17301 Message: 17306

My office is pretty close to it, I may have to "cruise " on by about 945 to see if its just a radio thing or if they are actually there, if they run it again tomorrow. The whole thing run about 90 minutes.
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17307. Re: [bayscan] Re: Berkeley PD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 19:36:52 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17290 Message: 17307

you have the two good FREQs for BPD 460.175 is channel 1 and 460.250 is channel 2 . for a lot more frequencies try this...
www.scancal.org

Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17308. Re: [bayscan] Helicopter down in Moraga update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 19:52:24 UTC
From: J. Smith
Topic: 17294 Message: 17308

Interesting that another source (having responded to the scene) claimed an instructor and student were on board.

> Posted on the FAA's Web site today.
> Event Type: Incident Highest Injury: None Mid Air: N

> INJURY DATA
> Total Fatal: 0
> # Crew: 1 Fat: 0 Ser: 0 Min: 0 Unk:
> # Pass: 0 Fat: 0 Ser: 0 Min: 0 Unk:
> # Grnd: Fat: 0 Ser: 0 Min: 0 Unk:

17309. Re: [bayscan] Re: Berkeley PD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 21:23:45 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 17290 Message: 17309

> you have the two good FREQs for BPD 460.175 is
> channel 1 and 460.250 is channel 2 . for a lot more
> frequencies try this... www.scancal.org

Actually, scancal.org doesn't have very much at all for Berkeley.

17310. Re: [bayscan] Helicopter down in Moraga update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-03 20:08:16 UTC
From: Glists
Topic: 17294 Message: 17310

Interesting site. Here is an accident description that caught my eye:

DESCRIPTION ROBINSON R22 HELICOPTER BECAME ENTANGLED IN A NET WITH A DEER,
OTHER CIRCUMSTANCES ARE UNKNOWN, CORRIZO SPRINGS, TX

These guys in Texas take their deer hunting seriously.

At 02:50 PM 12/3/2002 +0000, you wrote:
>Posted on the FAA's Web site today.
>
>For future reference: http://www2.faa.gov/avr/aai/iirform.htm
>
>Ed

17311. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-04 01:55:20 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17237 Message: 17311

yes kenton it is all one big right wing conspericy to take away your civil
rights

bla,bla bla bla bla,your mail now gets sent directly to the trash on this end.

Im sure I wont piss anything

73's

At 07:07 AM 12/3/2002 -0800, you wrote:
>I actually think all of it should be public, or at least reviewable. I'm
>pretty
>sure that one agency that isn't getting to monitor what's going on is the
>Office of Citizen Complaints of the Police Commission. And I think that's a
>really bad idea for a free society. I used to believe that police agencies
>could monitor themselves, but a friend of mine on the SF OCC as well as a few
>too many discussions with friendly police officers (not being sarcastic) made
>it clear to me that there has to be public oversight.
>
>--On Monday, December 2, 2002 13.41 -0800 efhoppe@... wrote:
>
> > Encryption not only ruins fun but hides that agency for the public. Of
> > course, some stuff needs to be hidden but a lot needs to be public
> > information. These agencies do belong to the public. We pay for them,
> > we use them and we need to know that they are doing there jobs legally
> > and correctly. We must presevere the Freedom of information and not
> > havie any corruption or incompetence hidden away in the dark.
>
>--
>| Kenton A. Hoover | |
>| Private Citizen | +1.415.850.5924 |
>| San Francisco, California USA | shibumi@... |
>+==========
><http://kc6sst.marchordie.org>http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==========+
>| "You leg wound people, you're so sensitive! Can't you just |
>| get over it?!?" |
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
><http://rd.yahoo.com/M=234081.2711418.4084139.1925585/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=13280
27/R=0/*http://ad.doubleclick.net/clk;4870030;7586687;u?http://www.ameriquestmortgage.com/
welcome.html?ad=Yahoo01>428f00.jpg
>428f4e.jpg
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17312. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-04 15:54:12 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17237 Message: 17312

How nice for you.

--On Tuesday, December 3, 2002 17.55 -0800 Jeff & Pam <jpschil@...>
wrote:
> bla,bla bla bla bla,your mail now gets sent directly to the trash on this end.

--
Kenton A. Hoover	
Private Citizen	+1.415.850.5924
San Francisco, California USA	shibumi@...
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
| "You leg wound people, you're so sensitive! Can't you just |
| get over it?!?" |

17313. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-04 16:45:16 UTC
From: w0web
Topic: 17237 Message: 17313

"can't we all just get along??" Rodney King
George H. (w0web)
w0web@...
----- Original Message -----
From: "Kenton A. Hoover" <shibumi@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2002 07:54
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

> How nice for you.
>
> --On Tuesday, December 3, 2002 17.55 -0800 Jeff & Pam
<jpschil@...>
> wrote:
> > bla,bla bla bla bla,your mail now gets sent directly to the trash on
this end.
>
> --
> | Kenton A. Hoover | |
> | Private Citizen | +1.415.850.5924 |
> | San Francisco, California USA | shibumi@... |
> +================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
> | "You leg wound people, you're so sensitive! Can't you just |
> | get over it?!?" |
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.426 / Virus Database: 239 - Release Date: 12/2/2002

17314. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-05 17:01:17 UTC
From: PHILIP HUMES
Topic: 17237 Message: 17314

Geeze louise, I did not know he was on the list (Rod King)
----- Original Message -----
From: w0web
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2002 8:45 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

"can't we all just get along??" Rodney King
George H. (w0web)
w0web@...
----- Original Message -----
From: "Kenton A. Hoover" <shibumi@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2002 07:54
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

> How nice for you.
>
> --On Tuesday, December 3, 2002 17.55 -0800 Jeff & Pam
<jpschil@...>
> wrote:
> > bla,bla bla bla bla,your mail now gets sent directly to the trash on
this end.
>
> --
> | Kenton A. Hoover | |
> | Private Citizen | +1.415.850.5924 |
> | San Francisco, California USA | shibumi@... |
> +================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
> | "You leg wound people, you're so sensitive! Can't you just |
> | get over it?!?" |
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.426 / Virus Database: 239 - Release Date: 12/2/2002

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17315. Re: [bayscan] Way Off topic

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-04 20:45:15 UTC
From: w0web
Topic: 17315 Message: 17315

What's Louise got to do with this ??? (hee hee)
George H. (w0web)
w0web@...
----- Original Message -----
From: "PHILIP HUMES" <pehumes@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2002 09:01
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

> Geeze louise, I did not know he was on the list (Rod King)
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: w0web
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2002 8:45 AM
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.
>
>
> "can't we all just get along??" Rodney King
> George H. (w0web)
> w0web@...
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Kenton A. Hoover" <shibumi@...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2002 07:54
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.
>
>
> > How nice for you.
> >
> > --On Tuesday, December 3, 2002 17.55 -0800 Jeff & Pam
> <jpschil@...>
> > wrote:
> > > bla,bla bla bla bla,your mail now gets sent directly to the trash on
> this end.
> >
> > --
> > | Kenton A. Hoover | |
> > | Private Citizen | +1.415.850.5924 |
> > | San Francisco, California USA | shibumi@... |
> > +================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
> > | "You leg wound people, you're so sensitive! Can't you just |
> > | get over it?!?" |
> >
> >
> >

> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.426 / Virus Database: 239 - Release Date: 12/2/2002
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.426 / Virus Database: 239 - Release Date: 12/2/2002

17316. Re: The last few posts

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-04 23:16:17 UTC
From: cowboymike1971
Topic: 17316 Message: 17316

What the hell is any of you talking about??? Is any of this crap on Topic of scanning???? No!!! You sound like a bunch of kids Get over it!!!!!!

17317. fire traffic on 154.430

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-04 23:35:05 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17317 Message: 17317

ok I have 154.430 in my 780 scanner (no tone lock) im hearing some fire traffic talking about an incident on highway 80 at Gillman ave exit ? can someone tell me what im hearing I cant seem to find it . I have that freq as solidad prison fire im hearing this traffic from hollister

17318. Re: [bayscan] Re: The last few posts

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-04 23:39:56 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17316 Message: 17318

thank you mike for saying so plainly what i have been saying for about a week now

At 11:16 PM 12/4/2002 +0000, you wrote:
>What the hell is any of you talking about??? Is any of this crap on
>Topic of scanning???? No!!! You sound like a bunch of kids Get over
>it!!!!!!
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17319. Re: [bayscan] Re: The last few posts

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-04 23:46:20 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17316 Message: 17319

easy buddy .....you need a new scanner fix LOL

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17320. Re: [bayscan] fire traffic on 154.430

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-04 23:53:10 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17317 Message: 17320

I am sure its one of Berkeley Fire channels maybe 2 or 3 .
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17321. Re: [bayscan] fire traffic on 154.430

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-05 00:06:12 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17317 Message: 17321

At 06:53 PM 12/4/2002 -0500, you wrote:
>I am sure its one of Berkeley Fire channels maybe 2 or 3 .
>Ed
>THANK YOU ED
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17322. Re: [CENCALFIRE] fire traffic on 154.430

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-05 00:08:36 UTC
From: Harry Marnell
Topic: 17322 Message: 17322

There is an interchange with Gilman Street on I80/I580 in Berkeley, not too far north of the Oakland line... IIRC Oakland has 154.43.

Harry

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jeff & Pam" <jpschil@...>
To: <BAYSCAN@...>; <CENCALFIRE@...>
Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2002 15:35
Subject: [CENCALFIRE] fire traffic on 154.430

> ok I have 154.430 in my 780 scanner (no tone lock) im hearing some fire
> traffic talking about an incident on highway 80 at Gillman ave exit ? can
> someone tell me what im hearing I cant seem to find it . I have that freq
> as solidad prison fire im hearing this traffic from hollister
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> CENCALFIRE-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17323. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-05 01:55:22 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17237 Message: 17323

Apparently not.

--On Wednesday, December 4, 2002 8.45 -0800 w0web <w0web@...> wrote:

> "can't we all just get along??" Rodney King
> George H. (w0web)
> w0web@...

--
Kenton A. Hoover	
Private Citizen	+1.415.850.5924
San Francisco, California USA	shibumi@...
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
| "As some day it may happen that a victim must be found, |
| I've got a little list. I've got a little list. |
| A society of members who might well be underground. |
| And who never would be missed. And who never would be missed." |
| -- Ko-ko, "The Mikado"

17324. Re: [bayscan] fire traffic on 154.430

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-05 02:46:10 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 17317 Message: 17324

> I have 154.430 in my 780 scanner (no tone lock) im
> hearing some fire traffic talking about an incident
> on highway 80 at Gillman ave exit ?

That's Berkeley FD #2.

17325. Re: [bayscan] taxi radio service

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-05 02:51:20 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 17289 Message: 17325

Actually, the base frequencies are in the 152 range and mobiles are in the 157.xxx range. Jim
----- Original Message -----
From: "PHILIP HUMES" <pehumes@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2002 12:13 AM
Subject: [bayscan] taxi radio service

> TAXI RADIO SERVICE: Taxis work duplex. Mobile in 152 mHz and Base in 157 mHz.
>
> So here are the pairs of VHF freqs. After that the 452/457 freqs
>
> 152.xxx first followed by 157.xxx paired to the 152 freq.
>
> 152.270 157.530
>
> 152.285 157.545
> 152.300 157.560
>
> 152.315 157.575
>
> 152.330 157.590
>
> 152.345 157.605
>
> 152.360 157.620
>
> 152.375 157.635
>
> 152.390 157.650
>
> 152.405 157.665
>
> 152.420 157.680
>
> The UHF freqs:
>
> 452 and 457.
>
> 050--100--150--200--225--250--275--300--350
>
> 400 450 500
>
>

> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

17326. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-05 02:56:10 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 17237 Message: 17326

Hi Ed, yah, you got that right about fast food and garbage trucks! Jim
----- Original Message -----
From: <caladded@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2002 10:10 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

> Isn't some of the stuff fast foods joints sell really Americas' secret
> weapon? I broke myself of the habit of going thru them drive thru places
> sitting on my rear, loaded up with calories listening to the scanners.
>
> (OK, I still do the drive thru part, but only coffee ..... find it
> relaxing sometimes to get to park someplace with a warm cup and 2 or 3
> scanners going.....but thats all part of being a scanner nut.)
> actually, garbage trucks, taxis and other non-emergency stuff can be
> entertaining. We used to have radios in our company vehicles and all was
not
> exactly "professional" radio traffic.
> Ed
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

17327. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-05 03:16:50 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 17237 Message: 17327

> you got that right about fast food and garbage trucks!

But until then, we've got Oakland Vice up tonight on 02-061 for you
lucky folks who can grok EDACS and can get Oakland's puny signal :-)

17328. RE: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-05 03:40:54 UTC
From: Vincent
Topic: 17237 Message: 17328

Jordan
What is your 20? I'm in Hayward and barely monitoring the system tonight.

Vince

-----Original Message-----
From: Jordan Hayes [mailto:jmhayes@...]
Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2002 7:17 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

> you got that right about fast food and garbage trucks!

But until then, we've got Oakland Vice up tonight on 02-061 for you
lucky folks who can grok EDACS and can get Oakland's puny signal :-)

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17329. RE: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-05 04:58:52 UTC
From: Vincent
Topic: 17237 Message: 17329

Jordan
These guys still active?

Vince

-----Original Message-----
From: Jordan Hayes [mailto:jmhayes@...]
Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2002 7:17 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

> you got that right about fast food and garbage trucks!

But until then, we've got Oakland Vice up tonight on 02-061 for you
lucky folks who can grok EDACS and can get Oakland's puny signal :-)

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17330. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-05 05:10:47 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 17237 Message: 17330

> These guys still active?

Doesn't seem like it. At one point, they said that a prostitute had reported that there was a shooting around the corner at something like 27th and Market. But also that they had probably scared the rest of them all away (gratuitous jokes all around for the team!).

Then I got distracted by the call of a girl who ran into a firehouse and reported that her mother was being held at gunpoint by her ex-boyfriend. The dispatcher wrote down the code for "shooting" rather than "threatening" (don't ask me, Oakland even has a different code for "10-4") so for a while there were three code-3 units on the way ...

Oakland: it's not just my home, it's an adventure!

I was sort of hoping that ARGUS would be up tonight, they are always fun.

Wait! More traffic on 02-061.

/jordan

17331. RE: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-05 05:14:35 UTC
From: Vincent
Topic: 17237 Message: 17331

Not coping 02-061. 02-023, 02-030 and 06-061 are active. Conditions might not be good fro me tonight.

Vince

-----Original Message-----
From: Jordan Hayes [mailto:jmhayes@...]
Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2002 9:11 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

> These guys still active?

Doesn't seem like it. At one point, they said that a prostitute had reported that there was a shooting around the corner at something like 27th and Market. But also that they had probably scared the rest of them all away (gratuitous jokes all around for the team!).

Then I got distracted by the call of a girl who ran into a firehouse and reported that her mother was being held at gunpoint by her ex-boyfriend. The dispatcher wrote down the code for "shooting" rather than "threatening" (don't ask me, Oakland even has a different code for "10-4") so for a while there were three code-3 units on the way ...

Oakland: it's not just my home, it's an adventure!

I was sort of hoping that ARGUS would be up tonight, they are always fun.

Wait! More traffic on 02-061.

/jordan

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17332. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-05 06:54:21 UTC
From: Glists
Topic: 17237 Message: 17332

You could netcast for the rest of us. Radio Destiny has a private mode where the address isn't posted to their main selection board. They you just email your IP address so the evil-doers won't be listening.

I've heard a few good lines in the past from the Hayward vice doing their usual stakeouts near Grove. The best single line:" She's like a ho, caught in the headlights." Best conversation:
cop#1: "Hey, this prostitute looks like your mother."
cop#2: "No way. My mom would work a better neighborhood than this one."

At 09:10 PM 12/4/2002 -0800, you wrote:
> > These guys still active?
>
>Doesn't seem like it. At one point, they said that a prostitute had
>reported that there was a shooting around the corner at something like
>27th and Market. But also that they had probably scared the rest of
>them all away (gratuitous jokes all around for the team!).
>
>Then I got distracted by the call of a girl who ran into a firehouse and
>reported that her mother was being held at gunpoint by her ex-boyfriend.
>The dispatcher wrote down the code for "shooting" rather than
>"threatening" (don't ask me, Oakland even has a different code for
>"10-4") so for a while there were three code-3 units on the way ...
>
>Oakland: it's not just my home, it's an adventure!
>
>I was sort of hoping that ARGUS would be up tonight, they are always
>fun.
>
>Wait! More traffic on 02-061.
>
>/jordan
>
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17333. Tow Truck Frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-05 14:44:53 UTC
From: WLucas9925@aol.com
Topic: 17151 Message: 17333

11-5-02

One more time..... I am looking for the frequiences (or range), for the Chevron Tow in Fremont or surrounding areas.....

Thanks

Willie

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17334. RE: [bayscan] Tow Truck Frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-05 14:46:15 UTC
From: Vincent
Topic: 17151 Message: 17334

Chevron Tow, I have it in the Crystal LTR system in Fremont. Tag# 0-01-009
home frequency 452.4750.

Vince

-----Original Message-----
From: WLucas9925@... [mailto:WLucas9925@...]
Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2002 6:45 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Tow Truck Frequencies

11-5-02

One more time..... I am looking for the frequiences (or range), for the
Chevron Tow in Fremont or surrounding areas.....

Thanks

Willie

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17335. Re: [bayscan] Tow Truck Frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-05 14:58:42 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 17151 Message: 17335

> I have it in the Crystal LTR system in Fremont.

Is there a searchable database somewhere that has a collection of these
LTR assignments, maybe something like the Industry Radio Systems effort?

17336. RE: [bayscan] Tow Truck Frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-05 15:03:39 UTC
From: Vincent
Topic: 17151 Message: 17336

Jordan
A good friend and a great contributor did an outstanding job monitoring and logging the LTR systems in the Bay Area. He has moved out of the area now, but if you go to http://www.trunkedradio.net/ go the TRS Database and see all of the great effort he did. I did aid him in some of the systems, but he did most of the work. Enjoy.

Vince

-----Original Message-----
From: Jordan Hayes [mailto:jmhayes@...]
Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2002 6:59 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Tow Truck Frequencies

> I have it in the Crystal LTR system in Fremont.

Is there a searchable database somewhere that has a collection of these LTR assignments, maybe something like the Industry Radio Systems effort?

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17337. Once again, trunking shows it's stuff

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-05 17:11:00 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17337 Message: 17337

http://www.washingtontimes.com/metro/20021205-81788965.htm

```
--
| Kenton A. Hoover | |
| Private Citizen | +1.415.850.5924 |
| San Francisco, California USA | shibumi@... |
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
| "As some day it may happen that a victim must be found, |
| I've got a little list. I've got a little list. |
| A society of members who might well be underground. |
| And who never would be missed. And who never would be missed." |
| -- Ko-ko, "The Mikado"
```

17338. SANTA CRUZ WILDFIRE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-05 17:33:02 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17338 Message: 17338

TOP OF LITTLE CREEK ROAD OFF OLD SANJOSE FIRST IN ENGINE REQUESTING A FULL WILDLAND RESPONCE FROM COUNTY FIRE WAITING TO SEE WHAT FELTON DOES 154.325

FOUR ENGINES AND ONE WATER TENDER IN ROUTE

17339. Re: [bayscan] Once again, trunking shows it's stuff

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-05 17:27:51 UTC
From: w0web
Topic: 17337 Message: 17339

I have read somewhere that It is even worst in Paris, Officers are buying their own cellphones to replace the radio they were given(I think this is some kind of EDACS system) I know that I have it somewhere, if you would like to read it I'll post it here or send it to you off list
George H. (w0web)
w0web@...
----- Original Message -----
From: "Kenton A. Hoover" <shibumi@...>
To: <scannorcal@yahoogroups.com>; <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2002 09:11
Subject: [bayscan] Once again, trunking shows it's stuff

> http://www.washingtontimes.com/metro/20021205-81788965.htm
>
> --
> | Kenton A. Hoover | |
> | Private Citizen | +1.415.850.5924 |
> | San Francisco, California USA | shibumi@... |
> +================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
> | "As some day it may happen that a victim must be found, |
> | I've got a little list. I've got a little list. |
> | A society of members who might well be underground. |
> | And who never would be missed. And who never would be missed." |
> | -- Ko-ko, "The Mikado"
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.426 / Virus Database: 239 - Release Date: 12/2/2002

17340. my last post

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-05 17:34:23 UTC
From: w0web
Topic: 17340 Message: 17340

Took a chance that you all may want to read this, I got this from a group that I belong too.

Found this article about Tetrapol in one of the other groups I visited.

Hello friends,

Found this article about TETRAPOL on Sunday Telegraph, and it seems that this not is too good either. Thought it could be interesting to read for those of you that not have seen this.

"Paris police resort to cell phones as new radio system fails
By Kim Willsher (Sunday Telegraph) in Paris
(Filed: 27/10/2002)
The french police are being forced to use their own mobile phones on duty because their expensive new official radios repeatedly fail to work.
Officers say that their lives are being put at risk by the sophisticated new walkie-talkies, which are costing 60 million euros (£38 million) a year to introduce, but which are proving useless in dangerous situations.
They complain that the new radios lose signals or connect them to the wrong police station, while a special red SOS button for officers who are threatened does not function properly.
During one armed robbery last year, in which two policemen were killed and a third seriously wounded, their colleagues had difficulty calling for reinforcements because their new radios had failed. Officers were faced with a notoriously violent criminal who was eventually arrested after the shoot-out.
Only 10 days ago, when confronted by an armed robber just outside Paris, officers found that they had no contact with the police network and were forced to use their mobile phones for two hours, until the man was caught.
During an attack on an armoured security van in the suburb of Val-de-Marne last May, officers could not raise the alarm because their car radios had stopped transmitting.
"Police officers' lives and, as a result, the lives of ordinary people are being put in danger by the numerous inherent problems caused by useless communications equipment," said Christophe Tirante, a representative of the police union.
The Interior Minister, Nicolas Sarkozy, has now given the French firm EADS Telecom, manufacturers of the communication system called Acropol, until the end of the year to get the system working properly.
So far the Acropol project, which was launched nine years ago and

first tested in 1997, has cost around £200 million to develop and introduce.
The supposedly advanced digital radio network, which encrypts messages so that they cannot be intercepted, was intended as a replacement for the analogue system currently used by France's police forces.
Since it was introduced into four police departments around Paris five years ago and to 30,000 officers in the city itself last year, police chiefs and unions have complained that the system fails daily. They say that radios are failing to pick up or transmit a signal in the metro, tunnels, shopping centres and car parks.
Acropol black spots also include certain buildings and roads in the French capital. Even where the radio network does work, communications are often cut for up to several hours, and there are enormous variations in the quality of the reception.
The greatest problems are faced by officers in Paris, where the Acropol network is used by no less than 36 different police, intelligence, security, traffic and emergency police departments.
One policeman called to an incident involving a gunman, called a technician from EADS Telecom for advice on how to get his radio working.
He was astonished to be told that he had to turn it off, wait several seconds, turn it back on and go into the menu. "These people have absolutely no idea what conditions we are working under," he said.
Karyn Poupee, a French journalist specialising in telecommunications, who has spent months researching the problems with Acropol, said: "Every day, police officers in extremely stressful situations are finding that their radios won't work.
"EADS Telecom and even the Ministry of the Interior are blaming the police officers, which is not fair at all. The police are furious about this and strongly object to being treated like idiots who don't know how to do their job.
"The idea of introducing Acropol was that police messages could not be heard by anyone outside, but the officers are often using their own mobile phones or their old analogue radios, without telling their superiors. They prefer for everyone to hear their messages than not to be heard at all."
For patrol officers on the road, the expected "seamless handover" from one radio transmitter to another frequently fails, leaving them off air for vital seconds.
Officers communicating with one police command centre during a critical incident have found themselves suddenly linked to another which has no idea who they are or what is going on.
The French Interior Ministry refuses to admit that there are fundamental faults in the equipment, instead blaming "teething problems".
The French government insists that it will have the system running perfectly in Paris by the end of the year and plans to introduce Acropol nationwide by 2008."

George H. (w0web)
w0web@...

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.426 / Virus Database: 239 - Release Date: 12/2/2002

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17341. Re: [bayscan] my last post

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-05 19:27:29 UTC
From: J. Smith
Topic: 17340 Message: 17341

Deja vu.

----- Original Message -----
From: "w0web" <w0web@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2002 9:34 AM
Subject: [bayscan] my last post

Took a chance that you all may want to read this, I got this from a group that I belong too.

Found this article about Tetrapol in one of the other groups I visited.

Hello friends,

Found this article about TETRAPOL on Sunday Telegraph, and it seems that this not is too good either. Thought it could be interesting to read for those of you that not have seen this.

"Paris police resort to cell phones as new radio system fails
By Kim Willsher (Sunday Telegraph) in Paris
(Filed: 27/10/2002)
The french police are being forced to use their own mobile phones on duty because their expensive new official radios repeatedly fail to work.
Officers say that their lives are being put at risk by the sophisticated new walkie-talkies, which are costing 60 million euros (?38 million) a year to introduce, but which are proving useless in dangerous situations.
They complain that the new radios lose signals or connect them to the wrong police station, while a special red SOS button for officers who are threatened does not function properly.
During one armed robbery last year, in which two policemen were killed and a third seriously wounded, their colleagues had difficulty calling for reinforcements because their new radios had failed. Officers were faced with a notoriously violent criminal who was eventually arrested after the shoot-out.
Only 10 days ago, when confronted by an armed robber just outside Paris, officers found that they had no contact with the police network and were forced to use their mobile phones for two hours, until the man was caught.
During an attack on an armoured security van in the suburb of Val-de-Marne last May, officers could not raise the alarm because their car radios had stopped transmitting.
"Police officers' lives and, as a result, the lives of ordinary

people are being put in danger by the numerous inherent problems caused by useless communications equipment," said Christophe Tirante, a representative of the police union.
The Interior Minister, Nicolas Sarkozy, has now given the French firm EADS Telecom, manufacturers of the communication system called Acropol, until the end of the year to get the system working properly.
So far the Acropol project, which was launched nine years ago and first tested in 1997, has cost around ?200 million to develop and introduce.
The supposedly advanced digital radio network, which encrypts messages so that they cannot be intercepted, was intended as a replacement for the analogue system currently used by France's police forces.
Since it was introduced into four police departments around Paris five years ago and to 30,000 officers in the city itself last year, police chiefs and unions have complained that the system fails daily. They say that radios are failing to pick up or transmit a signal in the metro, tunnels, shopping centres and car parks.
Acropol black spots also include certain buildings and roads in the French capital. Even where the radio network does work, communications are often cut for up to several hours, and there are enormous variations in the quality of the reception.
The greatest problems are faced by officers in Paris, where the Acropol network is used by no less than 36 different police, intelligence, security, traffic and emergency police departments.
One policeman called to an incident involving a gunman, called a technician from EADS Telecom for advice on how to get his radio working.
He was astonished to be told that he had to turn it off, wait several seconds, turn it back on and go into the menu. "These people have absolutely no idea what conditions we are working under," he said.
Karyn Poupee, a French journalist specialising in telecommunications, who has spent months researching the problems with Acropol, said: "Every day, police officers in extremely stressful situations are finding that their radios won't work.
"EADS Telecom and even the Ministry of the Interior are blaming the police officers, which is not fair at all. The police are furious about this and strongly object to being treated like idiots who don't know how to do their job.
"The idea of introducing Acropol was that police messages could not be heard by anyone outside, but the officers are often using their own mobile phones or their old analogue radios, without telling their superiors. They prefer for everyone to hear their messages than not to be heard at all."
For patrol officers on the road, the expected "seamless handover" from one radio transmitter to another frequently fails, leaving them off air for vital seconds.
Officers communicating with one police command centre during a

critical incident have found themselves suddenly linked to another which has no idea who they are or what is going on.
The French Interior Ministry refuses to admit that there are fundamental faults in the equipment, instead blaming "teething problems".
The French government insists that it will have the system running perfectly in Paris by the end of the year and plans to introduce Acropol nationwide by 2008."

George H. (w0web)
w0web@...

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.426 / Virus Database: 239 - Release Date: 12/2/2002

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17342. Re: [bayscan] my last post

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-05 19:57:57 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17340 Message: 17342

DITTO :)

At 11:27 AM 12/5/2002 -0800, you wrote:
>Deja vu.
>
>----- Original Message -----
>From: "w0web" <w0web@...>
>To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
>Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2002 9:34 AM
>Subject: [bayscan] my last post
>
>
>Took a chance that you all may want to read this, I got this from a group
>that I belong too.
>
>Found this article about Tetrapol in one of the other groups I
>visited.
>
>Hello friends,
>
>Found this article about TETRAPOL on Sunday Telegraph, and it seems
>that this not is too good either. Thought it could be interesting
>to read for those of you that not have seen this.
>
>"Paris police resort to cell phones as new radio system fails
>By Kim Willsher (Sunday Telegraph) in Paris
>(Filed: 27/10/2002)
>The french police are being forced to use their own mobile phones on
>duty because their expensive new official radios repeatedly fail to
>work.
>Officers say that their lives are being put at risk by the
>sophisticated new walkie-talkies, which are costing 60 million euros
>(£38 million) a year to introduce, but which are proving useless in
>dangerous situations.
>They complain that the new radios lose signals or connect them to
>the wrong police station, while a special red SOS button for
>officers who are threatened does not function properly.
>During one armed robbery last year, in which two policemen were
>killed and a third seriously wounded, their colleagues had
>difficulty calling for reinforcements because their new radios had
>failed. Officers were faced with a notoriously violent criminal who
>was eventually arrested after the shoot-out.
>Only 10 days ago, when confronted by an armed robber just outside
>Paris, officers found that they had no contact with the police
>network and were forced to use their mobile phones for two hours,

>until the man was caught.
>During an attack on an armoured security van in the suburb of Val-de-
>Marne last May, officers could not raise the alarm because their car
>radios had stopped transmitting.
>"Police officers' lives and, as a result, the lives of ordinary
>people are being put in danger by the numerous inherent problems
>caused by useless communications equipment," said Christophe
>Tirante, a representative of the police union.
>The Interior Minister, Nicolas Sarkozy, has now given the French
>firm EADS Telecom, manufacturers of the communication system called
>Acropol, until the end of the year to get the system working
>properly.
>So far the Acropol project, which was launched nine years ago and
>first tested in 1997, has cost around £200 million to develop and
>introduce.
>The supposedly advanced digital radio network, which encrypts
>messages so that they cannot be intercepted, was intended as a
>replacement for the analogue system currently used by France's
>police forces.
>Since it was introduced into four police departments around Paris
>five years ago and to 30,000 officers in the city itself last year,
>police chiefs and unions have complained that the system fails
>daily. They say that radios are failing to pick up or transmit a
>signal in the metro, tunnels, shopping centres and car parks.
>Acropol black spots also include certain buildings and roads in the
>French capital. Even where the radio network does work,
>communications are often cut for up to several hours, and there are
>enormous variations in the quality of the reception.
>The greatest problems are faced by officers in Paris, where the
>Acropol network is used by no less than 36 different police,
>intelligence, security, traffic and emergency police departments.
>One policeman called to an incident involving a gunman, called a
>technician from EADS Telecom for advice on how to get his radio
>working.
>He was astonished to be told that he had to turn it off, wait
>several seconds, turn it back on and go into the menu. "These people
>have absolutely no idea what conditions we are working under," he
>said.
>Karyn Poupee, a French journalist specialising in
>telecommunications, who has spent months researching the problems
>with Acropol, said: "Every day, police officers in extremely
>stressful situations are finding that their radios won't work.
>"EADS Telecom and even the Ministry of the Interior are blaming the
>police officers, which is not fair at all. The police are furious
>about this and strongly object to being treated like idiots who
>don't know how to do their job.
>"The idea of introducing Acropol was that police messages could not
>be heard by anyone outside, but the officers are often using their
>own mobile phones or their old analogue radios, without telling
>their superiors. They prefer for everyone to hear their messages

>than not to be heard at all."
>For patrol officers on the road, the expected "seamless handover"
>from one radio transmitter to another frequently fails, leaving them
>off air for vital seconds.
>Officers communicating with one police command centre during a
>critical incident have found themselves suddenly linked to another
>which has no idea who they are or what is going on.
>The French Interior Ministry refuses to admit that there are
>fundamental faults in the equipment, instead blaming "teething
>problems".
>The French government insists that it will have the system running
>perfectly in Paris by the end of the year and plans to introduce
>Acropol nationwide by 2008."
>
>
>
>George H. (w0web)
>w0web@...
>
>
>---
>Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
>Checked by AVG anti-virus system
>(<http://www.grisoft.com).>http://www.grisoft.com).
>Version: 6.0.426 / Virus Database: 239 - Release Date: 12/2/2002
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17343. Re: [bayscan] my last post

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-05 20:02:29 UTC
From: w0web
Topic: 17340 Message: 17343

if this was posted before I missed it sorry for reposting old data.
George H. (w0web)
w0web@...

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.426 / Virus Database: 239 - Release Date: 12/2/2002

17344. how about changing the subject line guys!! Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-06 02:12:47 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 17344 Message: 17344

The same subject line gets really old after a few times. Jim

17345. inverted frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-06 07:59:20 UTC
From: Kent
Topic: 17345 Message: 17345

what are some of the frequencies that are inverted but can be listened with the help of a computer?

17346. Re: how about changing the subject line guys!! Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-06 14:01:06 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17344 Message: 17346

if you think that gets old fast try the original poster geek

At 06:12 PM 12/5/2002 -0800, you wrote:
>The same subject line gets really old after a few times. Jim
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17347. Space shuttle pre-landing communications

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-06 17:14:14 UTC
From: annie_and_polly
Topic: 17347 Message: 17347

In the south bay, 443.300 repeater is retransmitting today's space shuttle communications. At this moment, they are preparing to land today, but know they may get waved off again.

-- John

17348. Re: [bayscan] inverted frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-06 17:36:09 UTC
From: Glists
Topic: 17345 Message: 17348

If you mean services that use frequency inverters, CADOJ at 154.68 comes to mind.

At 07:59 AM 12/6/2002 +0000, you wrote:
>what are some of the frequencies that are inverted but can be
>listened with the help of a computer?
>
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17349. Armed 211 in Concord

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-06 19:57:46 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 17349 Message: 17349

Just heard a code 666 being broadcast on CoCo Sheriff 155.250 for a 211 armed that occurred at the Bank of America there.

17350. unknown SWAT operation in Mt.View

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-06 20:32:53 UTC
From: Jeff
Topic: 17350 Message: 17350

There is some kind of major action going on at this time in the area of El Camino and hiway 85 at the shell gas station. Many people on the ground with guns point at them. This is all being observed at this time by mobile 11 who is on scene on a unrelated nature.

More to come if advised.

17351. Re: SWAT activity

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-06 20:59:18 UTC
From: cowboymike1971
Topic: 17351 Message: 17351

something has been going on on Palo Alto 482.6125 for a while now.
talk of deploying people and they seem to be looking for someone.
command center is at a business park. Talk of security and different
buildings.

17352. Re: [bayscan] Re: SWAT activity

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-06 21:06:03 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17351 Message: 17352

Mountain View had a 211 at a credit union at ECR/Sylvan, that was the ECR/85 thing mobile 11 had. Along with Sunnyvale DPS, they are searching some homes/backyards. Do we ALSO have something in Palo Alto?
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17353. chp mobile repeaters

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-06 21:25:50 UTC
From: Ben Kellogg
Topic: 17353 Message: 17353

appears that coco is repeating its mobiles today..
first time i've actually heard it.. kinda trippy.. GG
is also repeating...

_____
Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail Plus - Powerful. Affordable. Sign up now.
http://mailplus.yahoo.com

17354. Re: [bayscan] chp mobile repeaters

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-06 21:38:48 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17353 Message: 17354

They have been doing it for a while Ben. By GG, you mean Golden Gate?
the following offices have been on repeater for at least 2 months.
San Francisco, Redwood City, San Jose, Oakland, Hayward/Livermore
Marin / CoCo. May be others
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17355. Re: [bayscan] chp mobile repeaters

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-06 21:43:31 UTC
From: Ben Kellogg
Topic: 17353 Message: 17355

ahh cool.. i'm hearing mobiles on 101 also now... been
awhile since i've listened to chp... =)
--- caladded@... wrote:
> They have been doing it for a while Ben. By GG, you
> mean Golden Gate?
> the following offices have been on repeater for at
> least 2 months.
> San Francisco, Redwood City, San Jose, Oakland,
> Hayward/Livermore
> Marin / CoCo. May be others
> Ed
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been
> removed]
>
>

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail Plus - Powerful. Affordable. Sign up now.
http://mailplus.yahoo.com

17356. Re: [bayscan] Palo Alto

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-06 21:11:19 UTC
From: Brian Wolter
Topic: 17356 Message: 17356

Guess there was something on San Antonio

----- Original Message -----
From: <caladded@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2002 1:06 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: SWAT activity

> Mountain View had a 211 at a credit union at ECR/Sylvan, that was the
ECR/85
> thing mobile 11 had. Along with Sunnyvale DPS, they are searching some
> homes/backyards. Do we ALSO have something in Palo Alto?
> Ed
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

17357. Fwd: [UnidenBC785D] Scannermaster Open House/250D and 785D "Hands On"

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-06 22:56:21 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17357 Message: 17357

Interesting information.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17358. Re: [bayscan] inverted frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-06 23:02:51 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 17345 Message: 17358

At 09:36 AM 12/6/2002, you wrote:
>If you mean services that use frequency inverters, CADOJ at 154.68 comes to
>mind.

Isn't decoding or un-inverting (is that a word) a voice inverted scrambling
method illegal?

Evan

(Did I just start the thread that will never die??) ;)

17359. Re: [bayscan] inverted frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-06 23:40:42 UTC
From: J. Smith
Topic: 17345 Message: 17359

```
> Isn't decoding or un-inverting (is that a word) a voice inverted
scrambling
> method illegal?
>
> Evan
>
> (Did I just start the thread that will never die??) ;)
```

```
.lanimirc a eb yam dna emit eerf hcum oot evah uoy, siht derehpiced uoy fI
```

17360. Re: [bayscan] inverted frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-07 02:46:39 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17345 Message: 17360

Yes. Which makes it interesting; frequency (or sideband) inversion can be decoded by a trained listener. Which would suggest that your brain can be seized by Federal agents for violation of DCMA. Who'd have guessed?

--On Friday, December 6, 2002 15.02 -0800 Evan Platt <evan@...>
wrote:

> At 09:36 AM 12/6/2002, you wrote:
>> If you mean services that use frequency inverters, CADOJ at 154.68 comes to
>> mind.
>
> Isn't decoding or un-inverting (is that a word) a voice inverted scrambling
> method illegal?
>
> Evan
>
> (Did I just start the thread that will never die??) ;)
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

--
Kenton A. Hoover	
Private Citizen	+1.415.850.5924
San Francisco, California USA	shibumi@...
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
| "As some day it may happen that a victim must be found, |
| I've got a little list. I've got a little list. |
| A society of members who might well be underground. |
| And who never would be missed. And who never would be missed." |
| -- Ko-ko, "The Mikado"

17361. Re: [bayscan] unknown SWAT operation in Mt.View

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-07 02:49:01 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17350 Message: 17361

Wow -- I used to fuel there. Worked at the three-story office building right next door; good spot to gas the bike before heading north to SF.

--On Friday, December 6, 2002 20.32 +0000 Jeff <CFDASM88@...> wrote:

> There is some kind of major action going on at this time in the area
> of El Camino and hiway 85 at the shell gas station. Many people on
> the ground with guns point at them. This is all being observed at
> this time by mobile 11 who is on scene on a unrelated nature.
>
> More to come if advised.

--
Kenton A. Hoover	
Private Citizen	+1.415.850.5924
San Francisco, California USA	shibumi@...
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
| "As some day it may happen that a victim must be found, |
| I've got a little list. I've got a little list. |
| A society of members who might well be underground. |
| And who never would be missed. And who never would be missed." |
| -- Ko-ko, "The Mikado"

17362. Re: [bayscan] Palo Alto

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-07 02:50:37 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17356 Message: 17362

There is an Eisenhower (I think) branch in that building now. What idiot would be dumb enough to rob a Federal Credit Union? There is, or was, an office of the DoD in that building too, but I'm not supposed to say who or what is was.

--On Friday, December 6, 2002 13.11 -0800 Brian Wolter <radioscanner@...> wrote:

> Guess there was something on San Antonio
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: <caladded@...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Friday, December 06, 2002 1:06 PM
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: SWAT activity
>
>
>> Mountain View had a 211 at a credit union at ECR/Sylvan, that was the
> ECR/85
>> thing mobile 11 had. Along with Sunnyvale DPS, they are searching some
>> homes/backyards. Do we ALSO have something in Palo Alto?
>> Ed
>>
>>
>> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

--
Kenton A. Hoover	
Private Citizen	+1.415.850.5924
San Francisco, California USA	shibumi@...
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+

```
| "As some day it may happen that a victim must be found, |
| I've got a little list. I've got a little list. |
| A society of members who might well be underground. |
| And who never would be missed. And who never would be missed." |
| -- Ko-ko, "The Mikado"
```

17363. Re: [bayscan] chp mobile repeaters

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-07 03:05:26 UTC
From: Glists
Topic: 17353 Message: 17363

The system isn't perfected yet. You can hear lots of kerchunking, at least on GG.

At 01:25 PM 12/6/2002 -0800, you wrote:
>appears that coco is repeating its mobiles today..
>first time i've actually heard it.. kinda trippy.. GG
>is also repeating...
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17364. Re: [bayscan] chp mobile repeaters

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-08 01:14:17 UTC
From: PHILIP HUMES
Topic: 17353 Message: 17364

And Sonoma County on Golden Gate

----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2002 1:38 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] chp mobile repeaters

They have been doing it for a while Ben. By GG, you mean Golden Gate?
the following offices have been on repeater for at least 2 months.
San Francisco, Redwood City, San Jose, Oakland, Hayward/Livermore
Marin / CoCo. May be others
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17365. Re: [bayscan] chp mobile repeaters

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-07 04:23:14 UTC
From: Paul E. Olson
Topic: 17353 Message: 17365

They started repeating (coco) a couple of months ago, but haven't been this past ~5 days or so until today.

paul

Ben Kellogg wrote:

> appears that coco is repeating its mobiles today..
> first time i've actually heard it.. kinda trippy.. GG
> is also repeating...
>
> _____
> Do you Yahoo!?
> Yahoo! Mail Plus - Powerful. Affordable. Sign up now.
> http://mailplus.yahoo.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
> .

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17366. Re: [bayscan] inverted frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-07 05:21:35 UTC
From: Glists
Topic: 17345 Message: 17366

True. Some words come through inversion quite well, such as "Cadillac", a word often heard on the DOJ frequency.

Off the top of my head, I don't think you can equate sideband to inversion. The funny sound you get with sideband (i.e. Donald Duck) is due to the carrier frequencies being off, which in turn linearly shifts the spectrum. That is, with 50Hz error, 100Hhz would be 150Hz, 500Hz, would be 550Hz, etc. With inversion, you reverse the spectrum. Say the reversal was done around 1500Hz. Then 2000Hz input would be 1000Hz output, 1000Hz input would be 2000Hz output, etc.

Now if you take an USB signal and listen in LSB, you get inversion.

At 06:46 PM 12/6/2002, you wrote:
>Yes. Which makes it interesting; frequency (or sideband) inversion can be
>decoded by a trained listener. Which would suggest that your brain can be
>seized by Federal agents for violation of DCMA. Who'd have guessed?
>
>--On Friday, December 6, 2002 15.02 -0800 Evan Platt <evan@...>
>wrote:
>
> > At 09:36 AM 12/6/2002, you wrote:
> >> If you mean services that use frequency inverters, CADOJ at 154.68
> comes to
> >> mind.
> >
> > Isn't decoding or un-inverting (is that a word) a voice inverted
> scrambling
> > method illegal?
> >
> > Evan
> >
> > (Did I just start the thread that will never die??) ;)
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>--
>| Kenton A. Hoover | |

```
>| Private Citizen | +1.415.850.5924 |
>| San Francisco, California USA | shibumi@... |
>+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
>| "As some day it may happen that a victim must be found, |
>| I've got a little list. I've got a little list. |
>| A society of members who might well be underground. |
>| And who never would be missed. And who never would be missed." |
>| -- Ko-ko, "The Mikado"
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
```

17367. Re: inverted frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-07 05:44:34 UTC
From: ka5s <cortlandka5s@netscape.net>
Topic: 17345 Message: 17367

A spectrum inverted signal may be
understood by tuning it in SSB mode.
Sometimes easily, sometimes not,
depending if the spectrum is merely
inverted, or if it's "folded." But
you can make it out.

This is because each sideband set in
the FM bandwidth is equivalent to one
sideband from an AM DSB transmitter.
I am afraid I rather dismayed the
designer of a Tandy scrambled cordless
'phone, some years back when I worked
there, when I showed him on my R7000
that his supposedly secure transmissions
... weren't!

However, if the agency you listen to is
using sub-audible tone, THAT will render
this method useless; the ear doesn't like
a 131.8 Hz carrier warble, for some reason
(grin). You will have to inject an offset
audio tone into your radio output directly.
A surplus audio signal genrator of some
power may be enough to do this. You can
remove the tone from the result with your
DSP speaker (you HAVE one, right?)

Cortland

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Glists <lists@l...> wrote:
> Off the top of my head, I don't think you can equate sideband to
inversion.
> The funny sound you get with sideband (i.e. Donald Duck) is due to
the
> carrier frequencies being off, which in turn linearly shifts the
spectrum.

17368. Re: [bayscan] chp mobile repeaters

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-07 07:16:02 UTC
From: magikaldj642@cs.com
Topic: 17353 Message: 17368

Solano repeats its mobile trans also.

17369. Re: [bayscan] Re: inverted frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-07 07:52:41 UTC
From: Glists
Topic: 17345 Message: 17369

AM and DSB are not exactly the same. DSB is basically AM with the carrier suppressed.

I have heard of your technique working, but I'm not sure it has to do with the FM sidebands being AM or DSB. FM sidebands are technically infinite, following a bessel function J0, while AM or DSB has finite limits. I think the reason this trick works is that any radio has limited ability to suppress unwanted demodulation. That is, FM receivers have an AM suppression specification, and vice versa. That is why on multimode radios you can often use the wrong mode and still hear something that sounds like voice.

At 09:44 PM 12/6/2002, you wrote:
>A spectrum inverted signal may be
>understood by tuning it in SSB mode.
>Sometimes easily, sometimes not,
>depending if the spectrum is merely
>inverted, or if it's "folded." But
>you can make it out.
>
>This is because each sideband set in
>the FM bandwidth is equivalent to one
>sideband from an AM DSB transmitter.
>I am afraid I rather dismayed the
>designer of a Tandy scrambled cordless
>'phone, some years back when I worked
>there, when I showed him on my R7000
>that his supposedly secure transmissions
> ... weren't!
>
>However, if the agency you listen to is
>using sub-audible tone, THAT will render
>this method useless; the ear doesn't like
>a 131.8 Hz carrier warble, for some reason
>(grin). You will have to inject an offset
>audio tone into your radio output directly.
>A surplus audio signal genrator of some
>power may be enough to do this. You can
>remove the tone from the result with your
>DSP speaker (you HAVE one, right?)
>
>Cortland
>
>--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Glists <lists@l...> wrote:
> > Off the top of my head, I don't think you can equate sideband to

>inversion.
> > The funny sound you get with sideband (i.e. Donald Duck) is due to
>the
> > carrier frequencies being off, which in turn linearly shifts the
>spectrum.
>
>
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17370. Re: unknown SWAT operation in Mt.View/Bank 211

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-07 10:01:31 UTC
From: ofc53 <ofc53@yahoo.com>
Topic: 17350 Message: 17370

It was a 211 Bank of the Commonwealth Credit Union. As for the thing in Palo Alto...I don't know that I can discuss it yet. Here is the press release for the Mtn. View 211:

-Chris

The Mountain View Police Department is currently investigating an armed bank robbery that occurred just before the lunch hour today. The suspect entered the Commonwealth Central Credit Union and handed a teller a note stating he had a gun. The suspect was handed over an undisclosed amount of money and fled out the door. No gun was actually seen and nobody was injured. The El Camino Real was shut down while an extensive search of the area was completed, however, the suspect is still outstanding.

One witness described the suspect as a black male while another witness described him as a white or Hispanic male with light skin. A straw hat and cloth material that the suspect used to cover his face were recovered at the Shell gas station at Sylvan Avenue and E. El Camino Real, just a few yards away from the credit union.

There was no vehicle seen. Earlier reports that the suspect was seen leaving in a vehicle and/or had abandoned the vehicle at the gas station proved to be unfounded.

Anybody with information is asked to contact Detective Mike Magana at (650)903-6714 or the Mountain View Police Department at (650)903-6344.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Kenton A. Hoover" <shibumi@m...> wrote:
> Wow -- I used to fuel there. Worked at the three-story office building right
> next door; good spot to gas the bike before heading north to SF.
>
> --On Friday, December 6, 2002 20.32 +0000 Jeff <CFDASM88@a...> wrote:
>
> > There is some kind of major action going on at this time in the area
> > of El Camino and hiway 85 at the shell gas station. Many people on
> > the ground with guns point at them. This is all being observed at
> > this time by mobile 11 who is on scene on a unrelated nature.

```
> >
> > More to come if advised.
>
> --
> | Kenton A. Hoover |
|
> | Private Citizen | +1.415.850.5924
|
> | San Francisco, California USA | shibumi@m... |
> +================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org
==================+
> | "As some day it may happen that a victim must be found,
|
> | I've got a little list. I've got a little list.
|
> | A society of members who might well be underground.
|
> | And who never would be missed. And who never would be missed."
|
> | -- Ko-ko, "The Mikado"
```

17371. Covert airport perimeter surveillance?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-07 16:40:33 UTC
From: J. Smith
Topic: 17371 Message: 17371

For your perusing pleasure, from another list:

A follow up reported military-type survellience activity on 407.325 MHz in Baltimore, possibly related to Baltimore-Washington airport.

=-=-=

Has anyone else heard the unconfirmed rumor about the so called "Alpha Sierra" (aka airport surveillance) teams that are currently covertly patrolling "selected" civilian & military airports external perimeter as well as aircraft approach/takeoff zones??? Rumor is that it's a combination of federal, military, state/local law enforcement officials that have quite a bit of fire power in the surveillance vehicles. Also rumored is that some teams actually pose as aviation watching/photographers/scanner buffs.

Another unconfirmed rumor is that the teams are primarily using cellular phones rather than radios but tactical radios are available in surveillance vehicles
to coordinate responses by
the "on scene" team as well as other law enforcement/military organizations.

Ken
Springfield MA Monitoring Area

=-=-=

This is your life, and it's ending one minute at a time.

17372. Re: [bayscan] Covert airport perimeter surveillance?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-07 19:39:09 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17371 Message: 17372

real smart to post the frequency :-(

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17373. Re: [bayscan] Covert airport perimeter surveillance?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-07 20:31:39 UTC
From: Glists
Topic: 17371 Message: 17373

If they have money for a special Homeland Security operation at Texas A&M (plus other pork), they should have enough money to get secure radios if it was of a concern to Tom Ridge or congress. Thus, posting the freq is no big deal since there is no concern for secrecy.

At 11:39 AM 12/7/2002, you wrote:
>real smart to post the frequency :-(
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17374. Re: [bayscan] Covert airport perimeter surveillance?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-07 21:05:37 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17371 Message: 17374

oh here we go again its time for our weekly rendition of

LIBERAL ASS BACKWARDS THINKING

Please continue

At 12:31 PM 12/7/2002 -0800, you wrote:
>If they have money for a special Homeland Security operation at Texas A&M
>(plus other pork), they should have enough money to get secure radios if it
>was of a concern to Tom Ridge or congress. Thus, posting the freq is no big
>deal since there is no concern for secrecy.
>
>At 11:39 AM 12/7/2002, you wrote:
> >real smart to post the frequency :-(
> >
> >
> >[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
><http://rd.yahoo.com/M=234081.2711418.4084139.1925585/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=13279
85/R=0/*http://ad.doubleclick.net/clk;4870024;7586687;x?http://www.ameriquestmortgage.com/
welcome.html?ad=Yahoo01>2da906.jpg
>2da954.jpg
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17375. Re: [bayscan] Covert airport perimeter surveillance?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-07 21:28:13 UTC
From: Glists
Topic: 17371 Message: 17375

Progressive foward thinking. Using a radio in the clear is about as secure as depending on the police to keep your possessions safe if you leave your doors unlocked. Did the ECPA keep Newt Gingrich's little sins a secret?

BTW, feel free to put me in your kill file.

At 01:05 PM 12/7/2002, you wrote:
>oh here we go again its time for our weekly rendition of
>
>LIBERAL ASS BACKWARDS THINKING
>
>
>Please continue
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>At 12:31 PM 12/7/2002 -0800, you wrote:
> >If they have money for a special Homeland Security operation at Texas A&M
> >(plus other pork), they should have enough money to get secure radios if it
> >was of a concern to Tom Ridge or congress. Thus, posting the freq is no big
> >deal since there is no concern for secrecy.
> >
> >At 11:39 AM 12/7/2002, you wrote:
> > >real smart to post the frequency :-(
> > >
> > >
> > >[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> > <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

> >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> >ADVERTISEMENT
> ><http://rd.yahoo.com/M=234081.2711418.4084139.1925585/D=egroupweb/S=17050
> 63108:HM/A=1327985/R=0/*http://ad.doubleclick.net/clk;4870024;7586687;x?http://www.ameri
questmortgage.com/welcome.html?ad=Yahoo01>2da906.jpg
> >2da954.jpg
> >
> >Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> ><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17376. Re: [bayscan] Covert airport perimeter surveillance?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-07 21:59:00 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17371 Message: 17376

I agree that it is not secure but what logic makes it necessary to post
those freqs? I am quite sure many of us have DEA or other agency freqs that
we do not post this is just plain logical unless of course you want every
right to listen taken away . the long and short of it is keep sensitive
things under your hat
is that so hard to understand?

01:28 PM 12/7/2002 -0800, you wrote:
>Progressive foward thinking. Using a radio in the clear is about as secure
>as depending on the police to keep your possessions safe if you leave your
>doors unlocked. Did the ECPA keep Newt Gingrich's little sins a secret?
>
>BTW, feel free to put me in your kill file.
>
>At 01:05 PM 12/7/2002, you wrote:
> >oh here we go again its time for our weekly rendition of
> >
> >LIBERAL ASS BACKWARDS THINKING
> >
> >
> >Please continue
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >At 12:31 PM 12/7/2002 -0800, you wrote:
> > >If they have money for a special Homeland Security operation at Texas A&M
> > >(plus other pork), they should have enough money to get secure radios
> if it
> > >was of a concern to Tom Ridge or congress. Thus, posting the freq is
> no big
> > >deal since there is no concern for secrecy.
> > >
> > >At 11:39 AM 12/7/2002, you wrote:

> > > >real smart to post the frequency :-(
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> > >
> <<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>http://docs.yahoo.
com/info/terms/
> > >
> > >
> > >Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > >ADVERTISEMENT
> > ><<http://rd.yahoo.com/M=234081.2711418.4084139.1925585/D=egroupweb/S=17
> 050>http://rd.yahoo.com/M=234081.2711418.4084139.1925585/D=egroupweb/S=17050
> >
> 63108:HM/A=1327985/R=0/*<http://ad.doubleclick.net/clk;4870024;7586687;x?http://www.amer
iquestmortgage.com/welcome.html?ad=Yahoo01>http://ad.doubleclick.net/clk;4870024;7586687;x
?http://www.ameriquestmortgage.com/welcome.html?ad=Yahoo01>2da906.jpg
> > >2da954.jpg
> > >
> > >Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> > ><<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Y
> ahoo! Terms of Service.
> >
> >
> >[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17377. RE: [bayscan] Covert airport perimeter surveillance?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-07 22:05:52 UTC
From: Doug Owens
Topic: 17371 Message: 17377

From my perspective, the questions that should be considered before posting are 1.) Would the information be of material value to any individual or group that threatens our national security? and 2.) Is the information sufficiently difficult to obtain by other means that posting it in this forum would make it easier for our enemies to obtain the data?

My judgment is that the information posted, if true, meets both tests and should have been withheld.

Doug
-----Original Message-----
From: Glists [mailto:lists@...]
Sent: Saturday, December 07, 2002 1:28 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Covert airport perimeter surveillance?

Progressive foward thinking. Using a radio in the clear is about as secure
as depending on the police to keep your possessions safe if you leave your
doors unlocked. Did the ECPA keep Newt Gingrich's little sins a secret?

BTW, feel free to put me in your kill file.

At 01:05 PM 12/7/2002, you wrote:
>oh here we go again its time for our weekly rendition of
>
>LIBERAL ASS BACKWARDS THINKING
>
>
>Please continue
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>At 12:31 PM 12/7/2002 -0800, you wrote:
> >If they have money for a special Homeland Security operation at Texas
A&M
> >(plus other pork), they should have enough money to get secure radios
if it
> >was of a concern to Tom Ridge or congress. Thus, posting the freq is
no big
> >deal since there is no concern for secrecy.
> >
> >At 11:39 AM 12/7/2002, you wrote:
> > >real smart to post the frequency :-(
> > >
> > >
> > >[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> > <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> >ADVERTISEMENT
>
><http://rd.yahoo.com/M=234081.2711418.4084139.1925585/D=egroupweb/S=170
50
>
63108:HM/A=1327985/R=0/*http://ad.doubleclick.net/clk;4870024;7586687;x?
http://www.ameriquestmortgage.com/welcome.html?ad=Yahoo01>2da906.jpg
> >2da954.jpg
> >
> >Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> ><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17378. RE: [bayscan] Covert airport perimeter surveillance?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-07 22:16:33 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17371 Message: 17378

Doug I agree unfortunately we have the wahoos out there that just like to wine

02:05 PM 12/7/2002 -0800, you wrote:
> From my perspective, the questions that should be considered before
>posting are 1.) Would the information be of material value to any
>individual or group that threatens our national security? and 2.) Is the
>information sufficiently difficult to obtain by other means that posting
>it in this forum would make it easier for our enemies to obtain the
>data?
>
>My judgment is that the information posted, if true, meets both tests
>and should have been withheld.
>
>Doug
>-----Original Message-----
>From: Glists [mailto:lists@...]
>Sent: Saturday, December 07, 2002 1:28 PM
>To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [bayscan] Covert airport perimeter surveillance?
>
>Progressive foward thinking. Using a radio in the clear is about as
>secure
>as depending on the police to keep your possessions safe if you leave
>your
>doors unlocked. Did the ECPA keep Newt Gingrich's little sins a secret?
>
>BTW, feel free to put me in your kill file.
>
>At 01:05 PM 12/7/2002, you wrote:
> >oh here we go again its time for our weekly rendition of
> >
> >LIBERAL ASS BACKWARDS THINKING
> >
> >
> >Please continue
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >At 12:31 PM 12/7/2002 -0800, you wrote:
> > >If they have money for a special Homeland Security operation at Texas
>A&M
> > >(plus other pork), they should have enough money to get secure radios
>if it
> > >was of a concern to Tom Ridge or congress. Thus, posting the freq is
>no big
> > >deal since there is no concern for secrecy.
> > >
> > >At 11:39 AM 12/7/2002, you wrote:
> > > >real smart to post the frequency :-(
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> > >
> <<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>http://docs.yahoo.
com/info/terms/
> > >
> > >
> > >Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > >ADVERTISEMENT
> >
> ><<http://rd.yahoo.com/M=234081.2711418.4084139.1925585/D=egroupweb/S=170>
> http://rd.yahoo.com/M=234081.2711418.4084139.1925585/D=egroupweb/S=170
>50
> >
>63108:HM/A=1327985/R=0/*<http://ad.doubleclick.net/clk;4870024;7586687;x?>http://ad.doubl
eclick.net/clk;4870024;7586687;x?
><http://www.ameriquestmortgage.com/welcome.html?ad=Yahoo01>http://www.ameriquestmortgage.
com/welcome.html?ad=Yahoo01>2da906.jpg
> > >2da954.jpg
> > >
> > >Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> > ><<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Y
> ahoo! Terms of Service.
> >
> >
> >[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >

> >
> >
> >Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17379. Re: [bayscan] Covert airport perimeter surveillance?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-07 22:43:41 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17371 Message: 17379

My point exactly guys. There are frequencies that are easy to find, i.e., Police call, Kelty, Internet that I just as soon were NOT in those books. There is one agency who I can pick up narcs most any afternoon or evening and I think 1) they ought to be more careful about their radio traffic and 2) despite it being a frequency available to the general public I choose to NOT post it here. Its all a matter of common sense. I understand Gary's' point but respectfully choose a different road to follow as far as what I post. However, having been on this list for sometime, I feel its time to stop the name calling also.
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17380. Re: [bayscan] Covert airport perimeter surveillance

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-07 23:15:27 UTC
From: J. Smith
Topic: 17380 Message: 17380

[napalm safety: off]
I never saw the post that Gary quotes below, so I'm not sure if that poster was referring to me or to the original post I was quoting, or if there was any content related to the issue. I have no problem with disclosing the open use of a frequency when the people on this list are 3000+ miles away, the subject is hypothetical, and the frequency is unconfirmed. This is NOT a national security issue. God, some of you people are so self-centered as to believe that Terrorists or The Government are watching your every move, and that your move is important! You (and I) are insignificant. There are about 15 regular posters here, an average of around 350 messages a week, you think Osama himself is shifting through all this shit about tow truck frequencies and routine grass fires looking for information about an airport frequency in Maryland? He'd never get anything done, and there are hundreds of lists which would be more direct. You give anyone with a modicum of motivation and 15 minutes with a web browser and they'll get much more useful information than what frequency maybe be used by some maybe rent-a-cops to maybe watch the fences around a maybe airport, maybe thousands of miles away.

You want the original posters email address? You can take it up with him and the members of the two lists he posted it to (and no one yet has taken issue with it on either list and, it was a local freq for them, horrors!).

This isn't the proper forum to discuss larger national issues, but when the American people become afraid of what they can and can't say because a hypothetical boogeyman may be listening, when they become their own thoughtpolice, then they might as well admit that they are unworthy of the principles this country was based upon.

I never saw that post probably because I killfiled that poster, whomever it was (there's no name below), months ago for being a waste of my time. I wonder how much other annoying drivel I was spared by doing that. (It's probably that guy that has CAPSLOCK problems -- freakin' spastic-colon trailer-dwelling paranoid AOL user). Don't look now, Walter Mitty, but your grocery cashier is actually a deep-cover terrorist and she's been assigned to report on you.
[napalm safety: on]

<ahem>

So, anyway, has anyone ever even heard of this hypothetical program? Anyone picking up any interesting new frequencies that they aren't afraid to mention?

----- Original Message -----
From: "Glists" <lists@...>

To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 07, 2002 12:31 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Covert airport perimeter surveillance?

> If they have money for a special Homeland Security operation at Texas A&M
> (plus other pork), they should have enough money to get secure radios if
it
> was of a concern to Tom Ridge or congress. Thus, posting the freq is no
big
> deal since there is no concern for secrecy.
>
> At 11:39 AM 12/7/2002, you wrote:
> >real smart to post the frequency :-(

17381. RE: [bayscan] Covert airport perimeter surveillance?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-08 01:11:49 UTC
From: Matthew Kaufman
Topic: 17371 Message: 17381

This hobby is, by its very nature, "listening to things that are intended for other people".

And any discussion of frequencies is "one person who doesn't need to have information about a frequency sharing that information with other people who don't need to have that information"

If we're all going to stop talking about frequencies used by public agencies, we might as well shut all the lists down for good. And then turn off all our scanners, since if we're not going to participate in the hobby, having a scanner on is just a waste of electricity.

Personally, I enjoy when someone finds something new on the air and shares it with others. I've done the same, and will in the future, when I find something new.

And, especially nowadays, when anybody who really needs to protect their communications can go to any radio dealer and get unbreakable-by-hobbiests encryption for relatively cheap, I'm not too concerned about revealing anything particularly sensitive.

Matthew Kaufman
matthew@...

Ps. As for drug enforcement channels... The only way to hear the amazing prejudices and hear about the ridiculous wastes of manpower and taxpayer funds is to listen. As that stuff goes encrypted, I'm sure the amount of entirely inappropriate traffic is going way up.

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Jeff & Pam [mailto:jpschil@...]
> Sent: Saturday, December 07, 2002 1:59 PM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Covert airport perimeter surveillance?
>
>
> I agree that it is not secure but what logic makes it
> necessary to post
> those freqs? I am quite sure many of us have DEA or other
> agency freqs that
> we do not post this is just plain logical unless of course
> you want every
> right to listen taken away . the long and short of it is keep
> sensitive
> things under your hat
> is that so hard to understand?
>

>

17382. Re: [bayscan] Covert airport perimeter surveillance

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-08 01:34:49 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17380 Message: 17382

a bottle of vadium might help

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17383. Frequencies for Santana Row in San Jose?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-08 02:01:47 UTC
From: rheisner <rheisner@yahoo.com>
Topic: 17383 Message: 17383

Does anyone have the frequencies for the new Santana Row?

I'm in the Bay Area for a week and would like get IRS updated.

http://www.saltydogradio.com/irs/IRS_Addendum_20021201.pdf

T.I.A.
Bob

17384. Re: [bayscan] Covert airport perimeter surveillance?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-08 02:03:11 UTC
From: Glists
Topic: 17371 Message: 17384

I camped out on a hill top for three days to get the Groom Lake tower and clearance freq. I know how hard it is to get a freq or two. The only reason I wouldn't publish the frequencies is that they might get changed if they were published. I doubt I could compromise the security of the government since some government could just buy a spectrum analyzer and get the frequency in a few minutes for what took me days.

If you think some evil-doer can't get a frequency, well, you are just kidding yourself. Ronald Reagan made the IRAC secret, yet there is really very little federal and military conventional radio (i.e. not spread spectrum) information that is a secret.

At 02:43 PM 12/7/2002, you wrote:
>My point exactly guys. There are frequencies that are easy to find, i.e.,
>Police call, Kelty, Internet that I just as soon were NOT in those books.
>There is one agency who I can pick up narcs most any afternoon or evening and
>I think 1) they ought to be more careful about their radio traffic and 2)
>despite it being a frequency available to the general public I choose to NOT
>post it here. Its all a matter of common sense. I understand Gary's' point
>but respectfully choose a different road to follow as far as what I post.
>However, having been on this list for sometime, I feel its time to stop the
>name calling also.
>Ed
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17385. Re: [bayscan] Covert airport perimeter surveillance

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-08 02:11:32 UTC
From: Glists
Topic: 17380 Message: 17385

FWIW,. the original post was done on fedcom, but I forget who forwarded to bayscan.

BTW, It hasn't been mentioned on this list, but it's not a secret that there will be a security station built at the new observation point by the Golden Gate bridge, and I expect some sort of federal presence there, i.e. Homeland Security. Now if the feds are so stupid as to operate a radio in the clear at that location, I'll be listening. Now reporting might be another story....

At 03:15 PM 12/7/2002, you wrote:
>[napalm safety: off]
>I never saw the post that Gary quotes below, so I'm not sure if that poster
>was referring to me or to the original post I was quoting, or if there was
>any content related to the issue.

17386. Re: Covert airport perimeter surveillance?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-08 02:59:21 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <jim_hack@yahoo.com>
Topic: 17371 Message: 17386

Gee, I thought the purpose of this group was:
Used for exchanging frequencies of CHP, Police, Fire and Public
service agencies in addition to Media and business.
At least that's what it says in the opening page...

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> real smart to post the frequency :-(
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17387. Military Air

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-08 04:04:04 UTC
From: Al Gonzalez
Topic: 17387 Message: 17387

Hello everyone,

I lost some of my data on my computer and I am looking for the freak's for our fighter cap. Also if you can tell what they are Air to air, bigfoot etc..

Thanks for your help

Al

17388. Everyone Calm Down!!!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-08 04:09:38 UTC
From: Robert Sanford <baynews@yahoo.com>
Topic: 17388 Message: 17388

OK its time for everyone to take it easy and take your arguments
between each other and not on the list.

I put this this into "moderated" setting until that time..

This is something I NEVER like to do but you people give me no choice.

Robert
BayScan List Manager

17389. Re: [bayscan] Re: Berkeley PD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-08 04:08:38 UTC
From: magikaldj642@cs.com
Topic: 17290 Message: 17389

Do you happen to have the PL's for these??? I also show 460.1750 as Pittsburg PD2 with PL 127.3. Thanks in advance for any info.

Mark

17390. SJPD Ch.14?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-08 03:17:51 UTC
From: Christopher Handisides
Topic: 17390 Message: 17390

A couple of times recently, I've heard San Jose PD running surveillance operations on channels 9-11, and heard references to what sounds like either "Bat 14" or "Dat 14". Does anybody have any more information on this, or know what they were actually saying? From the context, it sounds rather like they have the option of going digital or analog on certain tactical channels. Once I heard them switch over to "14", and got the faintest of hits on what Kelty shows as SJ14. (They were tailing someone into the Hayward area on 880, and the reception is in keeping with simplex traffic at that range.) However, the times that I've heard them speaking of switching over to this "Bat" or "Dat" channel, I haven't heard a peep on any of the SJPD freqs [as Kelty lists them] after the switchover took place. Given the distance from where I was, to where I believe they were, it's just about as likely that they were too far away, as they were transmitting on a freq that I didn't have programmed.

--Chris

17391. Re: [bayscan] Everyone Calm Down!!!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-08 06:56:33 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17388 Message: 17391

its about time robert At 04:09 AM 12/8/2002 +0000, you wrote:
>OK its time for everyone to take it easy and take your arguments
>between each other and not on the list.
>
>I put this this into "moderated" setting until that time..
>
>This is something I NEVER like to do but you people give me no choice.
>
>Robert
>BayScan List Manager
>
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17392. Re: [bayscan] SJPD Ch.14?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-08 07:15:16 UTC
From: Glists
Topic: 17390 Message: 17392

It is BAT, which stands for Body Audio Tap. That was the original use for the frequencies. They are all simplex, though I am told San Jose PD uses a surveillance repeater on the frequencies. This has been going on for maybe 5 years. I've tried with no success to get the frequencies since you never know where the action is located, and it is all low power. There are both VHF and UFH bat radios. Rumor has it the VHF freq is in the 159Mhz band, i.e. 159.xxxx

At 07:17 PM 12/7/2002, you wrote:
>A couple of times recently, I've heard San Jose PD running surveillance
>operations on channels 9-11, and heard references to what sounds like either
>"Bat 14" or "Dat 14". Does anybody have any more information on this, or
>know what they were actually saying? From the context, it sounds rather
>like they have the option of going digital or analog on certain tactical
>channels. Once I heard them switch over to "14", and got the faintest of
>hits on what Kelty shows as SJ14. (They were tailing someone into the
>Hayward area on 880, and the reception is in keeping with simplex traffic at
>that range.) However, the times that I've heard them speaking of switching
>over to this "Bat" or "Dat" channel, I haven't heard a peep on any of the
>SJPD freqs [as Kelty lists them] after the switchover took place. Given the
>distance from where I was, to where I believe they were, it's just about as
>likely that they were too far away, as they were transmitting on a freq that
>I didn't have programmed.
>
>--Chris
>
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17393. Re: [bayscan] Military Air

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-08 07:34:28 UTC
From: Glists
Topic: 17387 Message: 17393

To get the full detail on refueling, go to this page
http://164.214.2.62/products/digitalaero/dafifindex.cfm
and download ap1b

Warning: it a big document.

The bottom of this web page has more links on refueling:
http://www.lazygranch.com/arnttr.htm

At 08:04 PM 12/7/2002, you wrote:
>Hello everyone,
>
>I lost some of my data on my computer and I am looking for the freak's for
>our fighter cap. Also if you can tell what they are Air to air, bigfoot
>etc..
>
>Thanks for your help
>
>Al
>
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17394. Re: [bayscan] Military Air

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-08 07:25:02 UTC
From: Glists
Topic: 17387 Message: 17394

Bigfoot can be found here:
http://home.attbi.com/~n6jpa/nworradio/norad.html
The trick to finding the freqs is to search for both bigfoot and wads, else
you get too many hits about a hairy monster.

I have a refueling PDF located here:
http://www.lazygranch.com/air_refuel_routes.pdf
Do me a favor and save it to your hard drive rather than loading it when
you need it.

At 08:04 PM 12/7/2002, you wrote:
>Hello everyone,
>
>I lost some of my data on my computer and I am looking for the freak's for
>our fighter cap. Also if you can tell what they are Air to air, bigfoot
>etc..
>
>Thanks for your help
>
>Al
>
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17395. Re: [bayscan] SJSU PD on 460.075?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-08 15:55:32 UTC
From: Kevin Gallagher
Topic: 15047 Message: 17395

Confirm SJSU, and Channel 2 is 460.2250 with the same pl.

Kevin

Christopher Handisides wrote:

> Just found what sounds like the SJSU PD on 460.075 (PL 151.4), but I
> can't
> find any references to this frequency anywhere online. Ring a bell
> with
> anyone?
>
> --Chris
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17396. Fwd: [SCANnorCAL] ScanningUSA: "The Radio Hobby After September 11th"

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-08 14:40:02 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17396 Message: 17396

```
>X-From_:
>sentto-27920-13843-1039338747-jpschil=hollinet.com@...
> Sun Dec 8 01:12:57 2002
>X-eGroups-Return:
>sentto-27920-13843-1039338747-jpschil=hollinet.com@...
>X-Sender: tracy@...
>X-Apparently-To: SCANnorCAL@yahoogroups.com
>To: SCANnorCAL@yahoogroups.com
>User-Agent: eGroups-EW/0.82
>X-Mailer: Yahoo Groups Message Poster
>From: "Tracy <tracy@...>" <tracy@...>
>X-Originating-IP: 67.117.6.113
>X-Yahoo-Profile: freqofnature
>Mailing-List: list SCANnorCAL@yahoogroups.com; contact
>SCANnorCAL-owner@yahoogroups.com
>Delivered-To: mailing list SCANnorCAL@yahoogroups.com
>List-Unsubscribe: <mailto:SCANnorCAL-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com>
>Date: Sun, 08 Dec 2002 09:12:25 -0000
>Subject: [SCANnorCAL] ScanningUSA: "The Radio Hobby After September 11th"
>Reply-To: SCANnorCAL@yahoogroups.com
>
>If you haven't already read the December 2002 issue of Scanning USA
>it has an article by Jack Hickok of www.norcalscan.org titled "The
>Radio Hobby After September 11th". The article goes into some detail
>about Jakes concerns regarding the dissemination of sensitive radio
>system information in the world we woke up to on 9/11/2001.
>
>A few months prior to his article being published, Jake had
>contacted his fellow California radio scanner web site owners (an
>unofficial group of web sites that cover California),
>www.scancal.org and www.freqofnature.com to discuss these concerns.
>We all agreed that there are certain things that should not be
>published on our web sites. While I can't speak for Jake
>(www.norcalscan.org) or Mike (www.scancal.org) I have made a
>concerted effort to not publish certain information that I consider
>sensitive. It's a much larger task to go back through the entire web
>site to remove such items, but it is being done as I update those
>pages.
>
>The following is a list of things I consider to fall under the
>definition of "sensitive information":
>
>* Surveillance specific frequencies/talkgroups
>* Surveillance operations
```

>* Body wire frequencies
>* Transmitter locations
>* Input PL tones
>* K-9 School frequencies/talk groups
>
>In his article, Jake mentions newsgroups like the popular Yahoo
>Groups where radio scanning information is shared. Since only a
>small percentage of group members actually contribute by posting
>messages, it gives you the feeling that there are only a very few
>members reading the messages when in fact there are hundreds. Before
>clicking that SEND button, remember that hundreds of people are
>going to read your message.
>
>Our hobby of radio monitoring should not be at odds with public
>safety; in fact it should contribute to it. How many police officers
>and fire fighters choose their field of work because they listened
>to a radio scanner as a kid? How many radio scanner hobbyists hold a
>HAM Radio license? How many times have a citizen reported the
>location of a wanted suspect after hearing the description of the
>suspect over a radio scanner?
>
>I think that Jake has initiated an important trend in our hobby by
>voicing these concerns and actively meeting with public safety
>officials. Hopefully, by self regulating ourselves we won't
>contribute to the risk of those who serve to protect us and we will
>be bettering the public image of our hobby.
>
>Tracy Justus
>www.freqofnature.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17397. Re: [bayscan] SJPD Acronyms

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-08 15:59:04 UTC
From: Kevin Gallagher
Topic: 15064 Message: 17397

I believe it's CMT for Crisis Intervention Trained. I've heard the dispatchers ask officers " Are you CMT?"

Kevin

Christopher Handisides wrote:

> Two terms I've heard being used on San Jose PD channels in the last
> few days
> that aren't immediately obvious:
>
> "CMD" - Some sort of a specialized unit or assignment?
> "L8" - Some sort of a 'less-lethal' weapon.
>
> ...anybody got a clue that they could spare? :)
>
> --Chris
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT
[Image]

>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17398. New Digital Scanners

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-08 17:08:23 UTC
From: Kevin Gallagher
Topic: 17398 Message: 17398

Does anyone know if the new Uniden digital scanners will pick up Santa Clara Co Sheriff's channel 2 and 3? (155.7 & 156.15) They've been digital for some years but I've not heard much about them.

Kevin

17399. RE: [bayscan] Military Air

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-08 19:08:45 UTC
From: Al Gonzalez
Topic: 17387 Message: 17399

I have tried to down load the PDF file and for some reason it dose not work.
Would there be another way to get it?

Al
-----Original Message-----
From: Glists [mailto:lists@...]
Sent: Saturday, December 07, 2002 11:25 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Military Air

Bigfoot can be found here:
http://home.attbi.com/~n6jpa/nworradio/norad.html
The trick to finding the freqs is to search for both bigfoot and wads, else
you get too many hits about a hairy monster.

I have a refueling PDF located here:
http://www.lazygranch.com/air_refuel_routes.pdf
Do me a favor and save it to your hard drive rather than loading it when
you need it.

At 08:04 PM 12/7/2002, you wrote:
>Hello everyone,
>
>I lost some of my data on my computer and I am looking for the freak's for
>our fighter cap. Also if you can tell what they are Air to air, bigfoot
>etc..
>
>Thanks for your help
>
>Al
>
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17400. RE: [bayscan] Military Air

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-08 19:41:54 UTC
From: Glists
Topic: 17387 Message: 17400

I just tried my PDF link and it works. I'm in the process of making
accurate refueling maps for Red Flag, which you can see on this page:
http://www.lazygranch.com/images/flip/ar625h.gif
In around two weeks time, I should have them done for the bay area.

If you need the information now (without maps):
Travis
AR5H/AR255H/AR255L primary 283.9 backup 319.5 airspace 306.2 exit 133.375
AR5L primary 366.3 backup 319.5 airspace 387.1 exit 134.15
AR7A primary 276.5 backup 319.5 airspace 327.1 exit 269.1
AR7B primary 295.4 backup 319.5 airspace 269.1 exit 327.1

At 11:08 AM 12/8/2002, you wrote:
>I have tried to down load the PDF file and for some reason it dose not work.
>Would there be another way to get it?
>
>Al
>-----Original Message-----
>From: Glists [mailto:lists@...]
>Sent: Saturday, December 07, 2002 11:25 PM
>To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [bayscan] Military Air
>
>Bigfoot can be found here:
>http://home.attbi.com/~n6jpa/nworradio/norad.html
>The trick to finding the freqs is to search for both bigfoot and wads, else
>you get too many hits about a hairy monster.
>
>I have a refueling PDF located here:
>http://www.lazygranch.com/air_refuel_routes.pdf
>Do me a favor and save it to your hard drive rather than loading it when
>you need it.
>
>
>At 08:04 PM 12/7/2002, you wrote:
> >Hello everyone,
> >
> >I lost some of my data on my computer and I am looking for the freak's for
> >our fighter cap. Also if you can tell what they are Air to air, bigfoot
> >etc..
> >
> >Thanks for your help
> >
> >Al
> >

> >
> >
> >
> >Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17401. Re: [bayscan] Fwd: [SCANnorCAL] ScanningUSA: "The Radio Hobby After September...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-08 21:13:20 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17401 Message: 17401

I couldn't agree more with Tracy. That is EXACTLY why I disagree with posting the frequency involving airport security that set off such a war. Common Sense needs to prevail. Good post and good for Jake pulling the frequencys/TGs/PLs mentioned.
ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17402. online feed arrangement

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-09 02:27:11 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 17402 Message: 17402

now that cdf season is over. i am thinking about changing my feeds a bit...

for those who are interested; would you like cdf taken off and something different put in its' place or would you like the feeds switched abit..

ie. chp and fd on the same feed or trunking and fd on the same feed... let me know your opinion. as always, my feeds are only as good as the feedback associated with it..

17403. Which scanner?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-09 02:32:39 UTC
From: Jeff E. Kinzli
Topic: 17403 Message: 17403

Hey Folks,

Since it's Christmas time, I'm looking to get a new scanner :)

My main listening interests are local fire/PD, etc. (42-160mHz, 460mHz), MilCom (30mHz I guess), and some aircraft listening.

I'd like a scanner that can go in my car, and can come out easily to take in the house too.

I was thinking of the BC780XLT or BC895XL. Should I rather consider a handheld? I have an earlier RS handheld now, but I have to turn the volume up 100% just to hear it in the car.

Thanks for any suggestions...

-J

17404. RE: [bayscan] Which scanner?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-09 02:48:11 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 17403 Message: 17404

780 780 780.... i have an 895.. no comparison... get a 780 unless u wanna wait a couple months for the new ones to come out...

www.mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & central California

-----Original Message-----
From: Jeff E. Kinzli [mailto:kinzli@...]
Sent: Sunday, December 08, 2002 6:33 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Which scanner?

Hey Folks,

Since it's Christmas time, I'm looking to get a new scanner :)

My main listening interests are local fire/PD, etc. (42-160mHz, 460mHz), MilCom (30mHz I guess), and some aircraft listening.

I'd like a scanner that can go in my car, and can come out easily to take in the house too.

I was thinking of the BC780XLT or BC895XL. Should I rather consider a handheld? I have an earlier RS handheld now, but I have to turn the volume up 100% just to hear it in the car.

Thanks for any suggestions...

-J

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17405. Re: [bayscan] Which scanner?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-09 02:55:53 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 17403 Message: 17405

> 780 780 780.... i have an 895.. no comparison...

Certainly their prices don't compare :-)

But I have a further question ...

>> I'd like a scanner that can go in my car, and can
>> come out easily to take in the house too.

The 895 of course won't easily fit in your car. But I'm also not so sure about how easy it would be to make a 780 transportable. Has anyone here done that kind of thing? I sure would like a 780 in my vehicle, but I'd much rather figure out a way to drag the one I have around than cough up the bucks for a whole new one . . . ScannerMaster said they were working on a "remote head" option for the 780 which is also sorta interesting, but it doesn't seem like that ever really came to pass.

Good experiences with transportable 780s to share?

/jordan

17406. RE: [bayscan] Which scanner?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-09 03:00:26 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 17403 Message: 17406

at one point i had 2 780's in the center console of my corolla.. its was pretty simple to take in and out....i had the poor mans cable setup... antnenex antenna on the roof with 8 ft of cable running in the jam of the back door, under the front seat up to the center console with the power adapters plugged into a 3 port cig adapter...

www.mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & central California

-----Original Message-----
From: Jordan Hayes [mailto:jmhayes@...]
Sent: Sunday, December 08, 2002 6:56 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Which scanner?

> 780 780 780.... i have an 895.. no comparison...

Certainly their prices don't compare :-)

But I have a further question ...

>> I'd like a scanner that can go in my car, and can
>> come out easily to take in the house too.

The 895 of course won't easily fit in your car. But I'm also not so sure about how easy it would be to make a 780 transportable. Has anyone here done that kind of thing? I sure would like a 780 in my vehicle, but I'd much rather figure out a way to drag the one I have around than cough up the bucks for a whole new one . . . ScannerMaster said they were working on a "remote head" option for the 780 which is also sorta interesting, but it doesn't seem like that ever really came to pass.

Good experiences with transportable 780s to share?

/jordan

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17407. Re: [bayscan] Which scanner?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-09 03:37:51 UTC
From: w0web
Topic: 17403 Message: 17407

I believe that the 785 is starting at 369.99 and the digital card is 299.99
I would start with a 780 unless you live in an area that is going digital
sometime soon.
Mobile mounting: maybe use an old C.B.slide mount ???? I did the some thing
for a friend in her car with her VHF/UHF ham radio (2 mounts one half on
Power supply in house,other half in the car only power and audio, coax is to
the radio)
George H. (w0web)
w0web@...
----- Original Message -----
From: "Ben kellogg" <ben96cal@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 08, 2002 18:48
Subject: RE: [bayscan] Which scanner?

> 780 780 780.... i have an 895.. no comparison... get a 780 unless u wanna
> wait a couple months for the new ones to come out...
>
> www.mattlogic.com
> Online scanning for Northern & central California
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Jeff E. Kinzli [mailto:kinzli@...]
> Sent: Sunday, December 08, 2002 6:33 PM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [bayscan] Which scanner?
>
>
> Hey Folks,
>
> Since it's Christmas time, I'm looking to get a new scanner :)
>
> My main listening interests are local fire/PD, etc. (42-160mHz, 460mHz),
> MilCom (30mHz I guess), and some aircraft listening.
>
> I'd like a scanner that can go in my car, and can come out easily to
> take in the house too.
>
> I was thinking of the BC780XLT or BC895XL. Should I rather consider a
> handheld? I have an earlier RS handheld now, but I have to turn the
> volume up 100% just to hear it in the car.
>
> Thanks for any suggestions...
>
> -J

>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.426 / Virus Database: 239 - Release Date: 12/2/2002

17408. Ya gotta be nuts

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-09 05:06:30 UTC
From: d1033 <d952@mohaveaz.com>
Topic: 17408 Message: 17408

To pay upwards of $670.00 for a scanner.

No wonder young people are in such debt. Hell that certainly can't manage money, can you?

Scanners, computers, multiple monthly phone statements, car (s),utilities, rent/mortage, ins, property taxes, an assortment of electronic gadetry, etc. etc.

17409. Re: Ya gotta be nuts

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-09 05:18:09 UTC
From: Tracy <tracy@freqofnature.com>
Topic: 17408 Message: 17409

Radio scanning is actually quite a cheap hobby. $700 every other year is cheap. Heck, your spending $700/yr for the Internet connection your using right now, and that doesn't count the cost of your computer or software. I guess we could all go back to living in log cabins and whittling for entertainment. ;)

Tracy
www.freqofnature.com

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "d1033 <d952@m...>" <d952@m...>
wrote:
> To pay upwards of $670.00 for a scanner.
>
> No wonder young people are in such debt. Hell that certainly
can't
> manage money, can you?
>
> Scanners, computers, multiple monthly phone statements, car
> (s),utilities, rent/mortage, ins, property taxes, an assortment of
> electronic gadetry, etc. etc.

17410. Re: [bayscan] Ya gotta be nuts

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-09 05:22:00 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 17408 Message: 17410

d1033 wrote:
> To pay upwards of $670.00 for a scanner.

Thank you for your opinion.

> No wonder young people are in such debt. Hell that certainly can't
> manage money, can you?

I think I know what you are trying to say here, but would you like to
try it again? And please define your concept of "young."

> Scanners, computers, multiple monthly phone statements, car
> (s),utilities, rent/mortage, ins, property taxes, an assortment of
> electronic gadetry, etc. etc.

All of those are valid expenses for many here, yes. Especially those
who have a professional tie to their listening.

Don

17411. Re: [bayscan] Ya gotta be nuts

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-09 05:28:46 UTC
From: Kevin Gallagher
Topic: 17408 Message: 17411

Not everyone is in debt. Many people manage to afford scanners and pay their bills and act responsible.

"d1033 " wrote:

> To pay upwards of $670.00 for a scanner.
>
> No wonder young people are in such debt. Hell that certainly can't
> manage money, can you?
>
> Scanners, computers, multiple monthly phone statements, car
> (s),utilities, rent/mortage, ins, property taxes, an assortment of
> electronic gadetry, etc. etc.
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17412. RE: [bayscan] Ya gotta be nuts

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-09 05:36:33 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 17408 Message: 17412

what do young people have to do with the cost of scanners? last time i went through my rolodex of hobbiests over half are over the age of 40. so define young... also on an economic theme. if people weren't spending the money for them they'd be alot cheaper... so the demand for 700 smackoroonie scanners is there. and the list of bills? last time i checked unless you were an eskimo living in alaska, all but 2 of those are necessities....

www.mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & central California

-----Original Message-----
From: d1033 <d952@...> [mailto:d952@...]
Sent: Sunday, December 08, 2002 9:07 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Ya gotta be nuts

To pay upwards of $670.00 for a scanner.

No wonder young people are in such debt. Hell that certainly can't manage money, can you?

Scanners, computers, multiple monthly phone statements, car (s),utilities, rent/mortage, ins, property taxes, an assortment of electronic gadetry, etc. etc.

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17413. Re: [bayscan] Ya gotta be nuts

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-09 05:45:24 UTC
From: Mike
Topic: 17408 Message: 17413

I think im on the younger spectrum of scanning being 17. And 700 for 1 scanner that should hold me over for at least 3 years is fine with me. Thne again I could have a new scanner or nice rims for me car... we will see in January :)
Mike

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17414. RE: [bayscan] Ya gotta be nuts

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-09 05:49:06 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 17408 Message: 17414

the bay area also isn't exactly rich in digital signals.. lets see.. livermore lab in east bay. sf trunk has some in ems and pd... i don't know of any alco digital trunk tg's... sorry but for me not worth spending 400 bucks on a digital card.. definitely for a scanner without the card but not both....

www.mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & central California

-----Original Message-----
From: Mike [mailto:norcalfire@...]
Sent: Sunday, December 08, 2002 9:45 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Ya gotta be nuts

I think im on the younger spectrum of scanning being 17. And 700 for 1 scanner that should hold me over for at least 3 years is fine with me. Thne again I could have a new scanner or nice rims for me car... we will see in January :)
Mike

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17415. Im sorry

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-09 07:11:52 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17415 Message: 17415

It has been brought to my attention ,(thank you)by some folks out here on Bayscan that I had prolonged the

off topic chatter by posting an opposing view instead of just ignoring it.

If I did offend any body or in any way wrong any body Im very sorry it was not my intention to

offend . I had a selfish thought that a differing opinion needed to be stated and yes I now

see that this was not the place to do so Im sorry to have bothered any of the "scanner folks "

i am still among you who want just "scanner stuff out here I sometimes loose control thats all thanks

again for listening and for reminding me, God bless

Jeffrey in Hollister<><

17416. Re: [bayscan] Im sorry

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-09 07:19:08 UTC
From: TK
Topic: 17415 Message: 17416

I hope you had some salt and pepper to flavor that Crow you were eatin'

Jeff & Pam wrote:

> It has been brought to my attention ,(thank you)by some folks out here on
> Bayscan that I had prolonged the
>
> off topic chatter by posting an opposing view instead of just ignoring it.
>
> If I did offend any body or in any way wrong any body Im very sorry it was
> not my intention to
>
> offend . I had a selfish thought that a differing opinion needed to be
> stated and yes I now
>
> see that this was not the place to do so Im sorry to have bothered any of
> the "scanner folks "
>
> i am still among you who want just "scanner stuff out here I sometimes
> loose control thats all thanks
>
> again for listening and for reminding me, God bless
>
> Jeffrey in Hollister<><
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17417. RE: [bayscan] Ya gotta be nuts

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-09 08:14:22 UTC
From: Glists
Topic: 17408 Message: 17417

I think you would want the ability to add a digital card, but not buy the card right away. I've always thought that the card simply won't fly at those prices unless there is nothing to hear without it. It hasn't been mentioned in a while, but the card is expensive to insure those who developed the card got paid. [The claim was they didn't make much money from Uniden for developing the trunk tracking technology.] Uniden could have incorporated the APCO electronics in the radio if it wasn't for an intellectual property rights issue.

At 09:49 PM 12/8/2002, you wrote:
>the bay area also isn't exactly rich in digital signals.. lets see..
>livermore lab in east bay. sf trunk has some in ems and pd... i don't know
>of any alco digital trunk tg's... sorry but for me not worth spending 400
>bucks on a digital card.. definitely for a scanner without the card but not
>both....
>
>www.mattlogic.com
>Online scanning for Northern & central California
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Mike [mailto:norcalfire@...]
> Sent: Sunday, December 08, 2002 9:45 PM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Ya gotta be nuts
>
>
> I think im on the younger spectrum of scanning being 17. And 700 for 1
>scanner that should hold me over for at least 3 years is fine with me. Thne
>again I could have a new scanner or nice rims for me car... we will see in
>January :)
> Mike
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17418. Re: [bayscan] Which scanner?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-09 10:06:33 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17403 Message: 17418

[Body unavailable in the source archive]

17419. Re: [bayscan] Which scanner?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-09 10:09:25 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17403 Message: 17419

Sorry about the early post, to continue ..... its too bad the 785 isn't already out because I feel once it comes out the price on the 780 will drop.
anyway, www.scannerpro has them for 319xx, best price I could find
i have three BC780s ,,, as far a HH, the BC245 is my favorite right now, although the 250 is due out soon, I would be surprised if you can get one by Christmas however.
ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17420. Re: [bayscan] Ya gotta be nuts

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-09 10:17:07 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17408 Message: 17420

I would agree with Ben. The card isn't worth the money right NOW. But in the future it may be. There is one gentleman on this list that lives in Cupertino. Without a 785 or 250 AND the card he is screwed because SCSO 2 is digital. Yeah, they are on channel 1 between about 0300 and 0700 BIG DEAL. Once enough stuff goes digital, I will buy the card.
But hey guys, its your money to do with what you want. Let face it, one big drawback to the bayarea its damn expensive. what one spends their money on is their business. Heck, I keep seeing them Hummers on the street, sucking gasoline at 50K+ , but it ain't my money
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17421. Re: [bayscan] Im sorry (for what?????)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-09 11:54:56 UTC
From: Harry Calahan
Topic: 17415 Message: 17421

Opposing views are what differentiates us from societies like that of, Saddams?.. The radio "nazis" aren?t always right. These self important idiots preaching this national security babble need a reality check. It apparently gives some a feeling of great importance to censor or "pull" information that is easily available in books, public records, or other sources on the net . Every time they do, the terrorists win. Every time the feds take a little more of our freedom, the terrorists win. Every time a rail buff gets hassled in bum*#&$ nowhere, the terrorists win. I HAVE NEWS FLASH: THE TERRORISTS ARE NOT COMING TO SAN CARLOS AND THEY DONT CARE WHAT THE INPUT PL IS FOR SOME POLICE OR FIRE DEPARTMENT FIGURE IT OUT. I would suggest that all the nazis get together and throw their scanners in the bay, and while they are sinking, like the rest of our freedoms, they can have a group hug. Oh and don?t forget to stop by the mall on the way home, I hear security is looking for a few good men?.
H. Calahan

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail Plus - Powerful. Affordable. Sign up now

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17422. Re: [bayscan] Im sorry (for what?????)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-09 12:32:56 UTC
From: Dirti Stringer
Topic: 17415 Message: 17422

Which Mall are you talking about??????

--- Harry Calahan <oessanmateo@...> wrote:
>
> Opposing views are what differentiates us from
> societies like that of, Saddams?.. The radio "nazis"
> aren?t always right. These self important idiots
> preaching this national security babble need a
> reality check. It apparently gives some a feeling of
> great importance to censor or "pull" information
> that is easily available in books, public records,
> or other sources on the net . Every time they do,
> the terrorists win. Every time the feds take a
> little more of our freedom, the terrorists win.
> Every time a rail buff gets hassled in bum*#&$
> nowhere, the terrorists win. I HAVE NEWS FLASH: THE
> TERRORISTS ARE NOT COMING TO SAN CARLOS AND THEY
> DONT CARE WHAT THE INPUT PL IS FOR SOME POLICE OR
> FIRE DEPARTMENT FIGURE IT OUT. I would suggest that
> all the nazis get together and throw their scanners
> in the bay, and while they are sinking, like the
> rest of our freedoms, they can have a group hug. Oh
> and don?t forget to stop by the mall on the way
> home, I hear security is looking for a few good
> men?.
> H. Calahan
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Do you Yahoo!?
> Yahoo! Mail Plus - Powerful. Affordable. Sign up now
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been
> removed]
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail Plus - Powerful. Affordable. Sign up now.
http://mailplus.yahoo.com

17423. Re: [bayscan] Ya gotta be nuts

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-09 13:00:51 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17408 Message: 17423

Ed
but Don't them hummers look cool? I saw one the other day guy had every
radio known to man in the thing
even had a Icom PRC 1000 running on a laptop about seven antennas on it
,GPS and satellite radio , CB, ham rig, a 780 and a AOR 8600.he was a
single guy runs a horse ranch ,oh the envy .....LOL

Jeffrey<><

At 05:17 AM 12/9/2002 -0500, you wrote:
>I would agree with Ben. The card isn't worth the money right NOW. But in the
>future it may be. There is one gentleman on this list that lives in
>Cupertino. Without a 785 or 250 AND the card he is screwed because SCSO 2 is
>digital. Yeah, they are on channel 1 between about 0300 and 0700 BIG DEAL.
>Once enough stuff goes digital, I will buy the card.
>But hey guys, its your money to do with what you want. Let face it, one big
>drawback to the bayarea its damn expensive. what one spends their money on is
>their business. Heck, I keep seeing them Hummers on the street, sucking
>gasoline at 50K+ , but it ain't my money
>Ed
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17424. Re: [bayscan] Ya gotta be nuts

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-09 14:13:44 UTC
From: ProzacKillerX3@aol.com
Topic: 17408 Message: 17424

I agree, a scanner for $600 to $700 is ridiculous. Wait a few years and the price will come down. Always does.

Besides folks, when the law enforcement goes digital encrypted, we'll all be out of luck. And that day isn't far off.

Bon hiver! Richard

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17425. Multi-Vehicle accident CoCo cnty.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-09 17:13:32 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17425 Message: 17425

Con Fire on scene of an accident I believe Hwy 4. Needing 2 ambulances and CALSTAR for a rollover with one ejected. 159.615 pl 186.2 Calstar to come up on Calcord. They also said a Police officer involved. Not suire if it's the officer thats hurt or not. Victim has a possible femur fracture.

17426. Re: [bayscan] Ya gotta be nuts

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-09 18:00:59 UTC
From: Tim Tribble
Topic: 17408 Message: 17426

Yes, I am and thank you for noticing.

=====
Donald "Tim" Tribble
KD6MDV
Sacramento, CA
"Our Blessed Lady of Acceleration, don't fail me now!!!"

17427. Re: [bayscan] Im sorry (for what?????)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-09 18:50:13 UTC
From: Mike Shay
Topic: 17415 Message: 17427

You tell um, "Dirty Harry"!

" The art of diplomacy is saying nice doggie, until you find a BIG stick."
Nobag@... Mike

----- Original Message -----
From: "Harry Calahan" <oessanmateo@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, December 09, 2002 3:54 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Im sorry (for what?????)

Opposing views are what differentiates us from societies like that of, Saddams... The radio "nazis" aren't always right. These self important idiots preaching this national security babble need a reality check. It apparently gives some a feeling of great importance to censor or "pull" information that is easily available in books, public records, or other sources on the net . Every time they do, the terrorists win. Every time the feds take a little more of our freedom, the terrorists win. Every time a rail buff gets hassled in bum*#&$ nowhere, the terrorists win. I HAVE NEWS FLASH: THE TERRORISTS ARE NOT COMING TO SAN CARLOS AND THEY DONT CARE WHAT THE INPUT PL IS FOR SOME POLICE OR FIRE DEPARTMENT FIGURE IT OUT. I would suggest that all the nazis get together and throw their scanners in the bay, and while they are sinking, like the rest of our freedoms, they can have a group hug. Oh and don't forget to stop by the mall on the way home, I hear security is looking for a few good men..
H. Calahan

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail Plus - Powerful. Affordable. Sign up now

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17428. Article - Radio Hobby After Sept11 (Scanning USA)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-09 19:03:01 UTC
From: NorCalScan
Topic: 17428 Message: 17428

Please take the time and read the article I've posted that was published in this month's Scanning USA magazine. I wrote this back in October (magazine articles are always a month old ;) and it was hard to keep "quiet" with the recent threads on the radio lists here about what we should keep quiet and not. I figured once the article comes out then I'd just post it and let people read it themselves rather then go into serious debate over the lists.

You'll notice I hardly even touch on "terrorists" rather the common criminal in today's society. These are wishes of the public safety agencies. I've had meetings and discussions with various public safety entities including OES, CDF unit chfs, local Sheriff, PD chf, the owner of a major commercial communications provider, local business owners, and various school districts (I work in the school districts). So these are not just my wishes but wishes of many out there that we listen to. Remember, these are wishes - not direct orders. Just suggestions and something to chew your mind on for a while ;)

http://www.norcalscan.org has the article right there.

Enjoy!
Jake Hickok

17429. Looking for recording of CHP chase 9/29/02 in Dublin

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-09 19:57:35 UTC
From: Michael Leonard <michael3900@yahoo.com>
Topic: 17429 Message: 17429

Doe anybody have a recording of a chase that happened on 9/29/02 involving the CHP and a motorcycle at 10:20am on Tasajara Road in Dublin? If so I am interested in getting a copy. Thanks.

Michael

17430. Update to Industrial Radio System Addendum

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-09 20:46:06 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz
Topic: 13280 Message: 17430

Hi all;

The IRS addendum has been updated and corrected

For a copy go to;

http://www.saltydogradio.com/irs/IRS_Addendum_20021208.pdf

Send additions and corrections to Bob Eisner at
rheisner@...

Regards

Ed

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail Plus - Powerful. Affordable. Sign up now.
http://mailplus.yahoo.com

17431. pro 92 + 245 xlt = 780 xlt

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-09 23:30:18 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 17431 Message: 17431

do you guys think this is a worthy trade and if so anyone interested in it
who has a 780?

Ben
www.mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & central California

17432. Structure Fire pleasanton/ Alameda county fairgrounds

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-10 01:37:18 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17432 Message: 17432

LPFD just called for a 2nd alrarm on this structure fire at the "hall of commerce" building. Attic involved and spreading. heavy fire volume now. Tac 3 TG. 784

17433. 2nd alarm s.f.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-10 01:53:06 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 17433 Message: 17433

s.f. working a 2nd alarm structure fire on 10th st somewhere. sorry didn't get address. working on a-13

www.mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & central California

17434. RE: [Norcalonlinescanning] Structure Fire Pleasanton / Alameda county Fairgrounds

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-10 02:00:15 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 17434 Message: 17434

3476, 3456, 3412 on scene alco calling a twin valley third alarm..

www.mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & central California

-----Original Message-----
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@...>
[mailto:copenhagen1971@...]
Sent: Monday, December 09, 2002 5:39 PM
To: Norcalonlinescanning@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [Norcalonlinescanning] Structure Fire Pleasanton / Alameda county Fairgrounds

LPFD TG 784 Tac 3 2nd alarm Heavy involvement now. Propnae tanks in the building.

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
Norcalonlinescanning-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17435. Re: Pleasanton Structure Fire 3rd Alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-10 02:44:46 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17435 Message: 17435

This went to 3 alarms!! it's at the Commerce Building inside the fairgrounds, across from the "young California" bldng.(for those who know the fairgrounds at all) Just got back from checking it out. It's a Carnival of lights!!! Looks really sweet through the fog and smoke. It was also a who's who of Chiefs! BC's and Chiefs up the wazzu. Now trying to clear out the 2nd alarm units and kepping the mutual aid units and some LPFD units for the next 2 hours for overhaul.

17436. Re: structure fire /pleasanton 3rd alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-10 02:47:46 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17436 Message: 17436

They started using class A foam a few ago. for smoldering planks and debris.

17437. Re: [bayscan] 2nd alarm s.f.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-10 04:20:58 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17433 Message: 17437

that OK Matt.....10th street isn't that long LOL

(would be South of Market)

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17438. fty on 42.120

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-10 08:15:38 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 17438 Message: 17438

CHP has just started a chase on 42.120 for speed

17439. CAR VERSES TREE AND BIKE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-10 15:05:47 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17439 Message: 17439

POPPY LANE (COUNTY)GILROY AREA

CENTRAL ENGINE 12 SOUTH COUNTY ENGINE THREE CAR HIT BIKE AND TREE UNKNOWN TYPE RESCUE SOUNDS NASTY

SOUTH COUNTY151.445,COUNTY FIRE154.250

17440. TEST

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-10 15:17:52 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 12609 Message: 17440

TEST

17441. 911 Tape Released In Beating Case

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-10 16:25:22 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 17441 Message: 17441

911 Tape Released In Beating Case

Tape Out Day Before Police Due To Hand Over Investigation

SAN FRANCISCO -- NBC11 News has obtained the 911 tapes from a San Francisco street brawl that led to the investigation of three off-duty police officers.

They give, perhaps, the best look yet at what was happening during the fight. And they are coming out just one day before police have promised to hand over their investigation to the San Francisco district attorney. Adam Snyder made the 911 call from just outside the Bus Stop Saloon on Nov. 20th:

Snyder: "It's a Chevy pick-up"
Dispatch: "People got away ... getting in the car and leaving?"
Snyder: "Yes, they are beating the hell out of my friend"
Dispatch:"Is he still there now?"

One of the accused officers is Alex Fagan, Jr., the son of the assistant police chief.

Snyder claims he suffered injuries to his leg and head in the street brawl with the off-duty officers.

Snyder described the suspects that night on the 911 call.

Dispatch: "What race are they?"
Snyder: "What?"
Dispatch: "What race are they?"
Snyder: "White, White."
Dispatch: "Why are you breathing so hard?"
Snyder: "Because they just beat the hell out of me, dude."
Dispatch: "Do you need an ambulance?"
Snyder: "No, I need some cops fast."

Snyder says at the time, he had no idea the suspects were off-duty police officers, but he thought it was odd that police arriving on the scene that night did not ask him to point out the suspects.

The district attorney's office is looking into allegations of a cover-up. Another man claims police beat him in an unrelated incident in a retail parking lot on Geary Boulevard in July. Kevin Jordan claims Fagan and another man beat him as he was looking for his car keys.

Snyder says the attack against him happened when he and his friend refused to turn over steak fajitas. He told 911 dispatchers, "I just got out of work and they started fighting us over nothing."

17442. Re: [bayscan] Which scanner?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-10 20:22:33 UTC
From: J. Smith
Topic: 17403 Message: 17442

My BC780XLT came with 2 DC adapters, one for permanent mounting to a 12 volt (car, for example) battery, and one for the cigarette lighter. On those rare occaisions when I want to go scanning in the car, I just throw the 780 on my dashboard and plug it into the lighter. Easy in, easy out.

> Hey Folks,
>
> Since it's Christmas time, I'm looking to get a new scanner :)
>
> My main listening interests are local fire/PD, etc. (42-160mHz, 460mHz),
> MilCom (30mHz I guess), and some aircraft listening.
>
> I'd like a scanner that can go in my car, and can come out easily to
> take in the house too.
>
> I was thinking of the BC780XLT or BC895XL. Should I rather consider a
> handheld? I have an earlier RS handheld now, but I have to turn the
> volume up 100% just to hear it in the car.
>
> Thanks for any suggestions...
>
> -J

17443. Re: [bayscan] Ya gotta be nuts

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-10 20:31:33 UTC
From: J. Smith
Topic: 17408 Message: 17443

I must've missed the original message -- which scanner costs $670? It's not on my Christmas list. <grin>

(It's out of the bredth of this elist, but the reason any "people are in such debt" is much more related to poor savings discipline, and impulse buying, than it is to the cost of any one item.)

> -----Original Message-----
> From: d1033 <d952@...> [mailto:d952@...]
> Sent: Sunday, December 08, 2002 9:07 PM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [bayscan] Ya gotta be nuts
>
>
> To pay upwards of $670.00 for a scanner.
>
> No wonder young people are in such debt. Hell that certainly can't
> manage money, can you?
>
> Scanners, computers, multiple monthly phone statements, car
> (s),utilities, rent/mortage, ins, property taxes, an assortment of
> electronic gadetry, etc. etc.

17444. alco simulcasting on white 1 again

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-10 21:22:07 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 17444 Message: 17444

I'm picking up fremont fd on 154.280 again.. also picking up amr occasionally... this is looking like more than an opps i hit the wrong button syndrome

www.mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & central California

17445. Re: [bayscan] Ya gotta be nuts

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-10 21:52:51 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17408 Message: 17445

what is being refereed to as $670.00 really is the cost of a BC250D or a BC785 AND the card, not just one scanner
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17446. From A Friend: 'TheKCRAChannel.com - News - Police Searching For Suspect In Park-Ranger Shooting'

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-11 00:20:32 UTC
From: marnell@cox.net
Topic: 17446 Message: 17446

Harry Marnell has sent you a story: "TheKCRAChannel.com - News - Police Searching For Suspect In Park-Ranger Shooting"

The link:
http://www.thekcrachannel.com/news/1830695/detail.html

Harry Marnell's message: From Santa Cruz area

17447. Re: [bayscan] Ya gotta be nuts

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-11 02:24:15 UTC
From: w0web
Topic: 17408 Message: 17447

the 785 with the digital card
George H. (w0web)
w0web@...
----- Original Message -----
From: "J. Smith" <device@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 10, 2002 12:31
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Ya gotta be nuts

> I must've missed the original message -- which scanner costs $670? It's
not
> on my Christmas list. <grin>
>
> (It's out of the bredth of this elist, but the reason any "people are in
> such debt" is much more related to poor savings discipline, and impulse
> buying, than it is to the cost of any one item.)
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: d1033 <d952@...> [mailto:d952@...]
> > Sent: Sunday, December 08, 2002 9:07 PM
> > To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [bayscan] Ya gotta be nuts
> >
> >
> > To pay upwards of $670.00 for a scanner.
> >
> > No wonder young people are in such debt. Hell that certainly can't
> > manage money, can you?
> >
> > Scanners, computers, multiple monthly phone statements, car
> > (s),utilities, rent/mortage, ins, property taxes, an assortment of
> > electronic gadetry, etc. etc.
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.427 / Virus Database: 240 - Release Date: 12/6/2002

17448. Something big going down at AMC Theatres, 1000 Van Ness

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-11 04:52:33 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 17448 Message: 17448

Code-33 on A6, units locking down the whole block. Grabbing some units from other districts.

17449. Re: [bayscan] Something big going down at AMC Theatres, 1000 Van Ness

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-11 04:56:01 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 17448 Message: 17449

Looks like they are looking for a 207 suspect.

http://caselaw.lp.findlaw.com/cacodes/pen/207-210.html

(SF trunking system, A6=13008)

17450. Re: [bayscan] Something big going down at AMC Theatres, 1000 Van Ness

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-11 04:58:45 UTC
From: w0web
Topic: 17448 Message: 17450

kidnapping from Reno ??
George H. (w0web)
w0web@...
----- Original Message -----
From: "Jordan Hayes" <jmhayes@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 10, 2002 20:52
Subject: [bayscan] Something big going down at AMC Theatres, 1000 Van Ness

> Code-33 on A6, units locking down the whole block. Grabbing some units
> from other districts.
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.427 / Virus Database: 240 - Release Date: 12/6/2002

17451. 207 ???

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-11 04:59:53 UTC
From: Robert Sanford <baynews@yahoo.com>
Topic: 17451 Message: 17451

Well whatever it is going on the dipatcher said 207 but Im not
familiar with that code.
I assume it was some sort of robbery.
My other post regarding the shooting in Oakland of a security guard
never posted??

17452. 207 action in progress in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-11 05:01:13 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17452 Message: 17452

Code 33 on PD A6.

--
Kenton A. Hoover	
Private Citizen	+1.415.850.5924
San Francisco, California USA	shibumi@...
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
| "The history of the world, my sweet |
| Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
| But fortunately, it's also clear |
| That everybody goes down well with beer." |
| -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |

17453. Re: [bayscan] 207 ???

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-11 05:02:09 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 17451 Message: 17453

> the dipatcher said 207 but Im not
> familiar with that code.

Google is your friend:

http://www.google.com/search?q=penal+code+207+findlaw

17454. 207 on Van Ness

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-11 05:10:34 UTC
From: w0web <w0web@att.net>
Topic: 17454 Message: 17454

P.D> is sitting on Yellow ford parked on VanNess this is a kidnapping
from Reno Nv.

17455. 207 on Van Ness

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-11 05:14:50 UTC
From: w0web <w0web@att.net>
Topic: 17454 Message: 17455

```
Code 4 two in custody with the Vic. making an ID on the spot
Once again a fine job by S.F.P.D.
CODE @ 21:14
```

17456. Oakland Shooting

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-11 04:17:22 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 17456 Message: 17456

```
There was some sort of shooting involving a security guard.
Oakland-Control 1 North Talk group is active with the job
Also Oakland CHP-42.120 with H-30 overhead
```

17457. CHP chase 42.500

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-11 08:45:08 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17457 Message: 17457

NB 680 at 120 MPH passing calaveras

17458. Re: CHP Chase 42.500

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-11 08:48:03 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17458 Message: 17458

120MPH at jacklyn Just spun out hit divide. Now going again
50 MPH. oops now stopped just north of Jacklyn. Air 31 in route from
Richmond. Oh man this guy is going again at 75 MPH

17459. Re: CHP Cahse 42.500

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-11 08:49:12 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17459 Message: 17459

North of scott creek now appears to be stopping in #1 lane

17460. Re: CHP update 42.500

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-11 08:53:07 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17460 Message: 17460

The driver was a female, solo occupant!!!!!!!!!! Crazy

17461. Major Rock Slide in Marin

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-11 09:57:53 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 17461 Message: 17461

I just got back from this job and man I have never seen that many flares in my life..
The ETA for CALTRANS is still 45 minutes at 2:00 am. Good thing this didnt happen during rush hour.
Here is the CHP CAD.

ncident: 0051 Type: Mud, Dirt or Rock Slides Location: SB US101 JSO RODEO AV Zoom Map: 627 3A Info as of: 12/11/2002 1:55:08 AM

ADDITIONAL DETAILS
1:52AM - CMS ACTIVATED AT 01:02 SB 101 S/OF SAN PEDRO RD ETA FOR DOT IS
1:51AM - PER DOT ETA 30 TO 45 MIN
1:49AM - HAVE TMC ACTIVATE SIGNS / WILL BE CLOSED FOR A BIT
1:48AM - 34-20 INQ ETA OF CALTRANS / COPY LINE 22
1:44AM - 1039 QUALITY FOR LINE 20
1:43AM - REQ 1185 TO SB JSO RODEO LITE CHEV SUBURBAN ON RHS
1:07AM - 34-20 ETA FOR CAL TRANS PLS AREA NEEDS TO BE ASSESED BY ENGINEER
1:04AM - FOR 3A SB 101 JSO RODEO 2 FLTS TO PACIFIC HEIGHT ON AT SPENCER GO NB IN SB LANES FWY SHUT DOWN TOYT ONLY 1 SPARE
12:52AM - ADVISE TMC / ALL LANES BLKED
12:51AM - TRYING TO CLEAR PATH BTWN 2-3 LANE TO GET TRFC THRU
12:50AM - RQ E1 TO BLK SAUSALITO ONR / E1 FROM NB AT TUNNEL
12:46AM - 1039 BRG - ADVISE GOING 97
12:46AM - 10-39 DOTDCC /// 10-23 FOR ETA
12:44AM - REQ ANOTHER UNIT / CALTRANS ON EXP FOR ROCKS IN ALL LANES
12:38AM - ROCKS IN LNS AND 4 OR 5 VEHS ON RHS W/FT

RESPONDING OFFICERS STATUS
12:45AM - CHP Unit On Scene
12:45AM - CHP Unit Assigned
12:51AM - CHP Unit Enroute

17462. Re: [bayscan] Re: CHP update 42.500

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-11 09:59:26 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 17460 Message: 17462

I recorded it of course and will upload it to my page now..

Robert
BayScan List Manager

At 08:53 AM 12/11/02 +0000, you wrote:
>The driver was a female, solo occupant!!!!!!!!!! Crazy
>
>
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17463. Kidnapping in S.F. last night

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-11 13:51:22 UTC
From: w0web
Topic: 17463 Message: 17463

Turned out the person calling a kidnapping was traveling with the two ... turned out to be false. I hope P.D. or the D.A.'s office will charge her with something (making a false police report?) waste of the Police's time if you ask me but was great to listen to over the radio....
George H. (w0web)
w0web@...

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.427 / Virus Database: 240 - Release Date: 12/6/2002

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17464. Officer needs help / San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-11 16:30:09 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17464 Message: 17464

SJPD with dozens of officers with S. O. at Arleta/Elliot (near Bascom/Stevens Creek) Not sure what started this ,but one in custody, 2nd perp ran into house with a gun. Setting up CP. and requesting officers with helmets/ AR15s etc. working on SJ 6
SJPD 6/ 460.325
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17465. Re: [bayscan] Officer needs help / San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-11 16:34:43 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17464 Message: 17465

[Body unavailable in the source archive]

17466. Re: [bayscan] Officer needs help / San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-11 16:35:34 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17464 Message: 17466

One suspect proned out / gunpoint in backyard

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17467. Re: [bayscan] Officer needs help / San Jose / update 3

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-11 16:38:01 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17467 Message: 17467

Sounds like they used flash/bang device. Clearing house / shed and now doing basement. What fun !!!!! who said day shift is boring?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17468. Re: [bayscan] San Jose incident update4

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-11 16:52:16 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17468 Message: 17468

2 in custody, still clearing attic/ dropping channel 6 to restricted traffic
(although she left the beeper on ((opps))) press is there also
460.325

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17469. Re: [bayscan] Officer needs help / San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-11 16:52:18 UTC
From: Brian Wolter
Topic: 17464 Message: 17469

Is this about the suspect who shot the Park Ranger, and kidnapped two people?

- Brian

17470. Re: [bayscan] Officer needs help / San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-11 16:55:30 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17464 Message: 17470

Hey Brian GOOD GUESS< I just heard a ranking officer mention bringing in Santa Cruz to the interview, so you are probably right

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17471. Re: [bayscan] Officer needs help / San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-11 16:52:18 UTC
From: Brian Wolter
Topic: 17464 Message: 17471

Is this about the suspect who shot the Park Ranger, and kidnapped two people?

- Brian

17472. Hayward incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-11 17:27:08 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17472 Message: 17472

Hayward Fire/Police rolling to the Best Western on A Street, vehicle into the motel...more to follow
HFD 460.600

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17473. Re: [bayscan] Hayward incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-11 17:31:46 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17472 Message: 17473

Minor crash, no injuries...Engine 6 handling.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17474. Portola Valley MVA

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-11 19:53:22 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17474 Message: 17474

Woodside, S. Mateo County, Menlo Park and Palo Alto all with units to a head
-- on at Alpine and Arastradero. Major trauma , 2 victims requesting
Lifeflight.
Control 1/ 153.89 Control 2/154.37 White 1/ 154.28
Calcord/ 156.075
BC2 (IC) E7 E19 T1 + an Engine, Rescue and Medic from Palo Alto
Palo Alto PD has the LZ.
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17475. Portola Valley crash

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-11 20:05:21 UTC
From: Ed <caladded@aol.com>
Topic: 17475 Message: 17475

Lots of help on this one
CHP/ San Mateo Sheriffs/Palo Alto Police/ Park Rangers

Palo Alto Fire/SM Co. Fire/ Woodside Fire/MenloPark Fire /Palo Alto fire w/Medic / AMR (SM co.)/ Lifeflight

2 subjects trapped in VW van

Lifeflight on white 1 with Palo Alto Fire

17476. San Jose incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-11 20:08:00 UTC
From: Ed <caladded@aol.com>
Topic: 17077 Message: 17476

For those who haven't see this on TV or heard on radio. the incident did involve the perp who shot the park ranger in the leg yesterday in Santa Cruz County. He and 3 or 4 others in the house near elliot st (not far from the Pink Poodle) all in custody.

17477. Re: [bayscan] OT: Hayward incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-11 21:40:28 UTC
From: efhoppe@juno.com
Topic: 17477 Message: 17477

On Wed, 11 Dec 2002 12:27:08 EST caladded@... writes:
> Hayward Fire/Police rolling to the Best Western on A Street, vehicle
> into the motel...more to follow
More to follow? How many vehicles are going to smash into the motel?
<G>

Earl
CALIFORNIA ~ USA
KE6CIM
Moderator PC-TECHNICAL
mailto:subscribe-PC-Technical@yahoogroups.com

Sign Up for Juno Platinum Internet Access Today
Only $9.95 per month!
Visit www.juno.com

17478. Re: Hayward incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-11 23:31:18 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17472 Message: 17478

Maybe it's a "drive-Thru" Motel!!! a New innovation.

17479. Re: [bayscan] Re: Hayward incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-11 23:48:24 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17472 Message: 17479

yeah, a drive thru .....with free donuts

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17480. RE: [bayscan] Re: Hayward incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-12 00:00:09 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 17472 Message: 17480

```
booooo boo booo =)

www.mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & central California

-----Original Message-----
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@...>
[mailto:copenhagen1971@...]
Sent: Wednesday, December 11, 2002 3:31 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Re: Hayward incident

Maybe it's a "drive-Thru" Motel!!! a New innovation.

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
```

17481. Re: [bayscan] San Jose incident update4

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-11 23:58:32 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17468 Message: 17481

Ed what time did you make these posts ?I've checked mail all day and I'm still getting your am posts its 4pm now and you sent this at 1152 yahoooooooo??????????

At 11:52 AM 12/11/2002 -0500, you wrote:
>2 in custody, still clearing attic/ dropping channel 6 to restricted traffic
>(although she left the beeper on ((opps))) press is there also
>460.325
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17482. Re: [bayscan] San Jose incident update4

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-12 03:14:45 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17468 Message: 17482

Yahoo, geez. NO, the incident was around 8:30 am this morning, that is when I sent them. I may have sent the 4th update later. In fact I think it was around 11 or so because the news confirmed it was the shooting perp, but 4pm YIKES.
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17483. New file uploaded to bayscan

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-12 13:50:41 UTC
From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 3879 Message: 17483

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the bayscan
group.

File : /san Francisco 800 system.xls
Uploaded by : w0web <w0web@...>
Description : Updated Takgroup ID's

You can access this file at the URL

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/files/san%20Francisco%20%20800%20system.xls

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit

http://help.yahoo.com/help/us/groups/files

Regards,

w0web <w0web@...>

17484. quite

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-12 15:13:12 UTC
From: w0web
Topic: 11897 Message: 17484

Boy it is quite here and the lead story on the news is the plates on the B.B.and how big of a bump it is.
anyway big storms are coming so I'll get the station ready for blackouts and flooding
everyone stay safe and enjoy the holidays
George H. (w0web)
w0web@...

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.427 / Virus Database: 240 - Release Date: 12/6/2002

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17485. FW: [SCANnorCAL] Big wet storm headed for Nor Cal

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-12 23:11:03 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 17485 Message: 17485

heard weather report 3-5 inches in 24 hour period friday. 7-8 inches north of the bay area... big winds and lots of outages... another storm coming in on sat.. rain until tues... 4000 miles of rain in pacific from a typhone braking apart with 200 mph jet stream

www.mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & central California

-----Original Message-----
From: Harry Marnell [mailto:marnell@...]
Sent: Thursday, December 12, 2002 2:26 PM
To: RadioHum@yahoogroups.com; trinitycom@yahoogroups.com
Cc: ElectricHum@yahoogroups.com; SCANnorCAL@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [SCANnorCAL] Big wet storm headed for Nor Cal

From the NWS: "POSSIBLY RECORD SETTING RAINS HEADED FOR THE NORTHCOAST AS THE FIRST
OF A SERIES OF STRONG SHORT WAVE TROUGHS WILL APPROACH THE PACIFIC NORTHWEST LATER TODAY...EXTREMELY HEAVY RAINS CONSISTANTLY FORECASTED BY MODELS TO BEGIN LATE FRI NIGHT/EARLY SAT MORNING."

I've put together a page of "good" bad-weather frequencies for the Humboldt County area.. Weather Service, Roads, Utility Companies, etc at http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/humstorm.htm

As of now now the NWS has posted Wind and High Surf advisories and a Flood watch for Friday. See http://www.wrh.noaa.gov/eureka/

Harry
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/humstorm.htm

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17486. Incoming storm/ Monitoring ops epand.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 00:06:52 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17486 Message: 17486

A storm like this (if it pans out) opens up several scanning ops for all of us. Get those PG&E Freqs. out and lined up. Also city Maint. Depts. are good. Should have all FD's active with heavy winds. Maybe some small urban flash flooding!! Should be an intersting Fri and Weekend to stay in and scan.

17487. 2nd alarm sf working structure...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 01:37:43 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 17487 Message: 17487

sffd just put an advisory message out on a working structure fire 1770 9th avenue. didn't pick up tac channel yet... fire just went to 2nd alarm...

www.mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & central California

17488. RE: [bayscan] 2nd alarm sf working structure...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 01:41:33 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 17487 Message: 17488

fire working on either a-8 or a-9

www.mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & central California

-----Original Message-----
From: Ben kellogg [mailto:ben96cal@...]
Sent: Thursday, December 12, 2002 5:38 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com; norcalonlinescanning@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] 2nd alarm sf working structure...

sffd just put an advisory message out on a working structure fire 1770 9th avenue. didn't pick up tac channel yet... fire just went to 2nd alarm...

www.mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & central California

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17489. Re: [bayscan] 2nd alarm sf working structure...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 02:35:25 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17487 Message: 17489

this is a little late, but Command should be A5 and Tac A8, that is clearly in BC8s area. lets see,,,,1700 block would be at Noriega
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17490. Re: [bayscan] Incoming storm/ Monitoring ops epand.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 03:01:02 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17486 Message: 17490

Good Idea lets all post our known PGE freqs ,ublic works , be sure to put
what location that freq is for .....

Jeffrey<><
>A storm like this (if it pans out) opens up several scanning ops for
>all of us. Get those PG&E Freqs. out and lined up. Also city Maint.
>Depts. are good. Should have all FD's active with heavy winds. Maybe
>some small urban flash flooding!! Should be an intersting Fri and
>Weekend to stay in and scan.
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17491. storm frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 03:16:37 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17491 Message: 17491

Send them if you got them :)

Ok here are mine

Santa Cruz county parks is 155.055 county roads is 151.100
capitola PW is 153.995 (listen for the boardwalk with the waves)
Santa Cruz cityPW 154.100 life guards are 155.220(intresting most of the time)
scotts valley PW 155.940
watsonville pw 45.320

Monterey county parks 151.160 roads 150.995

San Benito PW 151.025

Jeffrey <><

17492. RE: Storm Freqs My Area.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 03:27:32 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17492 Message: 17492

Here are mine, for My area, pleasanton, Dublin. Alco public works is on the Alco 800 system. TG are:19440-flood control. 19216-santa rita PW yard. there are various others if you put alco in and put it on search mode. Plesanton/Livermore Trunked system. TG's for Pleas. PW=19216,19248,19280,19312,19344,19376,19408,19440,19472,19504,19536,19568 Livermore PW TG's=1392,1424,1456,1520,1584,1616,1648,1744, I also have 155.805 as Pleas. street dept. and156.195 as PW sewers, not sure if these are active.

17493. Lookin for PG&E freqs for Pleasanton -Livermore area

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 03:29:06 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17493 Message: 17493

Does anyone have the Freqs. for PG&E in The Tri-Valley area. Are they 900MHZ or is it in The 151 area??

17494. RE: PG&E Freqs. For Tri-Valley area

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 03:39:07 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17494 Message: 17494

I found a couple, can anyone confirm? 158.130(some traffic so far, nothing to identify PG&E) 158.250, and 451.500. These are for Livermore PG&E. I also have others for Milpitas and Oakland and Hayward.

17495. RE: Incoming Storm Freq Preparation

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 03:47:21 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17495 Message: 17495

Another area to try for this storm for updates and good info is the Radio and TV Ch.Freqs. I have several in my 780 and they are always a good source of info for many things, especially traffic reports, you can get them firsthand before they go on the air.

17496. RE: [bayscan] Incoming storm/ Monitoring ops epand.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 05:33:38 UTC
From: Matthew Kaufman
Topic: 17486 Message: 17496

Aren't PG&E frequencies off-limits for posting now, due to the "homeland security" implications?

Matthew

Ps. *I* am kidding.

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Jeff & Pam [mailto:jpschil@...]
> Sent: Thursday, December 12, 2002 7:01 PM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Incoming storm/ Monitoring ops epand.
>
>
> Good Idea lets all post our known PGE freqs

17497. Re: [bayscan] RE: PG&E Freqs. For Tri-Valley area

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 05:36:43 UTC
From: Kirk MacLean
Topic: 17494 Message: 17497

158.130 is PG&E. I think this is dispatched out of Hayward. I have heard traffic on it in Pleasanton
----- Original Message -----
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@...>
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, December 12, 2002 7:39 PM
Subject: [bayscan] RE: PG&E Freqs. For Tri-Valley area

I found a couple, can anyone confirm? 158.130(some traffic so far, nothing to identify PG&E) 158.250, and 451.500. These are for Livermore PG&E. I also have others for Milpitas and Oakland and Hayward.

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17498. Re: [bayscan] RE: PG&E Freqs. For Tri-Valley area

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 05:42:01 UTC
From: Darryl Skivers
Topic: 17494 Message: 17498

PG&E HAYWARD 158.1300 "Mission Control"
Darryl Skivers :---)
----- Original Message -----
From: <copenhagen1971@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 12, 2002 7:39 PM
Subject: [bayscan] RE: PG&E Freqs. For Tri-Valley area

> I found a couple, can anyone confirm? 158.130(some traffic so far,
> nothing to identify PG&E) 158.250, and 451.500. These are for
> Livermore PG&E. I also have others for Milpitas and Oakland and
> Hayward.
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

17499. RE: [bayscan] Incoming storm/ Monitoring ops epand.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 06:27:22 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17486 Message: 17499

LOL.....ONLY IF WE BAN DRIVE-THRU FREQ.S NEVER KNOW WHEN A
COP MIGHT BE GETTING A BURGER ITS A"NEED TO kNOW
THING".....

LOLAt 09:33 PM 12/12/2002 -0800, you wrote:
>Aren't PG&E frequencies off-limits for posting now, due to the "homeland
>security" implications?
>
>Matthew
>
>Ps. *I* am kidding.
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Jeff & Pam [mailto:jpschil@...]
> > Sent: Thursday, December 12, 2002 7:01 PM
> > To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: Re: [bayscan] Incoming storm/ Monitoring ops epand.
> >
> >
> > Good Idea lets all post our known PGE freqs
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17500. Re: [bayscan] RE: Incoming Storm Freq Preparation

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 06:27:55 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17495 Message: 17500

hEY MIKE i HAVE A TV FOR THAT
At 03:47 AM 12/13/2002 +0000, you wrote:
>Another area to try for this storm for updates and good info is the
>Radio and TV Ch.Freqs. I have several in my 780 and they are always a
>good source of info for many things, especially traffic reports, you
>can get them firsthand before they go on the air.
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17501. San Jose PW, PacBell, and PG&E -- Was --> RE: [bayscan] Incoming storm/ Monitoring ops epand.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 06:23:59 UTC
From: Doug Owens
Topic: 17486 Message: 17501

Here is what I have for San Jose:

San Jose Public Works

453.3000 107.2
453.2500
458.1625
453.1625
453.6500

Pacific Bell

484.1625

P.G.&E.

153.5450 San Jose
153.5900 Cupertino Yard

-----Original Message-----
From: Jeff & Pam [mailto:jpschil@...]
Sent: Thursday, December 12, 2002 7:01 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Incoming storm/ Monitoring ops epand.

Good Idea lets all post our known PGE freqs ,ublic works , be sure to
put
what location that freq is for .....

Jeffrey<><
>A storm like this (if it pans out) opens up several scanning ops for
>all of us. Get those PG&E Freqs. out and lined up. Also city Maint.
>Depts. are good. Should have all FD's active with heavy winds. Maybe
>some small urban flash flooding!! Should be an intersting Fri and
>Weekend to stay in and scan.
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17502. OT: FBI Joke :)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 06:35:43 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17502 Message: 17502

A little practical humor!!!

One Smart Redneck!
Hello, is this the FBI?
"Yes. What do you want?"
"I'm calling to report about my neighbor Billy Bob Smith!
He is hiding marijuana inside his firewood."
"Thank you very much for the call, sir."
The next day, the FBI agents descend on Billy Bob's house.
They search the shed where the firewood is kept.
Using axes, they bust open every piece of wood, but find no marijuana.
They swore at Billy Bob and left. The phone rings at Billy Bob's house.
"Hey Billy Bob! Did the FBI come?" "Yeah!" "Did they chop your
firewood?" "Yep." "Merry Christmas Buddy"

17503. Re: OT FBI Joke

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 06:49:26 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17503 Message: 17503

That was great! Got a Good laugh out of that one Thanks Mr. Jeff

17504. Re: [bayscan] Re: OT FBI Joke

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 06:56:46 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17503 Message: 17504

hey no problem and the pain killers are working for the knee to.....yippie
At 06:49 AM 12/13/2002 +0000, you wrote:
>That was great! Got a Good laugh out of that one Thanks Mr. Jeff
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17505. Re: [bayscan] Re: OT FBI Joke

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 06:57:20 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17503 Message: 17505

why is the times always so far off on posts?
At 06:49 AM 12/13/2002 +0000, you wrote:
>That was great! Got a Good laugh out of that one Thanks Mr. Jeff
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17506. Re: [bayscan] Re: OT FBI Joke

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 06:58:25 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17503 Message: 17506

hey mike fire up im

At 06:49 AM 12/13/2002 +0000, you wrote:
>That was great! Got a Good laugh out of that one Thanks Mr. Jeff
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17507. What is going on in San Leandro?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 07:07:16 UTC
From: Don Melcher <cz10@hfradio.com>
Topic: 17507 Message: 17507

My wife just called and said Marina and Alvarado seems to have every fire truck and police car in the city there.

Anybody know what is up?

Don

17508. Re: [bayscan] What is going on in San Leandro?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 07:17:35 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17507 Message: 17508

I asume those are street names?
At 07:07 AM 12/13/2002 +0000, you wrote:
>My wife just called and said Marina and Alvarado seems to have every
>fire truck and police car in the city there.
>
>Anybody know what is up?
>
>Don
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17509. Re: PG&E Freqs. For Tri-Valley area

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 07:37:28 UTC
From: Don Melcher <cz10@hfradio.com>
Topic: 17494 Message: 17509

I will have to do some digging - but I think I still have my frequency list from when I worked there in the radio shop. Listen to 153.575 also - that is the frequency (statewide) for the General Construction crews (electric) - the guys that really do the storm damage repair. That frequency is state-wide north of the Techapies (it almost wasn't exclusive - a water district with 20 mobiles and 1 repeater got co-ordinated on there - what a mess, and a big fight to get PG&E Mgmnt to pay to get them off - but I digress) Most base stations are "temporary" (right) and use KER918 and the mobiles KN7050 - though they seldom use that - usually a numerical Identfier 1 - 4 digits - with sometimes an X added. Two digit numbers are usually either Telecom or sometimes Supervisors

They also have (or had - I have been gone for about 5+ years) a 800 LTR trunk system with a site on Sunol Ridge (also on Loma, Tam, Vaca) and I know that was in use in Hayward, Fremont and Livermore. 450 frequencies in this area tend to be gas department (Hydro had a very extensive repeater system in the Sierra's) and 150 electric. Gas General Construction is on 47.80.

The 150 plan is every 15 khz starting at 153.530 to about .65, then again - I think a 158.13 and up another 7 or 8 15 khz steps. When I was there, it was mostly all simplex - and each area had it's own PL tone. There was talk of going to a repeater system on 450 in Oakland, and possibly 150 also - but I don't know if that ever happened

Don

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Darryl Skivers" <darrryl89@e...>
wrote:
> PG&E HAYWARD 158.1300 "Mission Control"
> Darryl Skivers :---)
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: <copenhagen1971@h...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Thursday, December 12, 2002 7:39 PM
> Subject: [bayscan] RE: PG&E Freqs. For Tri-Valley area
>
>
> > I found a couple, can anyone confirm? 158.130(some traffic so far,
> > nothing to identify PG&E) 158.250, and 451.500. These are for
> > Livermore PG&E. I also have others for Milpitas and Oakland and
> > Hayward.
> >
> >
> >

> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >

17510. Re: What is going on in San Leandro?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 07:38:11 UTC
From: Don Melcher <cz10@hfradio.com>
Topic: 17507 Message: 17510

Yes - she said she didn't think it was a fire

-- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Jeff & Pam <jpschil@h...> wrote:
> I asume those are street names?
> At 07:07 AM 12/13/2002 +0000, you wrote:
> >My wife just called and said Marina and Alvarado seems to have
every
> >fire truck and police car in the city there.
> >
> >Anybody know what is up?
> >
> >Don
> >
> >
> >Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> ><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17511. CoCo Cnty S.O. Chase 155.190 now 1:20 am

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 09:18:58 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17511 Message: 17511

a CoCo Cnty S.O. unit was chasing a vehicle on Hwy 4 at speeds of up to 95 and the vehicle hit the divider and then kept going then hit 3 other cars and left the roadway down an embankment and was trying to flee into a field. Cose 4 now 1 in custody.

17512. Getting very windy out there/

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 09:19:54 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17512 Message: 17512

It's about 1:20 A.M. and it's getting very windy here in Pleasanton.No rain yet just wind...

17513. Re: [bayscan] Getting very windy out there/

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 09:52:30 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 17512 Message: 17513

Pretty windy and rainy here in Sausalito at 1:42 am.. My high wind speed is
31 mph so far..
The bottom line is the major part of the storm is not suppose to hit until
tomorrow night!!

For a good view of what is heading our way use these two links for the in
fared satellite image of the Pacific at:

http://www.wrh.noaa.gov/satellite/4km/NW/IR4NW.GIF

http://nimbo.wrh.noaa.gov/satellite/4km/WR/IR4.GIF

At 09:19 AM 12/13/02 +0000, you wrote:
>It's about 1:20 A.M. and it's getting very windy here in
>Pleasanton.No rain yet just wind...
>
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17514. Re: [bayscan] Getting very windy out there/

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 09:54:40 UTC
From: Haynes
Topic: 17512 Message: 17514

We've had rain here for the past 30 minutes or so and the wind has picked up here too (Richmond) @01:54

Les
----- Original Message -----
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@...>
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, December 13, 2002 1:19 AM
Subject: [bayscan] Getting very windy out there/

It's about 1:20 A.M. and it's getting very windy here in Pleasanton.No rain yet just wind...

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17515. CHP steps up enforcement in West Marin

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 10:09:13 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 17515 Message: 17515

Prompted by speeding complaints and a recent series of fatal or serious crashes throughout West Marin, authorities plan to begin speed radar enforcement in the Lucas Valley, San Geronimo and Nicasio valleys.

The initiative, announced by 4th District Supervisor Steve Kinsey, follows a season of crushing wrecks - many involving motorcycles - that has left five people dead and injured numerous others on West Marin's winding country roads. Police said speed was a factor in most of the fatal crashes.

"The recent string of tragedies on West Marin's roads highlights the importance of vigilantly respecting the speed limits," Kinsey said. "Enforcement is a necessary means to ensure that we all take our individual responsibility seriously."

Kinsey said the county's Public Works Department has certified three roads for new police radar enforcement: San Geronimo Valley Drive from Sir Francis Drake Boulevard to Meadow Way; Lucas Valley Road from Westgate Drive to Nicasio Valley Road; and the entire length of Nicasio Valley Road. The posted speed limits on those roads will remain in effect.

Kinsey said the California Highway Patrol will begin using radar enforcement beginning Feb. 16, with warnings being issued before that date. But CHP Lt. Charles McLaughlin said "flagrant" speeders will still be cited before Feb. 16.

Sheriff's officials are also planning talks with the CHP to discuss speed enforcement in West Marin, Sheriff Robert Doyle said.

"We stand ready to support the CHP in their effort to combat this problem," Doyle said.

The recent spate of serious crashes began in August, when a 28-year-old Walnut Creek man was killed after running his motorcycle into an oncoming car on Highway 1 in Point Reyes Station. Since then:

? A 22-year-old San Francisco man died after his motorcycle crashed into a eucalyptus tree off Panoramic Highway.

? A 35-year-old San Francisco man was killed after the Ducati motorcycle he was riding ran off Point Reyes-Petaluma Road just east of Highway 1. Police said he was traveling at 75 mph.

? A 36-year-old San Rafael man was killed and his friend injured when their vehicle plunged off Panoramic Highway on Mount Tamalpais. The friend was subsequently arrested on suspicion of drunken driving and vehicular manslaughter, and a trial is pending.

? A 42-year-old Novato man died when a car hit his bicycle on Point Reyes-Petaluma Road. The incident is still under investigation, police said yesterday.

As recently as last week, a Petaluma man was airlifted to Santa Rosa Memorial Hospital after crashing into an embankment on Point Reyes-Petaluma Road near the Nicasio Reservoir. The crash still is under investigation. But the driver, 32-year-old Jeff Tucker, told police he swerved to avoid hitting a deer and lost control of his 1972 Datsun 510.

Reached at the hospital yesterday, where he is recovering from two broken legs, Tucker said he was traveling around 60 mph on the foggy straight-away near the reservoir. He said he will be out of work for several months while he rehabilitates.

"The roads aren't necessarily that bad, but there's a lot of deer," said Tucker, a carpenter at the Point Reyes National Seashore who was en route to work when the crash occurred. "I do think people need to slow down out there. They're always passing each other out there by Nicasio Reservoir."

Figures for fatal crashes in West Marin alone were unavailable. But McLaughlin said there have been 14 fatal crashes in the entire Marin area this year, compared with an average of nine in recent years. For the CHP, the Marin jurisdiction includes not just the county, but also Highway 37 in Sonoma County and the Golden Gate and Richmond-San Rafael bridges.

McLaughlin said there is no question traffic is getting heavier on West Marin's rural roads.

"We have a number of things happening - increasing recreational use by motorcycles and motorcyclists in general," he said. "But another phenomenon is because of the increased traffic on 101, some of these West Marin roads are being used as alternative commute routes.

"Marin is changing. It's always been a wonderful place to live, but the traditional rural West county areas are experiencing commuting patterns like the more populated areas. We have to adjust our resources accordingly."

17516. Pleasanton PD Possible suicide

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 14:33:32 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17516 Message: 17516

Pleasanton PD are on scene of a local executive type suite hotel for a possible suicide. Person found in tub with no pulse. The reporting party said they found the room "with blood all over it" and the person in the tub. LPFD are now on scene. PD TG is 16048 and LPFD on 752 Tac 2. Most comms are on cell phones, of course. Just heard a report that th room above has a party who may have heard something. Will try to update more as I hear it.

17517. MAJOR MVA SANTA CRUZ

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 15:06:50 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17517 Message: 17517

SANTA CRUZ IS WORKING A MAJOR MVA ROUTE 1 SOUTH BOUND BY MIRAMONTE
4 CARS + CHP REPORTS TWO MAJOR INJURIES VICTIMS 7 OTHERS
2 VICTIMS TRAPED IN CARS ONE CAR ON FIRE
ENGINES
3511 3512 3513

17518. Re: [bayscan] Pleasanton PD Possible suicide

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 15:08:39 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17516 Message: 17518

HEY MIKE WHAT TIME DID YOU POST?

I JUST RECIVED THIS AT 7:05 IVE BEEN ON SINCE 5 AM MESSAGE TIME SAYS 2:33PM???

At 02:33 PM 12/13/2002 +0000, you wrote:
>Pleasanton PD are on scene of a local executive type suite hotel for
>a possible suicide. Person found in tub with no pulse. The reporting
>party said they found the room "with blood all over it" and the
>person in the tub. LPFD are now on scene. PD TG is 16048 and LPFD on
>752 Tac 2. Most comms are on cell phones, of course. Just heard a
>report that th room above has a party who may have heard something.
>Will try to update more as I hear it.
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17519. Re: [bayscan] MAJOR MVA SANTA CRUZ

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 15:11:09 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17517 Message: 17519

UPDATE REQUESTING CALSTAR TO LAND ON FREWAY (THEY DONT DO THAT NORMALLY IN SANTA CRUZ)THERE ARE FOUR GROUND AMBULANCE ALSO

At 07:06 AM 12/13/2002 -0800, you wrote:
>SANTA CRUZ IS WORKING A MAJOR MVA ROUTE 1 SOUTH BOUND BY MIRAMONTE
>4 CARS + CHP REPORTS TWO MAJOR INJURIES VICTIMS 7 OTHERS
>2 VICTIMS TRAPED IN CARS ONE CAR ON FIRE
>ENGINES
>3511 3512 3513
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17520. Re: [bayscan] MAJOR MVA SANTA CRUZ

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 15:14:03 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17517 Message: 17520

CHP SAYS

Incident: 0067 Type: Traffic Collision - Major Injuries Location: SB 1
JNO VISTA POINT Map Page: Info as of: 12/13/2002 7:08:00 AM

ADDITIONAL DETAILS
7:02AM - RP HUMPHRY STATED WHI MERC WAS HDED SB AND THE BLU MERC HAD NO
LIGHTS ON AND CAME ACROSS THE CD F/NB SIDE
6:59AM - 1039 SPEED OF LIGHT W/BOTH RIGS
6:59AM - 2 SUBJ MAJOR INJ BTH TRAPPED 1 UNCONCIOUS
6:59AM - #2 BLKD
6:52AM - XRAY OO VEH WALKING IN THE CD
6:52AM - 2 VEHS BLK #1 AND 2 LANE

RESPONDING OFFICERS STATUS
6:55AM - CHP Unit On Scene
6:59AM - CHP Unit On Scene
7:03AM - CHP Unit On Scene

At 07:11 AM 12/13/2002 -0800, you wrote:

>UPDATE REQUESTING CALSTAR TO LAND ON FREWAY (THEY DONT DO THAT NORMALLY IN
>SANTA CRUZ)THERE ARE FOUR GROUND AMBULANCE ALSO
>
>
>
>
>
>At 07:06 AM 12/13/2002 -0800, you wrote:
> >SANTA CRUZ IS WORKING A MAJOR MVA ROUTE 1 SOUTH BOUND BY MIRAMONTE
> >4 CARS + CHP REPORTS TWO MAJOR INJURIES VICTIMS 7 OTHERS
> >2 VICTIMS TRAPED IN CARS ONE CAR ON FIRE
> >ENGINES
> >3511 3512 3513
> >
> >
> >
> >Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> ><<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yah
> oo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17521. Re: [bayscan] Pleasanton PD Possible suicide

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 15:34:14 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 17516 Message: 17521

Jeff & Pam wrote:
> HEY MIKE WHAT TIME DID YOU POST?
>
> I JUST RECIVED THIS AT 7:05 IVE BEEN ON SINCE 5 AM MESSAGE TIME SAYS 2:33PM???

Jeff,
He posted it at 6:33 AM PST. Note below where it indicates his system
clock is set for GMT:

> At 02:33 PM 12/13/2002 +0000, you wrote:
^^^^^
If his clock was set for Pacific time it would say "-0800" in that spot.
Don

17522. JOBS, JOBS, JOBS!!!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 17:40:57 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 17522 Message: 17522

Man check out this CHP page this morning 54 jobs!!!!
(Job is an east coast term used by the NYPD, I dont think you guys use it out here though)
12 incidents of Roadway Flooding, 18 Traffic Collisions.

Golden Gate Communications Center
Number of Incidents: 55 Last Updated at: 12/13/2002 9:34:30 AM

No. Time Type Location Area
0750 9:34AM Traffic Collision - No Details NB US101 JNO WILLOW RD Redwood City
0749 9:34AM Traffic Hazard - Vehicle in Center Divider EB I980 AT 27TH ST Oakland
0745 9:32AM Traffic Collision - No Details SB I880 JSO 98TH AV Oakland
0744 9:31AM Wind Advisory WB I580 AT THE HOFFMAN Marin
0739 9:30AM Traffic Collision - Property Damage MEEKLAND AV AT SHASTA ST Hayward
0737 9:28AM Traffic Hazard - Vehicle BEAR CREEK BLVD AT SUMMIT RD San Jose
0735 9:28AM Hit and Run - No Injuries WAYNE AV AT GARDNER BLVD Hayward
0730 9:26AM Traffic Collision - No Details WB I580 AT BROADWAY Oakland
0729 9:26AM Traffic Hazard - Debris/Objects NB SR87 JSO W TAYLOR ST San Jose
0728 9:25AM Traffic Hazard - Vehicle WB I580 JEO THE MAZE Oakland
0725 9:24AM Traffic Hazard - Vehicle WB I80 JWO TOLL PZ San Francisco
0724 9:24AM Disabled Vehicle SB I280 JSO LARKSPUR DR Redwood City
0723 9:23AM Traffic Hazard - Vehicle WB SR24 AT GATEWAY BLVD Contra Costa
0722 9:23AM Disabled Vehicle NB I880 JNO MOWRY AV Hayward
0713 9:19AM Traffic Collision - Property Damage SR4 JEO WB I80 Contra Costa
0709 9:17AM Request for Traffic Break SB I680 AT S MISSION BLVD Dublin
0706 9:17AM Traffic Hazard - Vehicle EB I580 JWO BROADWAY Oakland
0705 9:16AM Traffic Advisory WB SR92 AT HIGH RISE Redwood City
0702 9:16AM Disabled Vehicle SB US101 JSO MRNC SONC COUNTY LINE Marin
0700 9:14AM Traffic Hazard - Vehicle NB SR85 JNO W FREMONT AV San Jose
0696 9:13AM Traffic Hazard - Vehicle in Center Divider EB SR24 JWO OAK HILL RD Contra Costa
0689 9:11AM Disabled Vehicle EB I80 AT UNIVERSITY AV Oakland

0687 9:10AM Traffic Collision - Ambulance Responding MISSION ST AT PLUM ST San Francisco
0685 9:09AM Traffic Hazard SEA VIEW CT JEO DEER PARK AV Marin
0672 9:03AM Traffic Collision - No Details WB I80 AT MCBRYDE AV Oakland
0669 9:01AM Traffic Collision - No Details WB SR24 JWO CALDECOTT TUN Oakland
0666 8:59AM Traffic Hazard WB I80 JEO FREMONT ST San Francisco
0663 8:58AM Provide Traffic Control CRJ4 AT BRUNS AV Contra Costa
0653 8:53AM Traffic Collision - Ambulance Responding GRAVENSTEIN HWY AT LONE PINE RD Santa Rosa
0651 8:51AM Traffic Collision - Ambulance Responding SNELL VALLEY AT STAGE GULCH Napa
0642 8:48AM Traffic Collision - Property Damage WB I80 AT W GRAND AV Oakland
0635 8:45AM Traffic Hazard WB I80 AT UNIVERSITY AV Oakland
0623 8:41AM Traffic Collision - Property Damage SB US101 TO EB I580 CON Marin
0588 8:28AM Traffic Collision - Minor Injuries EB I80 JEO REDWOOD PKWY Solano
0576 8:24AM Traffic Collision - No Details EB I580 JEO SANTA RITA RD Dublin
0575 8:23AM Roadway Flooding SB I280 JNO 18TH ST San Francisco
0571 8:21AM Traffic Hazard - Debris/Objects PINEHURST RD AT CANYON RD Contra Costa
0546 8:12AM Disabled Vehicle NB US101 AT SR37 Marin
0543 8:12AM Traffic Hazard - Vehicle WB I80 JEO TOLL PZ Oakland
0506 7:59AM Traffic Collision - No Details MEDFORD AV AT LOWELL AV Hayward
0426 7:19AM Disabled Vehicle EB I80 AT ASHBY AV Oakland
0378 6:52AM Traffic Advisory EB SR92 AT W HILLSDALE BLVD Redwood City
0356 6:36AM Roadway Flooding MARIN AREA Marin
0352 6:35AM Roadway Flooding REDWOOD CITY Redwood City
0350 6:33AM Roadway Flooding SF AREA San Francisco
0349 6:32AM Roadway Flooding SAN JOSE AREA San Jose
0347 6:32AM Roadway Flooding SOL AREA Solano
0346 6:31AM Roadway Flooding NAPA AREA Napa
0334 6:22AM Traffic Collision - Ambulance Responding MAGIC MOUNTAIN RD AT CAZADERO HWY Santa Rosa
0332 6:22AM Roadway Flooding DUB AREA Dublin
0331 6:22AM Roadway Flooding HAYWARD AREA Hayward
0327 6:19AM Roadway Flooding SANTA ROSA AREA Santa Rosa
0288 5:43AM Disabled Vehicle WB I580 JEO EDEN CANYON RD Dublin
0220 4:10AM Roadway Flooding OAKLAND FLOOD LOG Oakland
0201 3:52AM Roadway Flooding SB US101 AT ALEMANY BLVD San Francisco

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17523. Re: [bayscan] JOBS, JOBS, JOBS!!!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 18:32:07 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 17522 Message: 17523

"Robert W. Sanford" wrote:
>
> Man check out this CHP page this morning 54 jobs!!!!

And that's just the incidents they have on public view.

> (Job is an east coast term used by the NYPD, I dont think you guys use it
> out here though)

You are correct. Which is why I was wondering why the list owner was
posting employment opportunity information when I read the subject line.:P

Don

17524. Caltrans worker hit on Richmond Bridge?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 19:56:27 UTC
From: Brian Wolter
Topic: 17524 Message: 17524

Sorry this message took so long. STILL dealing with email problems.

This was heard on TV at about 10:31 am.
----- Original Message -----
From: Brian Wolter
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, December 13, 2002 10:31 AM
Subject: Caltrans worker hit on Richmond Bridge?

Just heard on TV Ch. 3. A Caltrans worker hit, and pinned under vehicle on Richmond Bridge.

Sometimes media is confused about what a Caltrans worker is. Sometimes they refer to private contractors as 'Caltrans workers'.

Anybody have any info on this?

- Brian

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17525. officer down

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 20:36:42 UTC
From: marty&nicole
Topic: 17525 Message: 17525

north bound 280 chp and tow truck driver down 42.440

martin poles

----- Original Message -----
From: "Don Root" <k6cdo@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, December 13, 2002 10:32 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] JOBS, JOBS, JOBS!!!

> "Robert W. Sanford" wrote:
> >
> > Man check out this CHP page this morning 54 jobs!!!!
>
> And that's just the incidents they have on public view.
>
> > (Job is an east coast term used by the NYPD, I dont think you guys use
it
> > out here though)
>
> You are correct. Which is why I was wondering why the list owner was
> posting employment opportunity information when I read the subject line.:P
>
> Don
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

17526. officer down

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 20:41:38 UTC
From: marty&nicole
Topic: 17525 Message: 17526

seems a chp officer, a tow truck driver and a person have been hit on 280 at the alamany(sp)

martin poles

17527. officer down

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 20:43:14 UTC
From: marty&nicole
Topic: 17525 Message: 17527

they are going to be closing all lanes

17528. Re: JOBS, JOBS, JOBS!!!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 20:41:41 UTC
From: ka5s <cortlandka5s@netscape.net>
Topic: 17522 Message: 17528

BAD day tpo be working on thw highways. On 42.44 JUST heard (about 1220) an officer and a citizen (tow truck driver) down at 280 and Alemani with injuries' All lanes reported will be blocked for "quite a while."

Also, CALTRANS trunked system is very busy, heard a report not too long ago of pavement coming up and making a "big pothole."

Cortland

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Robert W. Sanford" <bayscan@a...> wrote:
> Man check out this CHP page this morning 54 jobs!!!!

17529. Re: [bayscan] officer down

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 20:50:22 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17525 Message: 17529

Yeah, 280 is closed both directions. I was headed south on 280 to Monterey and about three CHP units blew past me (well, one I pulled over for) to make the U-turn and close the road.

Three criticals and three other injuries.

Sucky day out there.

--On Friday, December 13, 2002 12.41 -0800 marty&nicole <m-npoles@...> wrote:

> seems a chp officer, a tow truck driver and a person have been hit
> on 280 at the alamany(sp)
>
> martin poles

--
Kenton A. Hoover	
Private Citizen	+1.415.850.5924
San Francisco, California USA	shibumi@...
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
| "The history of the world, my sweet |
| Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
| But fortunately, it's also clear |
| That everybody goes down well with beer." |
| -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |

17530. Re: [bayscan] officer down

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 20:50:36 UTC
From: w0web
Topic: 17525 Message: 17530

and the hit just keep on coming now a T.C. in the NB lanes of I280(rubber neckers)
SFPD is working a demo going on at UCSF and also one downtown at the Chevron blg. on Market
George H. (w0web)
w0web@...
----- Original Message -----
From: "marty&nicole" <m-npoles@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, December 13, 2002 12:43
Subject: [bayscan] officer down

> they are going to be closing all lanes
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.427 / Virus Database: 240 - Release Date: 12/6/2002

17531. CHP Officer hit ?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 21:54:14 UTC
From: Ed <caladded@aol.com>
Topic: 17531 Message: 17531

Can anyone confirm that a CHP officer was hit ? their website does not show it , but they may have removed or not posted the incident. KCBS just mentioned there was a hit/run 280/alemany with all lanes blocked. thanks
ed

17532. Re: [bayscan] CHP Officer hit ?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 22:14:36 UTC
From: Brian Wolter
Topic: 17531 Message: 17532

Here's a story about it:
http://www.kron.com/Global/story.asp?S=1048988

----- Original Message -----
From: <caladded@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, December 13, 2002 1:54 PM
Subject: [bayscan] CHP Officer hit ?

> Can anyone confirm that a CHP officer was hit ? their website does
> not show it , but they may have removed or not posted the incident.
> KCBS just mentioned there was a hit/run 280/alemany with all lanes
> blocked. thanks
> ed

17533. test

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-13 22:38:40 UTC
From: wolter872 <radioscanner@attbi.com>
Topic: 152 Message: 17533

[Body unavailable in the source archive]

17534. UPDATE: Pleasanton Possible suicide

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-14 00:01:53 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17534 Message: 17534

This is now being worked and investigated as a Homicide!! According to www.bayarea.com(scroll down a ways) a 29 Y.O. woman was found dead by a hotel employee at around 6:10 am. Police and fire said she had significant Physical trauma. This is Directly behind the Marquee nightclub which has been having numerous problems with the city of Pleasanton for several months. I wonder if thier is a relation? The city is in the process of trying to close the club. Rough "gangster" type clientel. Cause numerous probs. on a weekley basis and two 24 hour gas stations right next to Hwy.580 on ramp down the street from the club have been robbed several times since this club has been open. anyways I was just curious if this Homicide has any relation to any clientel from the club as it sits directly behind the club and is across the street.

17535. Re: [bayscan] UPDATE: Pleasanton Possible suicide

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-14 00:10:53 UTC
From: Glists
Topic: 17534 Message: 17535

http://www.bayarea.com/mld/cctimes/4735097.htm

I think this is the hotel next to Lee and Associates building on Chabot.

17536. Berkeley FD action???/

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-14 00:18:02 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17536 Message: 17536

any one know whats up in Berkeley? I just heard on main Disp. that they are switching to BFD ch. 2 and a FF came on and said units would be coming from all over the city... sounds big....

17537. Re: [bayscan] JOBS, JOBS, JOBS!!!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-14 03:13:48 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17522 Message: 17537

Yeah, I believe you have to count the DOAs before you really know where the positions are.

-- From The Society of those Disgusted By How Fast People Drive When It Rains

--On Friday, December 13, 2002 10.32 -0800 Don Root <k6cdo@...> wrote:
> "Robert W. Sanford" wrote:
>> (Job is an east coast term used by the NYPD, I dont think you guys use it
>> out here though)
>
> You are correct. Which is why I was wondering why the list owner was
> posting employment opportunity information when I read the subject line.:P

--
Kenton A. Hoover	
Private Citizen	+1.415.850.5924
San Francisco, California USA	shibumi@...
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
| "The history of the world, my sweet |
| Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
| But fortunately, it's also clear |
| That everybody goes down well with beer." |
| -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |

17538. Re: [bayscan] JOBS, JOBS, JOBS!!!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-14 03:20:39 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17522 Message: 17538

And the idiots (especially the ones with gray or silver cars) DON'T turn on their headlights in this mess UGH!!!!!!!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17539. Re: [bayscan] JOBS, JOBS, JOBS!!!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-14 03:39:19 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17522 Message: 17539

Baby Jesus cries every time someone drives bad.

--On Friday, December 13, 2002 22.20 -0500 caladded@... wrote:

> And the idiots (especially the ones with gray or silver cars) DON'T turn on
> their headlights in this mess UGH!!!!!!!

--
Kenton A. Hoover	
Private Citizen	+1.415.850.5924
San Francisco, California USA	shibumi@...
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
| "The history of the world, my sweet |
| Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
| But fortunately, it's also clear |
| That everybody goes down well with beer." |
| -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |

17540. Cal Star weather capabilities?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-14 05:26:29 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17540 Message: 17540

I have heard Calstar 2 today at least three times trying to help Santa Cruz county with MVA's

and they keep getting chased away by the weather.

I thought that the new bird was all weather capable like the life flight bird is ?

I'm not a pilot but what do they call it interment flying three letter .....

VFR= visual flight rules ,,,,,,,,,,,so.....IFR?=instrument flight rules? is this correct and why don't they

have it?

Jeffrey <><

17541. Re: [bayscan] Cal Star weather capabilities?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-14 05:41:16 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 17540 Message: 17541

At 09:26 PM 12/13/2002, you wrote:
>I have heard Calstar 2 today at least three times trying to help Santa Cruz
>county with MVA's
>
>and they keep getting chased away by the weather.
>
>I thought that the new bird was all weather capable like the life flight
>bird is ?
>
>I'm not a pilot but what do they call it interment flying three letter
>.....
>
>VFR= visual flight rules ,,,,,,,,,,,so.....IFR?=instrument flight rules? is
>this correct and why don't they
>
>have it?

VFR is Visual Flight Rules. IFR is Instrument Flight Rules. As I can't comment on the specific incidents, IFR is designed to assist in a 'controlled' landing - a helipad landing. When you land IFR, you have instruments to assist you - you know the altimiter setting is 28.42, the airport is 500' ASL, etc, so you can literally not have a window and land. However landing at a scene call is different - you have the same instruments flying VFR as flying IFR - you don't have any instruments to help you land if you black / brown out. (Unless someone on scene happened to have the altimiter setting and knew ASL (Above Sea Level) the altitude, but that won't happen anytime soon. At CALSTAR, we pride ourselves on our abilities to turn down a call - to say "We can't take that call." As a rule, if any one person on a flight (Crew, Support Staff like myself, etc) doesn't feel comfortable with a flight, they only need say so, and the flight is aborted - no questions asked, no repercussions. If we were to take a flight that everyone on board wasn't 100% confident we could land, load, then depart safely, we'd not only be putting the patients life in danger, but the lives of the flight crew, any bystanders, fire crews, police, etc. So at times, it's best to say "we can't take this call - sorry." Now true, we may loose the business to another agency that might attempt the landing, but it's for the safety of all involved.

Evan

17542. MVA INVOLVING SHERIFFS PATROL CAR

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-14 16:38:29 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17542 Message: 17542

156& FAIR VIEW MAJOR MVA HOLLISTER CITY AND COUNTY 9311 ROLLING SHERIFF REQUESTS CODE 3 AMBULANCE (HE SOUND PRETTY SHOOK UP)NOT SURE HOW HURT HE IS

151.250 CDF/COUNTY--155.805HOLLISTER

JEFFREY<><

17543. RAIN TOTALS CZU=WOW

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-14 16:46:17 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17543 Message: 17543

CZU"S MORNING REPORTS

about five stations in CZU had totals over four and one had 5.35 inches

and the big rain dont hit till this afternoon

17544. Re: [bayscan] RAIN TOTALS CZU=WOW

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-14 16:45:07 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17543 Message: 17544

3.62 in the past 48 in San Francisco. At least, that's what the gauge on the roof reads.

It is looking like alot of the rain is going to go north though.

--On Saturday, December 14, 2002 8.46 -0800 Jeff & Pam <jpschil@...> wrote:

> CZU"S MORNING REPORTS
>
> about five stations in CZU had totals over four and one had 5.35 inches
>
> and the big rain dont hit till this afternoon
>
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

--
Kenton A. Hoover	
Private Citizen	+1.415.850.5924
San Francisco, California USA	shibumi@...
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
| "The history of the world, my sweet |
| Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
| But fortunately, it's also clear |
| That everybody goes down well with beer." |
| -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |

17545. WIND WIND WIND WIND WIND WIND

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-14 18:52:30 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17545 Message: 17545

Getting damn windy here in Pleasanton Hope my antenna holds!!!

17546. Re:RAIN TOTALS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-14 17:58:36 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 17543 Message: 17546

We got over 6 inches here in the North Bay!!

RECORD EVENT REPORT
NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE SAN FRANCISCO CA
1220 AM PST SAT DEC 14 2002

...RECORD DAILY MAXIMUM RAINFALL SET IN THE SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA...

LOCATION 24HR RAINFALL (FRIDAY) PREVIOUS RECORD YEAR

SAN RAFAEL 6.24" 1.12" 1973
SAN FRANCISCO (DT) 2.99" 2.21" 1915
SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT 2.47" 0.45" 1968
OAKLAND (DT) 3.99" 0.52" 1993

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17547. TORNADO WARNING???

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-14 18:25:57 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 17547 Message: 17547

This just came in here via EDIS could this be true?? A tornado that spawned over water?? That is a water spout from my metrological knowledge.

NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE EUREKA CA
1019 AM PST SAT DEC 14 2002

THE NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE IN EUREKA HAS ISSUED A
* TORNADO WARNING FOR...

WEST CENTRAL HUMBOLDT COUNTY IN NORTHWEST CALIFORNIA
* UNTIL 1045 AM PST

* AT 1009 AM PST...NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE DOPPLER RADAR INDICATED A TORNADO JUST OFFSHORE APPROACHING CAPE MENDOCINO MOVING EAST AT 30 MPH.

* THE TORNADO IS EXPECTED TO BE NEAR... CAPE MENDOCINO AT 1030 AM PST

THE SAFEST PLACE TO BE DURING A TORNADO IS IN A BASEMENT. GET UNDER A WORKBENCH OR OTHER PIECE OF STURDY FURNITURE. IF NO BASEMENT IS AVAILABLE...SEEK SHELTER ON THE LOWEST FLOOR OF THE BUILDING IN AN INTERIOR HALLWAY OR ROOM SUCH AS A CLOSET. USE BLANKETS OR PILLOWS TO COVER YOUR BODY AND ALWAYS STAY AWAY FROM WINDOWS.

IF IN MOBILE HOMES OR VEHICLES...EVACUATE THEM AND GET INSIDE A SUBSTANTIAL SHELTER. IF NO SHELTER IS AVAILABLE...LIE FLAT IN THE NEAREST DITCH OR OTHER LOW SPOT AND COVER YOUR HEAD WITH YOUR HANDS.
LAT...LON 4058 12446 4041 12452 4031 12411 4053 12404

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17548. Re: [bayscan] WIND WIND WIND

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-14 20:07:59 UTC
From: Brian Wolter
Topic: 17548 Message: 17548

I just saw an American Airlines jet (unknown type) on approach to San Jose
Intl . It was almost flying sideways!

----- Original Message -----
From: <copenhagen1971@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 14, 2002 10:52 AM
Subject: [bayscan] WIND WIND WIND WIND WIND WIND

> Getting damn windy here in Pleasanton Hope my antenna holds!!!
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

17549. Re: [bayscan] WIND WIND WIND

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-14 20:55:10 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17548 Message: 17549

40 MPH is the highest so far in Hollister

Santa cruz and Monterey Counties are going Nuts with trees down and power
lines down

At 12:07 PM 12/14/2002 -0800, you wrote:
>I just saw an American Airlines jet (unknown type) on approach to San Jose
>Intl . It was almost flying sideways!
>
>----- Original Message -----
>From: <copenhagen1971@...>
>To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
>Sent: Saturday, December 14, 2002 10:52 AM
>Subject: [bayscan] WIND WIND WIND WIND WIND WIND
>
>
> > Getting damn windy here in Pleasanton Hope my antenna holds!!!
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17550. Major MVA 5-6 Vehicles 280 @ Sandhill Rd.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-14 21:32:04 UTC
From: corey_hawk <cjhawk@hotmail.com>
Topic: 17550 Message: 17550

153.890 FD Reporting now.
13:31
12.14.02

17551. CAR INTO BUILDING WITH FIRE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-14 21:55:11 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17551 Message: 17551

Gilroy fire with south county rolling on a car into building with explosion and fire

gilroy fire 154.340,south county 151.445

reqesting two additional engines and a water tender

17552. Re: [bayscan] WIND WIND WIND

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-14 23:28:43 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17548 Message: 17552

[Body unavailable in the source archive]

17553. Re: [bayscan] WIND WIND WIND

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-14 23:31:27 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17548 Message: 17553

I am no pilot, but if I was, I would tell the tower, I ain't flying in this crap. Geez, my power just came back on in EPA. I NEVER recall hearing as many trees down, and many of them on houses EVER. Whew, glad the power is back on, I had the 780s in the car .....NON stop and I was just monitoring San Mateo County. whew!
ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17554. Re: [bayscan] TORNADO WARNING???

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-14 23:48:38 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 17547 Message: 17554

Robert W. Sanford wrote:
> This just came in here via EDIS could this be true?? A tornado that spawned
> over water?? That is a water spout from my metrological knowledge.

It is a water spout off shore. Once it hits land it is a tornado [and
EAS has no provisions for a "water spout warning"].

Don

17555. CHP webpage WOW

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 00:06:51 UTC
From: Ed <caladded@aol.com>
Topic: 17555 Message: 17555

WOW, I never have seen anything close to this , CHP Golden Gate is
showing 150 calls..ONE HUNDRED FIFTY Damn Also
FireDispatch.com is showing 45 Damn
Ed

17556. Solano Radios Fubar

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 00:08:12 UTC
From: Scott Baldwin
Topic: 17556 Message: 17556

Solano Radios are all messing up over the past few hours. Dispatch has conducted pager tests, and has been handling a lot of their calls over cell phones.

Scott
N6UUH

Cambion Chadeauwaulker
Northern California, USA
http://cambion7.thesociety.net/
http://photos.yahoo.com/cambion7

"In space, no one can hear you cha cha cha!" - Confidence

Boycott Berkeley, California! http://www.berkeleyboycott.com/

17557. Re: [bayscan] Solano Radios Fubar

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 00:15:03 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17556 Message: 17557

my brothers cab radios in the City are out. Boy, he must be in a great mood
LOL
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17558. Re: [bayscan] Solano Radios Fubar

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 00:42:42 UTC
From: Scott Baldwin
Topic: 17556 Message: 17558

Damn the luck! The county dispatcher can transmit, but they can't hear anyone reply. She mentioned something about there being a problem with the microwave link, or something to that respect. Anyone else listening in might be hearing quite a bit of fluttering as well, across many of the Solano County radio systems.

Scott
N6UUH

At 04:15 PM 12/14/2002, you wrote:
>my brothers cab radios in the City are out. Boy, he must be in a great mood
>LOL
>Ed

Cambion Chadeauwaulker
Northern California, USA
http://cambion7.thesociety.net/
http://photos.yahoo.com/cambion7

"In space, no one can hear you cha cha cha!" - Confidence

Boycott Berkeley, California! http://www.berkeleyboycott.com/

17559. Storm monitoring reports

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 01:35:32 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17559 Message: 17559

well I have had the 245 going all day, i was out and about unfortunately. anyways, I have never heard the scanner so damn busy. ALCO alone was jumpin, with downed wire after wire and accident after accident.sort of slowed down here in the Tri-valley area, but we were hammered most of the day. LPFD has been slammed also with trees and wires down and accidents galore. Everyone ready for round 2??????? KGO 810 weather earlier said it should be calm for this evening into morning and then pick up again tomorrow with another major storm hitting..

17560. Re: [bayscan] Re:RAIN TOTALS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 02:11:31 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17543 Message: 17560

Does anyone know where the downtown measuring point for San Francisco is? It used to be on the Customs House, but I don't think it is anymore.

--On Saturday, December 14, 2002 9.58 -0800 "Robert W. Sanford" <bayscan@...> wrote:

> We got over 6 inches here in the North Bay!!
>
> RECORD EVENT REPORT
> NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE SAN FRANCISCO CA
> 1220 AM PST SAT DEC 14 2002
>
> ...RECORD DAILY MAXIMUM RAINFALL SET IN THE SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA...
>
>
> LOCATION 24HR RAINFALL (FRIDAY) PREVIOUS RECORD YEAR
>
> SAN RAFAEL 6.24" 1.12" 1973
> SAN FRANCISCO (DT) 2.99" 2.21" 1915
> SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT 2.47" 0.45" 1968
> OAKLAND (DT) 3.99" 0.52" 1993
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

--
Kenton A. Hoover	
Private Citizen	+1.415.850.5924
San Francisco, California USA	shibumi@...
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+

```
| "The history of the world, my sweet |
| Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
| But fortunately, it's also clear |
| That everybody goes down well with beer." |
| -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |
```

17561. Re: [bayscan] WIND WIND WIND

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 02:13:49 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17548 Message: 17561

Biggest gust at my house this AM was 22 knots; when I got home around 1730 hrs, it had peaked at 25 knots.

Groovy.

--On Saturday, December 14, 2002 18.31 -0500 caladded@... wrote:

> I am no pilot, but if I was, I would tell the tower, I ain't flying in this
> crap. Geez, my power just came back on in EPA. I NEVER recall hearing as many
> trees down, and many of them on houses EVER. Whew, glad the power is back on,
> I had the 780s in the car .....NON stop and I was just monitoring San Mateo
> County. whew!
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

--
Kenton A. Hoover	
Private Citizen	+1.415.850.5924
San Francisco, California USA	shibumi@...
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
| "The history of the world, my sweet |
| Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
| But fortunately, it's also clear |
| That everybody goes down well with beer." |
| -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |

17562. Re: [bayscan] WIND WIND WIND

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 02:27:08 UTC
From: jerry haun
Topic: 17548 Message: 17562

At 06:13 PM 12/14/2002 -0800, you wrote:
>Biggest gust at my house this AM was 22 knots; when I got home around 1730
>hrs,
>it had peaked at 25 knots.
Marysville peaked at 43 mph.

>Groovy.
>
>--On Saturday, December 14, 2002 18.31 -0500 caladded@... wrote:
>
> > I am no pilot, but if I was, I would tell the tower, I ain't flying in
> this
> > crap. Geez, my power just came back on in EPA. I NEVER recall hearing
> as many
> > trees down, and many of them on houses EVER. Whew, glad the power is
> back on,
> > I had the 780s in the car .....NON stop and I was just monitoring San
> Mateo
> > County. whew!
> > ED
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>--
>| Kenton A. Hoover | |
>| Private Citizen | +1.415.850.5924 |
>| San Francisco, California USA | shibumi@... |
>+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
>| "The history of the world, my sweet |
>| Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
>| But fortunately, it's also clear |
>| That everybody goes down well with beer." |
>| -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |
>

>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

Jerry KG6EBK

17563. RE: [bayscan] WIND WIND WIND

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 03:22:46 UTC
From: Vincent
Topic: 17548 Message: 17563

My gauge peaked at 51 knots here in Hayward.

Vince

-----Original Message-----
From: jerry haun [mailto:j.haun@...]
Sent: Saturday, December 14, 2002 6:27 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] WIND WIND WIND

At 06:13 PM 12/14/2002 -0800, you wrote:
>Biggest gust at my house this AM was 22 knots; when I got home around 1730
>hrs,
>it had peaked at 25 knots.
Marysville peaked at 43 mph.

>Groovy.
>
>--On Saturday, December 14, 2002 18.31 -0500 caladded@... wrote:
>
> > I am no pilot, but if I was, I would tell the tower, I ain't flying in
> this
> > crap. Geez, my power just came back on in EPA. I NEVER recall hearing
> as many
> > trees down, and many of them on houses EVER. Whew, glad the power is
> back on,
> > I had the 780s in the car .....NON stop and I was just monitoring San
> Mateo
> > County. whew!
> > ED
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>--

>| Kenton A. Hoover | |
>| Private Citizen | +1.415.850.5924 |
>| San Francisco, California USA | shibumi@... |
>+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
>| "The history of the world, my sweet |
>| Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
>| But fortunately, it's also clear |
>| That everybody goes down well with beer." |
>| -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

Jerry KG6EBK

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17564. Storm picking up again

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 03:34:44 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17564 Message: 17564

Here in pleasanton we are getting hammered with some heavy heavy winds and a halacious downpour and some thunder, also thunder reported in Dublin at mom's house...activity on the freqs. should pick up again.

17565. Re: [bayscan] Storm picking up again

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 04:03:03 UTC
From: Brian Wolter
Topic: 17564 Message: 17565

My power was out for 5 1/2 hours! Made good use of my HH scanners. PG&E was pretty good listening. :-)

----- Original Message -----
From: <copenhagen1971@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 14, 2002 7:34 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Storm picking up again

> Here in pleasanton we are getting hammered with some heavy heavy
> winds and a halacious downpour and some thunder, also thunder
> reported in Dublin at mom's house...activity on the freqs. should
> pick up again.
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

17566. Re: [bayscan] HH scanners

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 04:18:15 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17566 Message: 17566

This is the only reason I am going to hang on to my pro93 when I get my 250D. Batteries! My power was out too , 2- 1/2 hours. But in a long outage, the scanner will come in handy if the rechargeables run out of juice, pop in 4- regular AAs and your back in business. Yep, had my 780 twins , 245 and 93 all running. Looks like the only dry day coming up is Wednesday.
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17567. Avoid the 23

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 04:50:45 UTC
From: Ed <caladded@aol.com>
Topic: 17567 Message: 17567

San Mateo County LE working a DUI checkpoint somewhere around
San Mateo/Burlingame border ...on the Green 488.8875

DAMN , now its lightening and my cable is OUT !!!! damn
ATT Broadband sucks.

(But my 780s work, so I am happy) POURING LIKE HECK AGAIN

ed

17568. chat room open

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 05:01:31 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 10921 Message: 17568

CENCALFIRE CHAT ROOM OPEN

come in chat about the storm and the incredible number of calls today

17569. Re: [bayscan] Avoid the 23

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 05:03:26 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17567 Message: 17569

hey ed come say hello in chat,cencalfireAt 04:50 AM 12/15/2002 +0000, you
wrote:
>San Mateo County LE working a DUI checkpoint somewhere around
>San Mateo/Burlingame border ...on the Green 488.8875
>
> DAMN , now its lightening and my cable is OUT !!!! damn
>ATT Broadband sucks.
>
> (But my 780s work, so I am happy) POURING LIKE HECK AGAIN
>
>ed
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17570. UHF skip now

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 08:46:51 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 17570 Message: 17570

I was reprogramming some frequencies on my scanners and heard Walnut Creek PD channel 1, 460.425 coming in in El Cerrito. I have never heard them before from this location. Also heard another PD on the same frequency doubling with them, could be south bay like San Jose?? Am using a BC245 being fed from a triband diamond antenna up about 35 feet. Jim

17571. Re: [bayscan] UHF skip now

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 08:57:45 UTC
From: Timothy-Allen Albertson-KG6IRH
Topic: 17570 Message: 17571

I was receiving traffic from Monterey
earlier this evening on the 443.100
repeater whose QTH is SF. And I was
only using a 2-meter mag mount about
six feet up. 73 de tim kg6irh.
----- Original Message -----
From: Jim Gammon
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, December 15, 2002 12:46 AM
Subject: [bayscan] UHF skip now

I was reprogramming some frequencies on my scanners and heard Walnut
Creek PD channel 1, 460.425 coming in in El Cerrito. I have never heard
them before from this location. Also heard another PD on the same frequency
doubling with them, could be south bay like San Jose?? Am using a BC245
being fed from a triband diamond antenna up about 35 feet. Jim

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17572. Re: [bayscan] TORNADO WARNING???

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 09:22:04 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 17547 Message: 17572

You wrote..... That is a water spout from my metrological knowledge.
>
Hey, remember that song about the itsy bitsy spider that ran up the water
spout? Must have been one really energetic spider!

> NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE EUREKA CA
> 1019 AM PST SAT DEC 14 2002
>
> THE NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE IN EUREKA HAS ISSUED A
> * TORNADO WARNING FOR...
>
> WEST CENTRAL HUMBOLDT COUNTY IN NORTHWEST CALIFORNIA
> * UNTIL 1045 AM PST
>
> * AT 1009 AM PST...NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE DOPPLER RADAR INDICATED A
> TORNADO JUST OFFSHORE APPROACHING CAPE MENDOCINO MOVING EAST AT 30
> MPH.
>
> * THE TORNADO IS EXPECTED TO BE NEAR... CAPE MENDOCINO AT 1030 AM PST
>
> THE SAFEST PLACE TO BE DURING A TORNADO IS IN A BASEMENT. GET UNDER A
> WORKBENCH OR OTHER PIECE OF STURDY FURNITURE. IF NO BASEMENT IS
> AVAILABLE...SEEK SHELTER ON THE LOWEST FLOOR OF THE BUILDING IN AN
> INTERIOR HALLWAY OR ROOM SUCH AS A CLOSET. USE BLANKETS OR PILLOWS TO
> COVER YOUR BODY AND ALWAYS STAY AWAY FROM WINDOWS.
>
> IF IN MOBILE HOMES OR VEHICLES...EVACUATE THEM AND GET INSIDE A
> SUBSTANTIAL SHELTER. IF NO SHELTER IS AVAILABLE...LIE FLAT IN THE
> NEAREST DITCH OR OTHER LOW SPOT AND COVER YOUR HEAD WITH YOUR HANDS.
> LAT...LON 4058 12446 4041 12452 4031 12411 4053 12404
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

17573. PAZ and PAZ Unit (Spelling?)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 11:27:21 UTC
From: Doug Owens
Topic: 17567 Message: 17573

I heard a San Jose Police unit on SJPD 2 (460.275) making a request for a "PAZ unit" (spelling?) earlier this morning. Does anyone know what a "PAZ unit" is?

No wise cracks about a child's candy dispenser please -- I think that is a PEZ unit :-)

Thanks for your help.

Doug

17574. City and county of Sac.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 14:30:40 UTC
From: w0web <w0web@att.net>
Topic: 17574 Message: 17574

does anyone have the city and or county of Sac trunking systems in a winscan format

Thanks ahead of time

17575. Re: [bayscan] City and county of Sac.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 15:09:08 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17574 Message: 17575

would Excel spread sheet work for you?

Jeffrey<><

At 02:30 PM 12/15/2002 +0000, you wrote:
>does anyone have the city and or county of Sac trunking systems in a
>winscan format
>
>Thanks ahead of time
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
><http://rd.yahoo.com/M=240537.2671416.4133191.1925585/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1368546/R=0/*http://shop.store.yahoo.com/cgi-bin/clink?netflix2+shopping:dmad/M=240537.2671416.4133191.1925585/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1368546/R=1/1039962642+http://us.rmi.yahoo.com/rmi/http://www.netflix.com/rmi-framed-url/http://www.netflix.com/Default%3Fmqso=60156051>4324c8.jpg
>432525.jpg
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17576. SAC

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 15:12:05 UTC
From: w0web
Topic: 17576 Message: 17576

Pam & Jeff,
Yes please (sac in excel)
Thank you
George H. (w0web)
w0web@...

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.427 / Virus Database: 240 - Release Date: 12/6/2002

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17577. PG&E freqs silent

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 16:16:18 UTC
From: Kevin Gallagher
Topic: 17577 Message: 17577

Last time it rained, the dozen or so PG&E freqs in my scanner were hopping. I noticed yesterday they were largely silent. Anyone know what's up?

Kevin

17578. Re: [bayscan] UHF skip now

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 16:06:35 UTC
From: Haynes
Topic: 17570 Message: 17578

Very interesting jim! I'll have to check that out, but were you using an outdoor antenna? I asume you were, and that other traffic could have been from san jose? It seems that only SJ, Santa Rosa, and the Concord area are still using freq's in the 460MHZ range? correct me if I'm wrong.

Les
----- Original Message -----
From: Jim Gammon
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, December 15, 2002 12:46 AM
Subject: [bayscan] UHF skip now

I was reprogramming some frequencies on my scanners and heard Walnut Creek PD channel 1, 460.425 coming in in El Cerrito. I have never heard them before from this location. Also heard another PD on the same frequency doubling with them, could be south bay like San Jose?? Am using a BC245 being fed from a triband diamond antenna up about 35 feet. Jim

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17579. Scanner UnLimited

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 16:35:54 UTC
From: w0web
Topic: 17579 Message: 17579

has anyone notice that Glens Freq. book does not have any Talkgoups in it just the FREQ's or is it the copy I just got????A friend just bought two S.U, Books for me (thinking that I need updated copies) anyway I think I'll stick with the Kelty Books from now on
George H. (w0web)
w0web@...

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.427 / Virus Database: 240 - Release Date: 12/6/2002

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17580. Re: [bayscan] PG&E freqs silent

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 16:44:13 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17577 Message: 17580

They're apparently down to 26,000 customers without service and are awaiting
the next storm. That's down from 300,000 out yesterday; pretty good nights work.

--On Sunday, December 15, 2002 8.16 -0800 Kevin Gallagher
<Kevinga@...> wrote:

> Last time it rained, the dozen or so PG&E freqs in my scanner were
> hopping. I noticed yesterday they were largely silent. Anyone know
> what's up?
>
> Kevin
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

--
Kenton A. Hoover	KC6SST/WPQX842
Private Citizen	+1.415.850.5924
San Francisco, California USA	shibumi@...
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
| "The history of the world, my sweet |
| Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
| But fortunately, it's also clear |
| That everybody goes down well with beer." |
| -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |

17581. Re: [bayscan] PAZ and PAZ Unit (Spelling?)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 16:45:49 UTC
From: WLucas9925@aol.com
Topic: 17567 Message: 17581

12-15-02

If I not mistaking, a PAZ unit as to do with a DUI thing (ie. checkpoint)?

Willie

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17582. Re: [bayscan] PAZ and PAZ Unit (Spelling?)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 16:58:59 UTC
From: Kevin Gallagher
Topic: 17567 Message: 17582

I don't know what it is but it seems to be a San Jose PD acronym. I've not heard it used by any other bay area city.

Kevin

Doug Owens wrote:

>
>
> I heard a San Jose Police unit on SJPD 2 (460.275) making a request
> for
> a "PAZ unit" (spelling?) earlier this morning. Does anyone know what
> a
> "PAZ unit" is?
>
> No wise cracks about a child's candy dispenser please -- I think that
> is
> a PEZ unit :-)
>
> Thanks for your help.
>
> Doug
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17583. Re: [bayscan] PG&E freqs silent

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 17:02:49 UTC
From: Kevin Gallagher
Topic: 17577 Message: 17583

I hear the cops & fire telling the dispatchers to report incidents to PG&E so I know they are overwhelmed. It just seems strange no radio traffic on PG&E side.

"Kenton A. Hoover" wrote:

> They're apparently down to 26,000 customers without service and are
> awaiting
> the next storm. That's down from 300,000 out yesterday; pretty good
> nights work.
>
> --On Sunday, December 15, 2002 8.16 -0800 Kevin Gallagher
> <Kevinga@...> wrote:
>
> > Last time it rained, the dozen or so PG&E freqs in my scanner were
> > hopping. I noticed yesterday they were largely silent. Anyone know
> > what's up?
> >
> > Kevin
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> --
> | Kenton A. Hoover | KC6SST/WPQX842 |
> | Private Citizen | +1.415.850.5924 |
> | San Francisco, California USA | shibumi@... |
> +================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
> | "The history of the world, my sweet |
> | Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
> | But fortunately, it's also clear |
> | That everybody goes down well with beer." |
> | -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17584. Re: [bayscan] PAZ and PAZ Unit (Spelling?)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 17:10:01 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17567 Message: 17584

Often the acronyms for such things are chosen by the funding organization. Oh, you thought DUI stops and such were funded locally? Think again. San Francisco has three seperate programs funded for DUI, scoflaw and pedestrian protection. If you hear about "STOP", that's the DUI and scoflaw program. They usually hit North Beach on Friday nights.

--On Sunday, December 15, 2002 8.58 -0800 Kevin Gallagher
<Kevinga@...> wrote:

> I don't know what it is but it seems to be a San Jose PD acronym. I've
> not heard it used by any other bay area city.
>
> Kevin
>
> Doug Owens wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> I heard a San Jose Police unit on SJPD 2 (460.275) making a request
>> for
>> a "PAZ unit" (spelling?) earlier this morning. Does anyone know what
>> a
>> "PAZ unit" is?
>>
>> No wise cracks about a child's candy dispenser please -- I think that
>> is
>> a PEZ unit :-)
>>
>> Thanks for your help.
>>
>> Doug
>>
>>
>> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

```
--
| Kenton A. Hoover | KC6SST/WPQX842 |
| Private Citizen | +1.415.850.5924 |
| San Francisco, California USA | shibumi@... |
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
| "The history of the world, my sweet |
| Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
| But fortunately, it's also clear |
| That everybody goes down well with beer." |
| -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |
```

17585. Re: [bayscan] PAZ and PAZ Unit (Spelling?)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 17:37:19 UTC
From: Mike
Topic: 17567 Message: 17585

It is a Prelimnary Blood Alchoal Screening Device. It is a breathalizer test in short. The subject will blow into it and it will show his blood alchoal level. if he blows over .08 they may be arrested for DUI.

Mike

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17586. Re: CHP webpage WOW

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 17:51:15 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond
Topic: 17555 Message: 17586

A plantive request on a LE channel for a PG&E ETA -- the answer was "24 hours!"

Power was out wheren I live for six hours Friday, and from about noon to 11:36 Saturday night. The AC powered scanners woke me when they came on.

Heard one dispatch to check on the welfare of a person relatives could not contact, who required oxygen and whose power (thus, oxygen generator) was out. Said person was noted as having a Do Not Rescuscitate order on file, too.

Another wave is due tonight.

Cortland

>Message: 14
> Date: Sun, 15 Dec 2002 00:06:51 -0000
> From: "Ed <caladded@...>" <caladded@...>
>Subject: CHP webpage WOW
>
>WOW, I never have seen anything close to this , CHP Golden Gate is
>showing 150 calls..ONE HUNDRED FIFTY Damn Also
> FireDispatch.com is showing 45 Damn
>Ed
>

17587. Re: Solano Radios Fubar

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 17:54:27 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond
Topic: 17556 Message: 17587

I heard they had power/repeater problems on Mount Jackson.

Cortland

>Message: 15
> Date: Sat, 14 Dec 2002 16:08:12 -0800
> From: Scott Baldwin <cambion7@...>
>Subject: Solano Radios Fubar
>
>Solano Radios are all messing up over the past few hours. Dispatch has
>conducted pager tests, and has been handling a lot of their calls over cell
>phones.
>
>Scott
>N6UUH
>

bayscan@yahoogroups.com wrote:

>There are 25 messages in this issue.
>
>Topics in this digest:
>
> 1. MVA INVOLVING SHERIFFS PATROL CAR
> From: Jeff & Pam <jpschil@...>
> 2. RAIN TOTALS CZU=WOW
> From: Jeff & Pam <jpschil@...>
> 3. Re: RAIN TOTALS CZU=WOW
> From: "Kenton A. Hoover" <shibumi@...>
> 4. WIND WIND WIND WIND WIND WIND
> From: "cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@...>" <copenhagen1971@...>
> 5. Re:RAIN TOTALS
> From: "Robert W. Sanford" <bayscan@...>
> 6. TORNADO WARNING???
> From: "Robert W. Sanford" <bayscan@...>
> 7. Re: WIND WIND WIND
> From: "Brian Wolter" <radioscanner@...>
> 8. Re: WIND WIND WIND
> From: Jeff & Pam <jpschil@...>
> 9. Major MVA 5-6 Vehicles 280 @ Sandhill Rd.
> From: "corey_hawk <cjhawk@...>" <cjhawk@...>
> 10. CAR INTO BUILDING WITH FIRE
> From: Jeff & Pam <jpschil@...>
> 11. Re: WIND WIND WIND
> From: caladded@...
> 12. Re: WIND WIND WIND

> From: caladded@...
> 13. Re: TORNADO WARNING???
> From: Don Root <k6cdo@...>
> 14. CHP webpage WOW
> From: "Ed <caladded@...>" <caladded@...>
> 15. Solano Radios Fubar
> From: Scott Baldwin <cambion7@...>
> 16. Re: Solano Radios Fubar
> From: caladded@...
> 17. Re: Solano Radios Fubar
> From: Scott Baldwin <cambion7@...>
> 18. Storm monitoring reports
> From: "cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@...>" <copenhagen1971@...>
> 19. Re: Re:RAIN TOTALS
> From: "Kenton A. Hoover" <shibumi@...>
> 20. Re: WIND WIND WIND
> From: "Kenton A. Hoover" <shibumi@...>
> 21. Re: WIND WIND WIND
> From: jerry haun <j.haun@...>
> 22. RE: WIND WIND WIND
> From: "Vincent" <vince48@...>
> 23. Storm picking up again
> From: "cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@...>" <copenhagen1971@...>
> 24. Re: Storm picking up again
> From: "Brian Wolter" <radioscanner@...>
> 25. Re: HH scanners
> From: caladded@...
>
>
>_____
>_____
>
>Message: 1
> Date: Sat, 14 Dec 2002 08:38:29 -0800
> From: Jeff & Pam <jpschil@...>
>Subject: MVA INVOLVING SHERIFFS PATROL CAR
>
>156& FAIR VIEW MAJOR MVA HOLLISTER CITY AND COUNTY 9311 ROLLING SHERIFF
>REQUESTS CODE 3 AMBULANCE (HE SOUND PRETTY SHOOK UP)NOT SURE HOW HURT HE IS
>
>151.250 CDF/COUNTY--155.805HOLLISTER
>
>
>JEFFREY<><
>
>
>
>
>_____
>_____

>
>Message: 2
> Date: Sat, 14 Dec 2002 08:46:17 -0800
> From: Jeff & Pam <jpschil@...>
>Subject: RAIN TOTALS CZU=WOW
>
>CZU"S MORNING REPORTS
>
>about five stations in CZU had totals over four and one had 5.35 inches
>
>and the big rain dont hit till this afternoon
>
>
>
>
>_____
>_____
>
>Message: 3
> Date: Sat, 14 Dec 2002 08:45:07 -0800
> From: "Kenton A. Hoover" <shibumi@...>
>Subject: Re: RAIN TOTALS CZU=WOW
>
>3.62 in the past 48 in San Francisco. At least, that's what the gauge on the
>roof reads.
>
>It is looking like alot of the rain is going to go north though.
>
>--On Saturday, December 14, 2002 8.46 -0800 Jeff & Pam <jpschil@...>
>wrote:
>
>>CZU"S MORNING REPORTS
>>
>>about five stations in CZU had totals over four and one had 5.35 inches
>>
>>and the big rain dont hit till this afternoon
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>--
>| Kenton A. Hoover | |

>| Private Citizen | +1.415.850.5924 |
>| San Francisco, California USA | shibumi@... |
>+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
>| "The history of the world, my sweet |
>| Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
>| But fortunately, it's also clear |
>| That everybody goes down well with beer." |
>| -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |
>
>
>_____
>_____
>
>Message: 4
> Date: Sat, 14 Dec 2002 18:52:30 -0000
> From: "cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@...>" <copenhagen1971@...>
>Subject: WIND WIND WIND WIND WIND WIND
>
>Getting damn windy here in Pleasanton Hope my antenna holds!!!
>
>
>
>_____
>_____
>
>Message: 5
> Date: Sat, 14 Dec 2002 09:58:36 -0800
> From: "Robert W. Sanford" <bayscan@...>
>Subject: Re:RAIN TOTALS
>
>We got over 6 inches here in the North Bay!!
>
>RECORD EVENT REPORT
>NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE SAN FRANCISCO CA
>1220 AM PST SAT DEC 14 2002
>
>...RECORD DAILY MAXIMUM RAINFALL SET IN THE SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA...
>
>
>LOCATION 24HR RAINFALL (FRIDAY) PREVIOUS RECORD YEAR
>
>SAN RAFAEL 6.24" 1.12" 1973
>SAN FRANCISCO (DT) 2.99" 2.21" 1915
>SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT 2.47" 0.45" 1968
>OAKLAND (DT) 3.99" 0.52" 1993
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>_____
>_____
>
>Message: 6
> Date: Sat, 14 Dec 2002 10:25:57 -0800
> From: "Robert W. Sanford" <bayscan@...>
>Subject: TORNADO WARNING???
>
>This just came in here via EDIS could this be true?? A tornado that spawned
>over water?? That is a water spout from my metrological knowledge.
>
>NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE EUREKA CA
>1019 AM PST SAT DEC 14 2002
>
>THE NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE IN EUREKA HAS ISSUED A
>* TORNADO WARNING FOR...
>
>WEST CENTRAL HUMBOLDT COUNTY IN NORTHWEST CALIFORNIA
>* UNTIL 1045 AM PST
>
>* AT 1009 AM PST...NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE DOPPLER RADAR INDICATED A
>TORNADO JUST OFFSHORE APPROACHING CAPE MENDOCINO MOVING EAST AT 30
>MPH.
>
>* THE TORNADO IS EXPECTED TO BE NEAR... CAPE MENDOCINO AT 1030 AM PST
>
>THE SAFEST PLACE TO BE DURING A TORNADO IS IN A BASEMENT. GET UNDER A
>WORKBENCH OR OTHER PIECE OF STURDY FURNITURE. IF NO BASEMENT IS
>AVAILABLE...SEEK SHELTER ON THE LOWEST FLOOR OF THE BUILDING IN AN
>INTERIOR HALLWAY OR ROOM SUCH AS A CLOSET. USE BLANKETS OR PILLOWS TO
>COVER YOUR BODY AND ALWAYS STAY AWAY FROM WINDOWS.
>
>IF IN MOBILE HOMES OR VEHICLES...EVACUATE THEM AND GET INSIDE A
>SUBSTANTIAL SHELTER. IF NO SHELTER IS AVAILABLE...LIE FLAT IN THE
>NEAREST DITCH OR OTHER LOW SPOT AND COVER YOUR HEAD WITH YOUR HANDS.
>LAT...LON 4058 12446 4041 12452 4031 12411 4053 12404
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>

>
>_____
>_____
>
>Message: 7
> Date: Sat, 14 Dec 2002 12:07:59 -0800
> From: "Brian Wolter" <radioscanner@...>
>Subject: Re: WIND WIND WIND
>
>I just saw an American Airlines jet (unknown type) on approach to San Jose
>Intl . It was almost flying sideways!
>
>----- Original Message -----
>From: <copenhagen1971@...>
>To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
>Sent: Saturday, December 14, 2002 10:52 AM
>Subject: [bayscan] WIND WIND WIND WIND WIND WIND
>
>
>>Getting damn windy here in Pleasanton Hope my antenna holds!!!
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>_____
>_____
>
>Message: 8
> Date: Sat, 14 Dec 2002 12:55:10 -0800
> From: Jeff & Pam <jpschil@...>
>Subject: Re: WIND WIND WIND
>
>40 MPH is the highest so far in Hollister
>
>Santa cruz and Monterey Counties are going Nuts with trees down and power
>lines down
>
>
>At 12:07 PM 12/14/2002 -0800, you wrote:
>
>>I just saw an American Airlines jet (unknown type) on approach to San Jose
>>Intl . It was almost flying sideways!
>>

>>----- Original Message -----
>>From: <copenhagen1971@...>
>>To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
>>Sent: Saturday, December 14, 2002 10:52 AM
>>Subject: [bayscan] WIND WIND WIND WIND WIND WIND
>>
>>
>>>Getting damn windy here in Pleasanton Hope my antenna holds!!!
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
>>>
>><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
>><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>>
>
>
>
>
>_____
>_____
>
>Message: 9
> Date: Sat, 14 Dec 2002 21:32:04 -0000
> From: "corey_hawk <cjhawk@...>" <cjhawk@...>
>Subject: Major MVA 5-6 Vehicles 280 @ Sandhill Rd.
>
>153.890 FD Reporting now.
>13:31
>12.14.02
>
>
>
>_____
>_____
>
>Message: 10
> Date: Sat, 14 Dec 2002 13:55:11 -0800
> From: Jeff & Pam <jpschil@...>
>Subject: CAR INTO BUILDING WITH FIRE
>
>Gilroy fire with south county rolling on a car into building with explosion
>and fire

>
>gilroy fire 154.340,south county 151.445
>
>reqesting two additional engines and a water tender
>
>
>
>
>_____
>_____
>
>Message: 11
> Date: Sat, 14 Dec 2002 18:28:43 EST
> From: caladded@...
>Subject: Re: WIND WIND WIND
>
>
>
>
>_____
>_____
>
>Message: 12
> Date: Sat, 14 Dec 2002 18:31:27 EST
> From: caladded@...
>Subject: Re: WIND WIND WIND
>
>I am no pilot, but if I was, I would tell the tower, I ain't flying in this
>crap. Geez, my power just came back on in EPA. I NEVER recall hearing as many
>trees down, and many of them on houses EVER. Whew, glad the power is back on,
>I had the 780s in the car .....NON stop and I was just monitoring San Mateo
>County. whew!
>ED
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>_____
>_____
>
>Message: 13
> Date: Sat, 14 Dec 2002 15:48:38 -0800
> From: Don Root <k6cdo@...>
>Subject: Re: TORNADO WARNING???
>
>Robert W. Sanford wrote:
>
>>This just came in here via EDIS could this be true?? A tornado that spawned

>>over water?? That is a water spout from my metrological knowledge.
>>
>
>It is a water spout off shore. Once it hits land it is a tornado [and
>EAS has no provisions for a "water spout warning"].
>
>Don
>
>
>
>
>_____
>_____
>
>Message: 14
> Date: Sun, 15 Dec 2002 00:06:51 -0000
> From: "Ed <caladded@...>" <caladded@...>
>Subject: CHP webpage WOW
>
>WOW, I never have seen anything close to this , CHP Golden Gate is
>showing 150 calls..ONE HUNDRED FIFTY Damn Also
> FireDispatch.com is showing 45 Damn
>Ed
>
>
>
>_____
>_____
>
>Message: 15
> Date: Sat, 14 Dec 2002 16:08:12 -0800
> From: Scott Baldwin <cambion7@...>
>Subject: Solano Radios Fubar
>
>Solano Radios are all messing up over the past few hours. Dispatch has
>conducted pager tests, and has been handling a lot of their calls over cell
>phones.
>
>Scott
>N6UUH
>---
>Cambion Chadeauwaulker
>Northern California, USA
>http://cambion7.thesociety.net/
>http://photos.yahoo.com/cambion7
>
>"In space, no one can hear you cha cha cha!" - Confidence
>
>Boycott Berkeley, California! http://www.berkeleyboycott.com/
>

>
>
>
>_____
>_____
>
>Message: 16
> Date: Sat, 14 Dec 2002 19:15:03 EST
> From: caladded@...
>Subject: Re: Solano Radios Fubar
>
>my brothers cab radios in the City are out. Boy, he must be in a great mood
>LOL
>Ed
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>_____
>_____
>
>Message: 17
> Date: Sat, 14 Dec 2002 16:42:42 -0800
> From: Scott Baldwin <cambion7@...>
>Subject: Re: Solano Radios Fubar
>
>Damn the luck! The county dispatcher can transmit, but they can't hear
>anyone reply. She mentioned something about there being a problem with the
>microwave link, or something to that respect. Anyone else listening in
>might be hearing quite a bit of fluttering as well, across many of the
>Solano County radio systems.
>
>Scott
>N6UUH
>
>
>At 04:15 PM 12/14/2002, you wrote:
>
>>my brothers cab radios in the City are out. Boy, he must be in a great mood
>>LOL
>>Ed
>>
>
>---
>Cambion Chadeauwaulker
>Northern California, USA
>http://cambion7.thesociety.net/
>http://photos.yahoo.com/cambion7

>
>"In space, no one can hear you cha cha cha!" - Confidence
>
>Boycott Berkeley, California! http://www.berkeleyboycott.com/
>
>
>
>
>_____
>_____
>
>Message: 18
> Date: Sun, 15 Dec 2002 01:35:32 -0000
> From: "cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@...>" <copenhagen1971@...>
>Subject: Storm monitoring reports
>
>well I have had the 245 going all day, i was out and about
>unfortunately. anyways, I have never heard the scanner so damn busy.
>ALCO alone was jumpin, with downed wire after wire and accident after
>accident.sort of slowed down here in the Tri-valley area, but we
>were hammered most of the day. LPFD has been slammed also with trees
>and wires down and accidents galore. Everyone ready for round
>2???????? KGO 810 weather earlier said it should be calm for this
>evening into morning and then pick up again tomorrow with another
>major storm hitting..
>
>
>
>_____
>_____
>
>Message: 19
> Date: Sat, 14 Dec 2002 18:11:31 -0800
> From: "Kenton A. Hoover" <shibumi@...>
>Subject: Re: Re:RAIN TOTALS
>
>Does anyone know where the downtown measuring point for San Francisco is? It
>used to be on the Customs House, but I don't think it is anymore.
>
>--On Saturday, December 14, 2002 9.58 -0800 "Robert W. Sanford"
><bayscan@...> wrote:
>
>>We got over 6 inches here in the North Bay!!
>>
>>RECORD EVENT REPORT
>>NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE SAN FRANCISCO CA
>>1220 AM PST SAT DEC 14 2002
>>
>>...RECORD DAILY MAXIMUM RAINFALL SET IN THE SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA...
>>

>>
>>LOCATION 24HR RAINFALL (FRIDAY) PREVIOUS RECORD YEAR
>>
>>SAN RAFAEL 6.24" 1.12" 1973
>>SAN FRANCISCO (DT) 2.99" 2.21" 1915
>>SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT 2.47" 0.45" 1968
>>OAKLAND (DT) 3.99" 0.52" 1993
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>--
>| Kenton A. Hoover | |
>| Private Citizen | +1.415.850.5924 |
>| San Francisco, California USA | shibumi@... |
>+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
>| "The history of the world, my sweet |
>| Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
>| But fortunately, it's also clear |
>| That everybody goes down well with beer." |
>| -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |
>
>
>_____
>_____
>
>Message: 20
> Date: Sat, 14 Dec 2002 18:13:49 -0800
> From: "Kenton A. Hoover" <shibumi@...>
>Subject: Re: WIND WIND WIND
>
>Biggest gust at my house this AM was 22 knots; when I got home around 1730 hrs,

>it had peaked at 25 knots.
>
>Groovy.
>
>--On Saturday, December 14, 2002 18.31 -0500 caladded@... wrote:
>
>>I am no pilot, but if I was, I would tell the tower, I ain't flying in this
>>crap. Geez, my power just came back on in EPA. I NEVER recall hearing as many
>>trees down, and many of them on houses EVER. Whew, glad the power is back on,
>>I had the 780s in the car .....NON stop and I was just monitoring San Mateo
>>County. whew!
>>ED
>>
>>
>>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>--
>| Kenton A. Hoover | |
>| Private Citizen | +1.415.850.5924 |
>| San Francisco, California USA | shibumi@... |
>+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
>| "The history of the world, my sweet |
>| Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
>| But fortunately, it's also clear |
>| That everybody goes down well with beer." |
>| -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |
>
>
>_____
>_____
>
>Message: 21
> Date: Sat, 14 Dec 2002 18:27:08 -0800
> From: jerry haun <j.haun@...>
>Subject: Re: WIND WIND WIND
>
>At 06:13 PM 12/14/2002 -0800, you wrote:
>
>>Biggest gust at my house this AM was 22 knots; when I got home around 1730
>>hrs,

>>it had peaked at 25 knots.
>>
> Marysville peaked at 43 mph.
>
>
>
>
>
>>Groovy.
>>
>>--On Saturday, December 14, 2002 18.31 -0500 caladded@... wrote:
>>
>>>I am no pilot, but if I was, I would tell the tower, I ain't flying in
>>>
>>this
>>
>>>crap. Geez, my power just came back on in EPA. I NEVER recall hearing
>>>
>>as many
>>
>>>trees down, and many of them on houses EVER. Whew, glad the power is
>>>
>>back on,
>>
>>>I had the 780s in the car .....NON stop and I was just monitoring San
>>>
>>Mateo
>>
>>>County. whew!
>>>ED
>>>
>>>
>>>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>--
>>| Kenton A. Hoover | |
>>| Private Citizen | +1.415.850.5924 |
>>| San Francisco, California USA | shibumi@... |
>>+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
>>| "The history of the world, my sweet |
>>| Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |

>>| But fortunately, it's also clear |
>>| That everybody goes down well with beer." |
>>| -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |
>>
>>
>>
>>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>>
>
>Jerry KG6EBK
>
>
>
>_____
>_____
>
>Message: 22
> Date: Sat, 14 Dec 2002 19:22:46 -0800
> From: "Vincent" <vince48@...>
>Subject: RE: WIND WIND WIND
>
>My gauge peaked at 51 knots here in Hayward.
>
>Vince
>
>
>-----Original Message-----
>From: jerry haun [mailto:j.haun@...]
>Sent: Saturday, December 14, 2002 6:27 PM
>To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: Re: [bayscan] WIND WIND WIND
>
>At 06:13 PM 12/14/2002 -0800, you wrote:
>
>>Biggest gust at my house this AM was 22 knots; when I got home around 1730
>>hrs,
>>it had peaked at 25 knots.
>>
> Marysville peaked at 43 mph.
>
>
>
>
>
>>Groovy.
>>
>>--On Saturday, December 14, 2002 18.31 -0500 caladded@... wrote:
>>
>>>I am no pilot, but if I was, I would tell the tower, I ain't flying in
>>>

>>this
>>
>>>crap. Geez, my power just came back on in EPA. I NEVER recall hearing
>>>
>>as many
>>
>>>trees down, and many of them on houses EVER. Whew, glad the power is
>>>
>>back on,
>>
>>>I had the 780s in the car .....NON stop and I was just monitoring San
>>>
>>Mateo
>>
>>>County. whew!
>>>ED
>>>
>>>
>>>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
>>>
>http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>--
>>| Kenton A. Hoover | |
>>| Private Citizen | +1.415.850.5924 |
>>| San Francisco, California USA | shibumi@... |
>>+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
>>| "The history of the world, my sweet |
>>| Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
>>| But fortunately, it's also clear |
>>| That everybody goes down well with beer." |
>>| -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |
>>
>>
>>
>>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>>
>
>Jerry KG6EBK
>
>

>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>_____
>_____
>
>Message: 23
> Date: Sun, 15 Dec 2002 03:34:44 -0000
> From: "cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@...>" <copenhagen1971@...>
>Subject: Storm picking up again
>
>Here in pleasanton we are getting hammered with some heavy heavy
>winds and a halacious downpour and some thunder, also thunder
>reported in Dublin at mom's house...activity on the freqs. should
>pick up again.
>
>
>
>_____
>_____
>
>Message: 24
> Date: Sat, 14 Dec 2002 20:03:03 -0800
> From: "Brian Wolter" <radioscanner@...>
>Subject: Re: Storm picking up again
>
>My power was out for 5 1/2 hours! Made good use of my HH scanners. PG&E
>was pretty good listening. :-)
>
>----- Original Message -----
>From: <copenhagen1971@...>
>To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
>Sent: Saturday, December 14, 2002 7:34 PM
>Subject: [bayscan] Storm picking up again
>
>
>>Here in pleasanton we are getting hammered with some heavy heavy
>>winds and a halacious downpour and some thunder, also thunder
>>reported in Dublin at mom's house...activity on the freqs. should
>>pick up again.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>_____
>_____
>
>Message: 25
> Date: Sat, 14 Dec 2002 23:18:15 EST
> From: caladded@...
>Subject: Re: HH scanners
>
>This is the only reason I am going to hang on to my pro93 when I get my 250D.
> Batteries! My power was out too , 2- 1/2 hours. But in a long outage, the
>scanner will come in handy if the rechargeables run out of juice, pop in 4-
>regular AAs and your back in business. Yep, had my 780 twins , 245 and 93
>all running. Looks like the only dry day coming up is Wednesday.
>Ed
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>_____
>_____
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

17588. Thank You! -- (Was --> RE: [bayscan] PAZ and PAZ Unit (Spelling?))

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 18:22:44 UTC
From: Doug Owens
Topic: 17567 Message: 17588

Mike, Kenton, Kevin, and Willie,

Thank you for the information.

Doug

17589. From the Western Fronteer

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 18:55:04 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17589 Message: 17589

Showers just started here. Wind is gusting to a massive 2 knots!

Rain gauge at 5.17 inches now.

Reno got hit pretty bad; 80 mph gusts. Winds peaked at 134 mph over the Sierras.

```
--
| Kenton A. Hoover | KC6SST/WPQX842 |
| Private Citizen | +1.415.850.5924 |
| San Francisco, California USA | shibumi@... |
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
| "The history of the world, my sweet |
| Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
| But fortunately, it's also clear |
| That everybody goes down well with beer." |
| -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |
```

17590. Re: PG&E freqs silent

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 19:09:45 UTC
From: ka5s <cortlandka5s@netscape.net>
Topic: 17577 Message: 17590

Locally (Sonoma County) I hear a lot of work crew traffic on 158.190.

The water agency here is very busy as pumps trip, power goes out, or purification equipment goes on and off line.

Power was off about 12 hours yesterday and about 6 hours Friday.

Cortland

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Kevin Gallagher <Kevinga@i...> wrote:

It just seems strange no radio traffic on PG&E side.

17591. Re: [bayscan] Scanner UnLimited

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 21:47:29 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17579 Message: 17591

You didn't notice it BEFORE you bought it? Thats your problem. I don't understand the problem since Glenn sells all of Keltys books too!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17592. Re: [bayscan] UHF skip now

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 21:47:50 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 17570 Message: 17592

Hi Les. Yup was using my Diamond ham triband antenna for 6, 2 meters, and 440. I think it was WC and SJ pd's I was hearing. Have heard SJ before, but never WC PD.
On another subject, the Richmond trunked system experienced some serious problems last night. I thought it was my scanners, but not two of them being screwed up. I put a trunking rubber duckie on one and picked up the control channel very weakly. There were various power failures during the night so I suspect it took down there main trunked sites. Very poor planning if they don't have generators or good back-up battery supplies. I tried to find some 800 alt simplex they might have been able to use but never heard anything. I left the scanner on standard mode and some time during the night the control channel and system came up strong again. Must have been out for three or four hours. Sure would like to hear the inside on this! Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: " Haynes" <babykid10@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 15, 2002 8:06 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] UHF skip now

> Very interesting jim! I'll have to check that out, but were you using an outdoor antenna? I asume you were, and that other traffic could have been from san jose? It seems that only SJ, Santa Rosa, and the Concord area are still using freq's in the 460MHZ range? correct me if I'm wrong.
>
> Les
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Jim Gammon
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Sunday, December 15, 2002 12:46 AM
> Subject: [bayscan] UHF skip now
>
>
> I was reprogramming some frequencies on my scanners and heard Walnut
> Creek PD channel 1, 460.425 coming in in El Cerrito. I have never heard
> them before from this location. Also heard another PD on the same frequency
> doubling with them, could be south bay like San Jose?? Am using a BC245
> being fed from a triband diamond antenna up about 35 feet. Jim
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

17593. Paz = PASS device

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 21:51:30 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17593 Message: 17593

What you are hearing is Personal Alcohol Screening ?
(can't remember the last S) And NO its NOT limited to San Jose.
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17594. Re: [bayscan] Scanner UnLimited

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 21:55:39 UTC
From: Glists
Topic: 17579 Message: 17594

I always buy the Kelty books right from Bob. The store may have old editions.

At 01:47 PM 12/15/2002, you wrote:
>You didn't notice it BEFORE you bought it? Thats your problem. I don't
>understand the problem since Glenn sells all of Keltys books too!
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17595. Re: [bayscan] SAC

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 22:10:30 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17576 Message: 17595

its on cencalfile folders take a lookAt 07:12 AM 12/15/2002 -0800, you wrote:
>Pam & Jeff,
>Yes please (sac in excel)
>Thank you
>George H. (w0web)
>w0web@...
>
>
>---
>Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
>Checked by AVG anti-virus system
>(<http://www.grisoft.com).>http://www.grisoft.com).
>Version: 6.0.427 / Virus Database: 240 - Release Date: 12/6/2002
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17596. 800 MHz radio problems

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 22:08:25 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 17596 Message: 17596

I heard yesterday on the San Rafael PD something weird.

The system was saying "MESA moto site, AC power returned"
I assume this was just a message that they lost power at the repeater site
and was a alert that the system was back on regular power.

17597. Chat Tonight? 8PM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-15 23:26:29 UTC
From: Mike
Topic: 17597 Message: 17597

Hey everyone.
Just seeing if anyone can/ wants to meet up tonight in the ScanNorCal chat room. Lets all try to meet at about 8:00 PM. If you could send me a message (off list) and tell me if you will be there. My email is norcalfire@.... Thanks everyone.
Mike

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17598. [ot] threat to 2400Mhz ham band?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-16 00:10:58 UTC
From: Glists
Topic: 17598 Message: 17598

http://www.computerworld.com/governmenttopics/government/legislation/story/0,10801,76750,00.html
I think ham has priority in this band at the moment.

17599. Happy Birthday to the BBC!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-16 00:58:03 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17599 Message: 17599

70 years old!

Wish they still did SW to us North Americans though...

--
Kenton A. Hoover	KC6SST/WPQX842
Private Citizen	+1.415.850.5924
San Francisco, California USA	shibumi@...
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
| "The history of the world, my sweet |
| Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
| But fortunately, it's also clear |
| That everybody goes down well with beer." |
| -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |

17600. Re: [bayscan] PAZ and PAZ Unit (Spelling?)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-16 01:41:58 UTC
From: J. Smith
Topic: 17567 Message: 17600

Scofflaw is SF's enforcement of habitually illegally parked vehicles (aka The Boot), right?

Yes, I did think that all 3 of those programs were funded as a part of the regular police budget. Interesting.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Kenton A. Hoover" <shibumi@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 15, 2002 9:10 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] PAZ and PAZ Unit (Spelling?)

> Often the acronyms for such things are chosen by the funding organization. Oh,
> you thought DUI stops and such were funded locally? Think again. San Francisco
> has three seperate programs funded for DUI, scoflaw and pedestrian protection.
> If you hear about "STOP", that's the DUI and scoflaw program. They usually hit
> North Beach on Friday nights.

17601. Re: [bayscan] Scanner UnLimited

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-16 01:47:14 UTC
From: J. Smith
Topic: 17579 Message: 17601

My edition has likely been superceded (Kelty's yellow cover TRS California, 2000-1 F), but it has 45 pages of talkgroups (section 5, pages 53-98). A lot of them are inaccurate, and a lot of the fun for me is finding the TGs after getting the frequency, but if you have a question about a specific SF TG, let me know. I'll check my Kelty and my notes.

----- Original Message -----
From: "w0web" <w0web@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 15, 2002 8:35 AM
Subject: [bayscan] Scanner UnLimited

> has anyone notice that Glens Freq. book does not have any Talkgoups in it just the FREQ's or is it the copy I just got????A friend just bought two S.U, Books for me (thinking that I need updated copies) anyway I think I'll stick with the Kelty Books from now on
> George H. (w0web)
> w0web@...

17602. Re: [bayscan] Scanner UnLimited

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-16 02:18:23 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 17579 Message: 17602

Read his message - a friend bought them for him.

Evan

At 01:47 PM 12/15/2002, you wrote:
>You didn't notice it BEFORE you bought it? Thats your problem. I don't
>understand the problem since Glenn sells all of Keltys books too!

17603. Re: [bayscan] PAZ and PAZ Unit (Spelling?)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-16 02:26:53 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17567 Message: 17603

Only from DPTs point of view. It also includes people who drive without licenses and uninsured/unregistered vehicles.

I've said as much as I'm going to about the budget issue. For more information, go to the SFPL public reading room and enjoy.

--On Sunday, December 15, 2002 17.41 -0800 "J. Smith" <device@...>
wrote:

> Scofflaw is SF's enforcement of habitually illegally parked vehicles (aka
> The Boot), right?
>
> Yes, I did think that all 3 of those programs were funded as a part of the
> regular police budget. Interesting.
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Kenton A. Hoover" <shibumi@...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Sunday, December 15, 2002 9:10 AM
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] PAZ and PAZ Unit (Spelling?)
>
>
>> Often the acronyms for such things are chosen by the funding organization.
> Oh,
>> you thought DUI stops and such were funded locally? Think again. San
> Francisco
>> has three seperate programs funded for DUI, scoflaw and pedestrian
> protection.
>> If you hear about "STOP", that's the DUI and scoflaw program. They usually
> hit
>> North Beach on Friday nights.
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

--
Kenton A. Hoover	KC6SST/WPQX842
Private Citizen	+1.415.850.5924
San Francisco, California USA	shibumi@...
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
| "The history of the world, my sweet |

```
| Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
| But fortunately, it's also clear |
| That everybody goes down well with beer." |
| -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |
```

17604. Re: [bayscan] Scanner UnLimited

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-16 02:30:20 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17579 Message: 17604

I felt that way too until one day, BART added the Colma road sector and I got really confused. Now, I like having a reference for those systems I'm not trying to work out, as well as those that I'm just travelling through (like NPS GGNRA South).

--On Sunday, December 15, 2002 17.47 -0800 "J. Smith" <device@...>
wrote:

> My edition has likely been superceded (Kelty's yellow cover TRS California,
> 2000-1 F), but it has 45 pages of talkgroups (section 5, pages 53-98). A
> lot of them are inaccurate, and a lot of the fun for me is finding the TGs
> after getting the frequency, but if you have a question about a specific SF
> TG, let me know. I'll check my Kelty and my notes.
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "w0web" <w0web@...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Sunday, December 15, 2002 8:35 AM
> Subject: [bayscan] Scanner UnLimited
>
>
>> has anyone notice that Glens Freq. book does not have any Talkgoups in it
> just the FREQ's or is it the copy I just got????A friend just bought two
> S.U, Books for me (thinking that I need updated copies) anyway I think I'll
> stick with the Kelty Books from now on
>> George H. (w0web)
>> w0web@...
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

--
Kenton A. Hoover	KC6SST/WPQX842
Private Citizen	+1.415.850.5924
San Francisco, California USA	shibumi@...
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
| "The history of the world, my sweet |
| Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
| But fortunately, it's also clear |
| That everybody goes down well with beer." |
| -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |

17605. Re: [bayscan] Scanner UnLimited

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-16 02:38:06 UTC
From: w0web
Topic: 17579 Message: 17605

Thank you for reading the whole message before blasting somebody.. if I had
been there I would not have bought them.
But good insight
But I notice that nobody said if was just my copy or is this the way they do
business??
kind of makes you think....
Thanks anyway
George H. (w0web)
w0web@...
----- Original Message -----
From: "Evan Platt" <evan@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 15, 2002 18:18
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Scanner UnLimited

> Read his message - a friend bought them for him.
>
> Evan
>
> At 01:47 PM 12/15/2002, you wrote:
> >You didn't notice it BEFORE you bought it? Thats your problem. I don't
> >understand the problem since Glenn sells all of Keltys books too!
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.427 / Virus Database: 240 - Release Date: 12/6/2002

17606. Update to Industrial Radio System Addendum

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-16 03:48:33 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz
Topic: 13280 Message: 17606

Hi all;

The IRS addendum has been updated and corrected

For a copy go to;

http://www.saltydogradio.com/irs/IRS_Addendum_20021215.pdf

Send additions and corrections to Bob Eisner at
rheisner@...

Still no word from anyone on Santana Row in San Jose.
We need information.

Regards

Ed

_____
Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail Plus - Powerful. Affordable. Sign up now.
http://mailplus.yahoo.com

17607. Activity in Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-16 05:12:32 UTC
From: Brian Wolter
Topic: 17607 Message: 17607

Sunnyvale PD-- 482.9625

Sunnyvale PD working some kind of med emergency. Somewhere around Central Expy and Bernardo.

They say that Central is closed down, and a 'helicopter is landing'.

Don't have any further info.

- Brian

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17608. Weather: Here we go again.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-16 06:51:41 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17608 Message: 17608

We just had about four minutes of sustained 22 knot winds, with gusts to 36 knots blow through the western part of San Francisco. Pushed the building around quite a bit too.

--
Kenton A. Hoover	KC6SST/WPQX842
Private Citizen	+1.415.850.5924
San Francisco, California USA	shibumi@...
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
| "The history of the world, my sweet |
| Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
| But fortunately, it's also clear |
| That everybody goes down well with beer." |
| -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |

17609. Re: [bayscan] Weather: Here we go again.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-16 10:42:29 UTC
From: bayscan@attbi.com
Topic: 17608 Message: 17609

I had a 72 mph gust here around 1:30 and at the moment all the power is out in Sausalito and the Marin area.
Glad I bought this UPS at work the other day so I can run my laptop and two scanners on it :)

Numerous calls for skylights blow off of buildings in Sausalito and numerous trees into homes.
I cant wait to go out tomorrow and check out the damage.

Robert
> We just had about four minutes of sustained 22 knot winds, with gusts to 36
> knots blow through the western part of San Francisco. Pushed the building
> around quite a bit too.
>
> --
> | Kenton A. Hoover | KC6SST/WPQX842 |
> | Private Citizen | +1.415.850.5924 |
> | San Francisco, California USA | shibumi@... |
> +================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
> | "The history of the world, my sweet |
> | Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
> | But fortunately, it's also clear |
> | That everybody goes down well with beer." |
> | -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

17610. Re: [bayscan] Weather: Here we go again.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-16 14:34:28 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17608 Message: 17610

Robert how long can you run on the UPS? scanners and computer/just scanners?

Jeffrey

At 10:42 AM 12/16/2002 +0000, you wrote:
>I had a 72 mph gust here around 1:30 and at the moment all the power is
>out in
>Sausalito and the Marin area.
>Glad I bought this UPS at work the other day so I can run my laptop and two
>scanners on it :)
>
>Numerous calls for skylights blow off of buildings in Sausalito and numerous
>trees into homes.
>I cant wait to go out tomorrow and check out the damage.
>
>Robert
> > We just had about four minutes of sustained 22 knot winds, with gusts to 36
> > knots blow through the western part of San Francisco. Pushed the building
> > around quite a bit too.
> >
> > --
> > | Kenton A. Hoover | KC6SST/WPQX842 |
> > | Private Citizen | +1.415.850.5924 |
> > | San Francisco, California USA | shibumi@... |
> > +==========
> <http://kc6sst.marchordie.org>http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==========+
> > | "The history of the world, my sweet |
> > | Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
> > | But fortunately, it's also clear |
> > | That everybody goes down well with beer." |
> > | -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |
> >
> >
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17611. Re: [bayscan] Activity in Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-16 14:44:12 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 17607 Message: 17611

Anyone have an update or what this was?

Evan

At 09:12 PM 12/15/2002, you wrote:
>Sunnyvale PD-- 482.9625
>
>Sunnyvale PD working some kind of med emergency. Somewhere around Central
>Expy and Bernardo.
>
>They say that Central is closed down, and a 'helicopter is landing'.
>
>Don't have any further info.

17612. Hollister weather update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-16 14:51:08 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17612 Message: 17612

Well power is finally back on lost it first time about 3am and off and on about six times since

winds are 45 mph for about an hour or so now (6:45am) about 15-25 with gusts to 40

Santa Cruz is going nuts again,two houses in Monterey county were smashed by large trees

no injuries lots of heavy rain 1.38 since midnight.....five year old don't like it

driver of big rig trapped in vehicle by power line some were up in the Santa Cruz mountains

rescue 44 told driver to stay in cab till P&GE arrives

Jeffrey in Hollister<><

17613. weathers getting worse rain wise

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-16 14:58:20 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17613 Message: 17613

NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE SAN FRANCISCO CA
645 AM PST MON DEC 16 2002

THE NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE IN SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA HAS ISSUED A

* FLASH FLOOD WARNING FOR SANTA CRUZ COUNTY

* UNTIL 900 AM PST

* HEAVY RAIN FELL ACROSS SANTA CRUZ COUNTY BETWEEN 300 AND 500 AM
THIS MORNING. BETWEEN 2 AND 3 INCHES OF RAIN FELL OVER THE SANTA CRUZ
MOUNTAINS. HEAVY RAIN ON SOIL ALREADY SATURATED BY LARGE AMOUNTS OF
RAINFALL OVER THE PAST THREE DAYS IS RESULTING IN RAPID RISES ON
SMALL RIVERS AND STREAMS IN SANTA CRUZ COUNTY. BOTH THE SOQUEL CREEK
AND SAN LORENZO RIVER HAVE SHOWN RAPID RISES IN THE PAST FEW HOURS.

SANTA CRUZ COUNTY OFFICIALS EXPECT FLOODING ALONG THE SAN LORENZO
RIVER THIS MORNING. EVACUATIONS ALONG THE SAN LORENZO IN PARADISE
PARK...FELTON GROVE...AND GOLD GULCH ARE LIKELY.

A FLASH FLOOD WARNING MEANS THAT FLOODING IS IMMINENT OR OCCURRING.
IF YOU ARE IN THE WARNING AREA AND OBSERVE FLOODING...MOVE TO
HIGHER GROUND IMMEDIATELY. RESIDENTS LIVING ALONG CREEKS AND STREAMS
SHOULD TAKE IMMEDIATE PRECAUTIONS TO PROTECT LIFE AND PROPERTY. DO
NOT ATTEMPT TO CROSS SWIFTLY FLOWING WATERS OR WATERS OF UNKNOWN
DEPTH BY FOOT OR BY AUTOMOBILE. IF YOUR VEHICLE STALLS...ABANDON IT
IMMEDIATELY AND SEEK HIGHER GROUND.

Jeffrey

17614. some serious flooding details coming in

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-16 15:05:40 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17614 Message: 17614

both Monterey ,SanBenito and Santa Cruz are responding to many flooding calls Morgan Hill went to one with a car washed down a creek 100 ft I've missed details there with other calls

Ill be out on the Monterey peninsula all day today I'm taking my digital with me hope to get some good pics

Jeffrey in Hollister<><

17615. Re: [bayscan] weathers getting worse rain wise

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-16 15:02:48 UTC
From: ProzacKillerX3@aol.com
Topic: 17613 Message: 17615

Send some of that rain down here. Saturday night we had some wind. And that was it.

Richard in Bakersfield

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17616. Re: Weather/Here we go again

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-16 17:35:50 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17616 Message: 17616

Man last nights winds were incredible here in Pleasanton! i could actually feel the complex I live in Move. i have not seen any damage around right here but I heard that within a few blocks/miles there is extensive flooding.Just Heard(9:40 am) CHP CoCo cnty is having "heavy hail"

17617. Re: [bayscan] UHF skip now

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-16 17:43:20 UTC
From: w0web
Topic: 17570 Message: 17617

there is a repeater in Santa Cruz also on 146.790 with a PL tone, 443.100 is
link to 146.790 and is the W6TP/R repeater in S.F.
George H. (w0web)
w0web@...
----- Original Message -----
From: "Timothy-Allen Albertson-KG6IRH" <kg6irh@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 15, 2002 00:57
Subject: Re: [bayscan] UHF skip now

> I was receiving traffic from Monterey
> earlier this evening on the 443.100
> repeater whose QTH is SF. And I was
> only using a 2-meter mag mount about
> six feet up. 73 de tim kg6irh.
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Jim Gammon
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Sunday, December 15, 2002 12:46 AM
> Subject: [bayscan] UHF skip now
>
>
> I was reprogramming some frequencies on my scanners and heard Walnut
> Creek PD channel 1, 460.425 coming in in El Cerrito. I have never heard
> them before from this location. Also heard another PD on the same
frequency
> doubling with them, could be south bay like San Jose?? Am using a BC245
> being fed from a triband diamond antenna up about 35 feet. Jim
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.427 / Virus Database: 240 - Release Date: 12/6/2002

17618. Re: [bayscan] chat room open

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-16 19:20:26 UTC
From: w0web
Topic: 10921 Message: 17618

I don't belong to that group but Thank you anyway
George H. (w0web)
w0web@...
----- Original Message -----
From: "Jeff & Pam" <jpschil@...>
To: <NORCALFIRE@...>; <bayscan@...>;
<SCANNORCAL@...>; <cencalfire@...>
Sent: Saturday, December 14, 2002 21:01
Subject: [bayscan] chat room open

> CENCALFIRE CHAT ROOM OPEN
>
> come in chat about the storm and the incredible number of calls today
>
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.427 / Virus Database: 240 - Release Date: 12/6/2002

17619. Winds

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-16 19:25:14 UTC
From: ProzacKillerX3@aol.com
Topic: 17619 Message: 17619

We're finally having some fun down here. High winds. Blowing dust. Numerous powerlines down in the Southern San Joaquin Valley. Sporadic power outages in the area.

Richard in Bakersfield

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17620. Re: UHF skip now

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-16 19:37:57 UTC
From: kg6irh <kg6irh@pacbell.net>
Topic: 17570 Message: 17620

TNX George. Heard weather net traffic on this
rptr this am. Makes sense and is consistent
with the first thing I learned from my uncle,
as a child, about VHF propagation in inclement
weather. 73 de tim kg6irh.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "w0web" <w0web@q...> wrote:
> there is a repeater in Santa Cruz also on 146.790 with a PL tone,
443.100 is
> link to 146.790 and is the W6TP/R repeater in S.F.
> George H. (w0web)
> w0web@q...
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Timothy-Allen Albertson-KG6IRH" <kg6irh@p...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Sunday, December 15, 2002 00:57
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] UHF skip now
>
>
> > I was receiving traffic from Monterey
> > earlier this evening on the 443.100
> > repeater whose QTH is SF. And I was
> > only using a 2-meter mag mount about
> > six feet up. 73 de tim kg6irh.
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: Jim Gammon
> > To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > Sent: Sunday, December 15, 2002 12:46 AM
> > Subject: [bayscan] UHF skip now
> >
> >
> > I was reprogramming some frequencies on my scanners and
heard Walnut
> > Creek PD channel 1, 460.425 coming in in El Cerrito. I have
never heard
> > them before from this location. Also heard another PD on the
same
> frequency
> > doubling with them, could be south bay like San Jose?? Am
using a BC245
> > being fed from a triband diamond antenna up about 35 feet. Jim
> >
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.

> >
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.427 / Virus Database: 240 - Release Date: 12/6/2002

17621. Re: [bayscan] some serious flooding details coming in

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-16 19:58:13 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17614 Message: 17621

[Body unavailable in the source archive]

17622. Re: [bayscan] some serious flooding details coming in

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-16 20:00:05 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17614 Message: 17622

darn it, sorry.....to continue, it was on the 2600 block on Ocean and they added a couple type 3s cause of the flooding made access difficult ,might be an interesting area , if you haven;t left yet

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17623. Re: [bayscan] some serious flooding details coming in

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-16 20:42:36 UTC
From: w0web
Topic: 17614 Message: 17623

what ??? you sent a blank message (again)
George H. (w0web)
w0web@...
----- Original Message -----
From: <caladded@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, December 16, 2002 11:58
Subject: Re: [bayscan] some serious flooding details coming in

>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.427 / Virus Database: 240 - Release Date: 12/6/2002

17624. Hailing now here in pleasanton

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-16 23:27:43 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17624 Message: 17624

It's hailing like a son-of- a B---- here in pleasanton, man these storms just don't quit!! It's great but how much more can we take? lol

17625. RE: [bayscan] Hailing now here in pleasanton

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-16 23:29:37 UTC
From: Vincent
Topic: 17624 Message: 17625

In Hayward also. How is the wind over the hill?

Vince

-----Original Message-----
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@...>
[mailto:copenhagen1971@...]
Sent: Monday, December 16, 2002 3:28 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Hailing now here in pleasanton

It's hailing like a son-of- a B---- here in pleasanton, man these
storms just don't quit!! It's great but how much more can we take? lol

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17626. Re: [bayscan] Hailing now here in pleasanton

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-16 23:59:39 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17624 Message: 17626

Aw man com' on .... your sitting there with a bunch of scanners around
loving it LOL
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17627. Wind Speed Last night

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-17 01:20:36 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 17627 Message: 17627

I clocked a 74 mph gust last night with my anemometer around 1:18 am.
Around 2:00 am the power went out and it came back on around 1:00 pm today
here in Sausalito.

Robert
BayScan List Manager

17628. RE: [bayscan] Wind Speed Last night

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-17 01:36:42 UTC
From: Vincent
Topic: 17627 Message: 17628

Robert
I clocked the wind gust in Hayward at 69 MPH. Never in my life have I witnessed winds so high and for so long. I wonder what the "big storm" on Thursday holds for us?

Vince

-----Original Message-----
From: Robert W. Sanford [mailto:bayscan@...]
Sent: Monday, December 16, 2002 5:21 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Wind Speed Last night

I clocked a 74 mph gust last night with my anemometer around 1:18 am. Around 2:00 am the power went out and it came back on around 1:00 pm today here in Sausalito.

Robert
BayScan List Manager

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17629. Re: [bayscan] City and county of Sac.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-17 02:02:33 UTC
From: w0web
Topic: 17574 Message: 17629

Yes Please it would be great
thank you
George H. (w0web)
w0web@...
----- Original Message -----
From: "Jeff & Pam" <jpschil@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 15, 2002 07:09
Subject: Re: [bayscan] City and county of Sac.

> would Excel spread sheet work for you?
>
> Jeffrey<><
>
> At 02:30 PM 12/15/2002 +0000, you wrote:
> >does anyone have the city and or county of Sac trunking systems in a
> >winscan format
> >
> >Thanks ahead of time
> >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> >ADVERTISEMENT
>
><http://rd.yahoo.com/M=240537.2671416.4133191.1925585/D=egroupweb/S=1705063
108:HM/A=1368546/R=0/*http://shop.store.yahoo.com/cgi-bin/clink?netflix2+sho
pping:dmad/M=240537.2671416.4133191.1925585/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=13
68546/R=1/1039962642+http://us.rmi.yahoo.com/rmi/http://www.netflix.com/rmi-
framed-url/http://www.netflix.com/Default%3Fmqso=60156051>4324c8.jpg
> >432525.jpg
> >
> >Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> ><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.427 / Virus Database: 240 - Release Date: 12/6/2002

17630. Re:UPS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-17 01:37:48 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 17608 Message: 17630

Well I got a pretty big one. They come in different power ratings.

I have mine connected to my laptop and two of my scanners.
I should be able to get quite a few hours out of them since the laptop does
not draw as much as a desktop PS with a normal monitor.

I really depends on the model you purchase and the equipment you have
connected to it.

Robert

At 06:34 AM 12/16/02 -0800, you wrote:
>Robert how long can you run on the UPS? scanners and computer/just scanners?
>
>Jeffrey
>
>
>At 10:42 AM 12/16/2002 +0000, you wrote:
> >I had a 72 mph gust here around 1:30 and at the moment all the power is
> >out in
> >Sausalito and the Marin area.
> >Glad I bought this UPS at work the other day so I can run my laptop and two
> >scanners on it :)
> >
> >Numerous calls for skylights blow off of buildings in Sausalito and numerous
> >trees into homes.
> >I cant wait to go out tomorrow and check out the damage.
> >
> >Robert
> > > We just had about four minutes of sustained 22 knot winds, with gusts
> to 36
> > > knots blow through the western part of San Francisco. Pushed the building
> > > around quite a bit too.
> > >
> > > --
> > > | Kenton A. Hoover | KC6SST/WPQX842 |
> > > | Private Citizen | +1.415.850.5924 |
> > > | San Francisco, California USA | shibumi@... |
> > > +==================
> > <http://kc6sst.marchordie.org>http://kc6sst.marchordie.org
> ==================+
> > > | "The history of the world, my sweet |
> > > | Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
> > > | But fortunately, it's also clear |
> > > | That everybody goes down well with beer." |
> > > | -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |

> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> > <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> > >
> > >
> >
> >Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> ><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17631. kcnl tower collapse

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-17 03:19:58 UTC
From: Glists
Topic: 17631 Message: 17631

http://www.anntec.com/kcnlfall/index.html
This tower was damaged a few weeks ago by PGE. The wind finished it off.

17632. Re: [bayscan] Hailing now here in pleasanton

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-17 04:52:02 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 17624 Message: 17632

Well, remember what they used to say on Star Trek, "Open a hailing frequency"!
Subject: [bayscan] Hailing now here in pleasanton

> It's hailing like a son-of- a B---- here in pleasanton, man these
> storms just don't quit!! It's great but how much more can we take? lol
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

17633. CoCoSO incident in Walnut Creek, 3 gun shot victims

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-17 05:07:23 UTC
From: Chris Mayer
Topic: 17633 Message: 17633

CoCoSO has been working an incident in Walnut Creek on Walnut Blvd. & Mountain View. 3 victims, 1 of those is one of the suspects. Another suspect holed up inside the house. CHP Helicopter 30 just left to get more fuel.

All on-duty Sheriff SWAT requested to respond.

CoCo SO 155.250
Contra Costa Fire 160.110

-Chris.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17634. Re: [bayscan] City and county of Sac.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-17 05:12:56 UTC
From: w0web
Topic: 17574 Message: 17634

this is a copy of a post I made last week please look at the message source
and who it is from if it from w0web w0web@... that is the fake person
using my ID I'm in contact with Yahoo and they are tracing the IP address
George H. (w0web)
w0web@...
----- Original Message -----
From: <w0web@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 15, 2002 06:30
Subject: [bayscan] City and county of Sac.

> does anyone have the city and or county of Sac trunking systems in a
> winscan format
>
> Thanks ahead of time
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.427 / Virus Database: 240 - Release Date: 12/6/2002

17635. frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-17 05:29:09 UTC
From: Kent <psx5@yahoo.com>
Topic: 966 Message: 17635

anyone got any interesting frequencies below 30mhz or between 1300-2000mhz that they like to share?

17636. Audio up: Sunnyvale Swat Incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-17 05:31:05 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 17636 Message: 17636

I've made available audio from March 10, 2002 on my web site:
http://www.espphotography.com/sunnyvaleshooting.WAV .

Some of the more interesting 'tidbits'

14:37: PD returns to a neighboring unit to turn off pot on stove. Suspect fires at PD.

I'm way too tired (major dental work today) to listen any more, but perhaps someone else can listen and put some highlights?

I coulda sworn there was a "SHOTS FIRED! SHOTS FIRED!" officer yelling with a "BANG BANG BANG BANG" in the background.

Evan

17637. Re: [bayscan] frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-17 08:10:10 UTC
From: Glists
Topic: 966 Message: 17637

This is an interesting question. Below 30Mhz there is too much to mention. CB, HAM, shortwave all the way down to beacons beneath the broadcast band.

In the 1300-2000 range, I have never received any signals, though they are there. For instance, there is inmarsat. Check out SCPC-FDM@yahoogroups.com You need low noise amps, special antennas, etc.

Around 2350 (or so) there is HAM ATV. I built one of those coffee can microwave down converters years ago (like over 20). I doubt I fired it up in the last decade, but there was ham ATV coming from Black Mountain in Cupertino.

The authority on these allocations is the Red Book, though the link seems to be down.
http://www.ntia.doc.gov/osmhome/redbook/redbook.html

At 09:29 PM 12/16/2002, you wrote:
>anyone got any interesting frequencies below 30mhz or between 1300-
>2000mhz that they like to share?
>
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17638. Re: [bayscan] City and county of Sac.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-17 13:52:12 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17574 Message: 17638

Im confused?? :(:{ :<(

At 09:12 PM 12/16/2002 -0800, you wrote:
>this is a copy of a post I made last week please look at the message source
>and who it is from if it from w0web w0web@... that is the fake person
>using my ID I'm in contact with Yahoo and they are tracing the IP address
>George H. (w0web)
>w0web@...
>----- Original Message -----
>From: <w0web@...>
>To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
>Sent: Sunday, December 15, 2002 06:30
>Subject: [bayscan] City and county of Sac.
>
>
> > does anyone have the city and or county of Sac trunking systems in a
> > winscan format
> >
> > Thanks ahead of time
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
>
>
>---
>Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
>Checked by AVG anti-virus system
>(<http://www.grisoft.com).>http://www.grisoft.com).
>Version: 6.0.427 / Virus Database: 240 - Release Date: 12/6/2002
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17639. Re: [bayscan] frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-17 13:54:17 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 966 Message: 17639

Interesting question are you trying to figure out why some scanner are so
broad banded I've never heard anything above 999> on my 780 .....

Jeffrey <><

At 05:29 AM 12/17/2002 +0000, you wrote:
>anyone got any interesting frequencies below 30mhz or between 1300-
>2000mhz that they like to share?
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17640. Re: [bayscan] frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-17 15:52:51 UTC
From: Scott Baldwin
Topic: 966 Message: 17640

I've never scanned above 900Mhz. But I do know there are ham repeaters that operate in the 1.2Gig range. I did a web search and found the following link:

http://www.qsl.net/kj6vu/cyx.html

This is a multi-linked 1.2GHz repeater system. But I don't have a scanner that covers that high of a frequency, so I can't say if those are still good freqs or not.

Scott
N6UUH

At 05:54 AM 12/17/2002, you wrote:
>Interesting question are you trying to figure out why some scanner are so
>broad banded I've never heard anything above 999> on my 780 .....
>
>
>Jeffrey <><

Cambion Chadeauwaulker
Northern California, USA
http://cambion7.thesociety.net/
http://photos.yahoo.com/cambion7

"In space, no one can hear you cha cha cha!" - Confidence

Boycott Berkeley, California! http://www.berkeleyboycott.com/

17641. That sinking feeling

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-17 16:35:09 UTC
From: ka5s <cortlandka5s@netscape.net>
Topic: 17641 Message: 17641

Heard at 0830

42.88: A sink in the roadway, North of Wilfred (Rohnert Park)

Of COURSE -- and no doubt looking for a sinkhole!

Cortland

17642. Weather - Incoming

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 01:10:34 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 17642 Message: 17642

Morning all!

If my eyes don't deceive me there are several large thunderheads building off the coast just west of Half Moon Bay and north. Not sure of the direction, but these look like serious urban and small stream flood producers.

Geez, feel like I am back east!

A few freqs to consider:

San Jose Fire: 154.010
Palo Alto Fire: 153.770
Mountain View Fire: 154.025
Santa Clara Fire: 153.965

Cheers!

C. A. Rose
Executive Producer - Andromeda Films
Photographer - c + a ROSE PHOTOGRAPHY

Andromeda Films - www.andromedafilms.com
c + a ROSE PHOTOGRAPHY - www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/main.html

craigarose@...

Monitoring from the heart of the Silicon Valley on:

ICOM R-71A
Yaesu FRG-8800
Yaesu FRG-7
Sony ICF-7600G
Bearcat 250
Bearcat 3000 xlt
Bearcat 895 xlt

"Quickly, bring me a beaker of wine, that I may wet my brain and say something clever."

- Aristophanies

17643. Re: [bayscan] Weather - Incoming

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-17 17:18:33 UTC
From: Tim Tribble
Topic: 17642 Message: 17643

We are suppose to get a small system today and
tomorrow and then again on Thursday through Saturday.
The weekend's system being another large windy one.

--- Craig Rose <craigarose@...> wrote:
> Morning all!
>
> If my eyes don't deceive me there are several large
> thunderheads building
> off the coast just west of Half Moon Bay and north.
> Not sure of the
> direction, but these look like serious urban and
> small stream flood
> producers.
>
> Geez, feel like I am back east!
>
> A few freqs to consider:
>
> San Jose Fire: 154.010
> Palo Alto Fire: 153.770
> Mountain View Fire: 154.025
> Santa Clara Fire: 153.965
>
> Cheers!
>
>

> C. A. Rose
> Executive Producer - Andromeda Films
> Photographer - c + a ROSE PHOTOGRAPHY
>

=====
Donald "Tim" Tribble
KD6MDV
Sacramento, CA
"Our Blessed Lady of Acceleration, don't fail me now!!!"

17644. Re: [bayscan] frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-17 17:20:29 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 966 Message: 17644

Not much between 990 -> 1250. Above that point, you need to worry about antennas and preamps. If you have a discone on the roof feeding your scanner, almost all the signal is being attenuated by the coax on the way to your radio.

--On Tuesday, December 17, 2002 5.54 -0800 Jeff & Pam <jpschil@...>
wrote:

> Interesting question are you trying to figure out why some scanner are so
> broad banded I've never heard anything above 999> on my 780 .....

--
Kenton A. Hoover	KC6SST/WPQX842
Private Citizen	+1.415.850.5924
San Francisco, California USA	shibumi@...
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
| "The history of the world, my sweet |
| Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
| But fortunately, it's also clear |
| That everybody goes down well with beer." |
| -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |

17645. Re: [bayscan] Weather - Incoming

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-17 17:27:08 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17642 Message: 17645

Western SF got pelted by hail and rain for the past twenty minutes. Short break, but I can see the top of another thunderhead out to sea.

By the arrival data, SFO seems to still be operating without delays.

--On Tuesday, December 17, 2002 17.10 -0800 Craig Rose <craigarose@...> wrote:

> Morning all!
>
> If my eyes don't deceive me there are several large thunderheads building
> off the coast just west of Half Moon Bay and north. Not sure of the
> direction, but these look like serious urban and small stream flood
> producers.
>
> Geez, feel like I am back east!
>
> A few freqs to consider:
>
> San Jose Fire: 154.010
> Palo Alto Fire: 153.770
> Mountain View Fire: 154.025
> Santa Clara Fire: 153.965
>
> Cheers!
>
> ---
> C. A. Rose
> Executive Producer - Andromeda Films
> Photographer - c + a ROSE PHOTOGRAPHY
>
> Andromeda Films - www.andromedafilms.com
> c + a ROSE PHOTOGRAPHY - www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/main.html
>
> craigarose@...
>
> Monitoring from the heart of the Silicon Valley on:
>
> ICOM R-71A
> Yaesu FRG-8800
> Yaesu FRG-7
> Sony ICF-7600G
> Bearcat 250
> Bearcat 3000 xlt
> Bearcat 895 xlt
>

> "Quickly, bring me a beaker of wine, that I may wet my brain and say
> something clever."
>
> - Aristophanies
> ---
>
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

--
Kenton A. Hoover	KC6SST/WPQX842
Private Citizen	+1.415.850.5924
San Francisco, California USA	shibumi@...
+========== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==========+
| "The history of the world, my sweet |
| Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
| But fortunately, it's also clear |
| That everybody goes down well with beer." |
| -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |

17646. Re: [bayscan] Weather - Incoming

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 01:27:34 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 17642 Message: 17646

Don't know how many are interested in aero scanning, but San Jose Tower, on 124.000, is always worth a listen with things get crazy.

This morning, about an hour ago, we had a non-lightning producing cell move through and the landing aircraft were contending with wind shear of +-8 knots. Makes for a fun ride when you are under 1,000 feet and your 737 is bouncing around ;-)

Craig

> From: "Kenton A. Hoover" <shibumi@...>
> Reply-To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Date: Tue, 17 Dec 2002 09:27:08 -0800
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Weather - Incoming
>
> Western SF got pelted by hail and rain for the past twenty minutes. Short
> break, but I can see the top of another thunderhead out to sea.
>
> By the arrival data, SFO seems to still be operating without delays.

17647. Re: [bayscan] Weather - Incoming

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-17 17:31:46 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17642 Message: 17647

Don't forget San Mateo County. the Coast is getting hit real hard
Control 1 / 153.89
Control 3/ 155.895-pl 114.8
Control 21/ 154,100
Control 24/154.055
CDF/ 151.37

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17648. Re: [bayscan] Weather - Incoming

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 01:30:57 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 17642 Message: 17648

I never bother with you folks up the Peninsula ;-) Just kidding! I always get San Mateo Fire on 153.890 like gang busters down here in Santa Clara.

Craig

> Don't forget San Mateo County. the Coast is getting hit real hard
> Control 1 / 153.89
> Control 3/ 155.895-pl 114.8
> Control 21/ 154,100
> Control 24/154.055
> CDF/ 151.37

17649. Re: [bayscan] Weather - Incoming/ San Jose Airport

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-17 17:38:35 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17649 Message: 17649

Yeah, good point Craig, of course the same could be said about SFO. But I noticed the landing aircraft at SJ is coming in over the bay from east to west, so there are some places especially in Santa Clara to watch landing aircraft. crossing my fingers for no disasters, I was near Great America yesterday watching them, interesting.
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17650. Re: [bayscan] Weather - Incoming

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-17 17:41:53 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17642 Message: 17650

Craig, I am surprised you left out Sunnyvale. They had lots of flooding yesterday morning on the East side near twin creeks baseball park. Borregas, Caribbean streets to name a couple. Chan. 2 mentioned this morning we have plenty of storms coming over the next few weeks. My M/C is getting lonely in the carport :-(
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17651. Re: [bayscan] Weather - Incoming/ San Jose Airport

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 02:48:24 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 17649 Message: 17651

Whoops! Sorry about the S'vale omission.

Just been listening to frustrated pilots being given their hold instructions for SFO. Sounds like things are getting stacked-up out there. Aero freqs to monitor include:

134.150
127.450
125.450
132.650

All are Half Moon Bay

Cheers and stay dry!

> From: caladded@...
> Reply-To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Date: Tue, 17 Dec 2002 12:38:35 EST
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Weather - Incoming/ San Jose Airport
>
> Yeah, good point Craig, of course the same could be said about SFO. But I
> noticed the landing aircraft at SJ is coming in over the bay from east to
> west, so there are some places especially in Santa Clara to watch landing
> aircraft. crossing my fingers for no disasters, I was near Great America
> yesterday watching them, interesting.
> Ed
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

17652. Re: [bayscan] City and county of Sac.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-17 19:47:54 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 17574 Message: 17652

Just out of curiosity why would someone want to use your id??

Robert

At 09:12 PM 12/16/02 -0800, you wrote:
>this is a copy of a post I made last week please look at the message source
>and who it is from if it from w0web w0web@... that is the fake person
>using my ID I'm in contact with Yahoo and they are tracing the IP address
>George H. (w0web)
>w0web@...
>----- Original Message -----
>From: <w0web@...>
>To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
>Sent: Sunday, December 15, 2002 06:30
>Subject: [bayscan] City and county of Sac.
>
>
> > does anyone have the city and or county of Sac trunking systems in a
> > winscan format
> >
> > Thanks ahead of time
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
>
>
>---
>Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
>Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
>Version: 6.0.427 / Virus Database: 240 - Release Date: 12/6/2002
>
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17653. Re: [bayscan] Weather - Incoming

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-17 20:57:14 UTC
From: Boulrog@aol.com
Topic: 17642 Message: 17653

Santa Clara COUNTY Fire: 154.250

In a message dated 12/17/02 09:15:05, craigarose@... writes:

<< Santa Clara Fire: 153.965
>>

17654. Hail in Mtn View

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-17 22:01:21 UTC
From: Brian Wolter
Topic: 17654 Message: 17654

Hail in Mtn View now 1400hrs.

- Brian

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17655. RE: [bayscan] Hail in Mtn View

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-17 23:06:32 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 17654 Message: 17655

hail here too 10 ago

www.mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & central California

-----Original Message-----
From: Brian Wolter [mailto:radioscanner@...]
Sent: Tuesday, December 17, 2002 2:01 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Hail in Mtn View

Hail in Mtn View now 1400hrs.

- Brian

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17656. Re: frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-17 23:07:43 UTC
From: Kent <psx5@yahoo.com>
Topic: 966 Message: 17656

Thanks for the info guys. I just modified my ic-q7a to receive those frequencies so was just wondering what's out there. I scan from .05mhz to 30mhz last night in Berkeley but didn't get anything. Of course I was scanning in FM mode so maybe I need to do it in AM or WFM.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Kenton A. Hoover" <shibumi@m...> wrote:
> Not much between 990 -> 1250. Above that point, you need to worry about
> antennas and preamps. If you have a discone on the roof feeding your scanner,
> almost all the signal is being attenuated by the coax on the way to your radio.
>
> --On Tuesday, December 17, 2002 5.54 -0800 Jeff & Pam <jpschil@h...>
> wrote:
>
> > Interesting question are you trying to figure out why some scanner are so
> > broad banded I've never heard anything above 999> on my 780 .....
>
> --
> | Kenton A. Hoover | KC6SST/WPQX842 |
> | Private Citizen | +1.415.850.5924 |
> | San Francisco, California USA | shibumi@m... |
> +================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
> | "The history of the world, my sweet |
> | Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
> | But fortunately, it's also clear |
> | That everybody goes down well with beer." |
> | -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |

17657. Re: [bayscan] Hail in Mtn View

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 01:12:17 UTC
From: Boulrog@aol.com
Topic: 17654 Message: 17657

it was hailing in Cupertino around 1200 hours.... only for about 5 or 10 minutes.....

17658. bounced mail by yahoo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 06:55:02 UTC
From: PHILIP HUMES
Topic: 17658 Message: 17658

Yahoo started bouncing my mail back. They said my mailbox was full and that is why it was bounced or returned. they then shut me off. I have had 150 ++ messages in my box at several stimes. They bounced 49 messages. Skomething is not right here.

Philip Humes

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17659. Re: [bayscan] Re: frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 07:25:55 UTC
From: Glists
Topic: 966 Message: 17659

I would suspect the performance in that region is not going to be very good since that isn't the target function of the product. Generally ham radios don't make good scanners, especially out of band. You will also need a much longer antenna for those lower frequencies.

The only scanner I have that works well beneath 30Mhz with just a duckie is the MVT7100.

At 03:07 PM 12/17/2002, you wrote:
>Thanks for the info guys. I just modified my ic-q7a to receive those
>frequencies so was just wondering what's out there. I scan
>from .05mhz to 30mhz last night in Berkeley but didn't get anything.
>Of course I was scanning in FM mode so maybe I need to do it in AM or
>WFM.
>
>--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Kenton A. Hoover" <shibumi@m...>
>wrote:
> > Not much between 990 -> 1250. Above that point, you need to worry
>about
> > antennas and preamps. If you have a discone on the roof feeding
>your scanner,
> > almost all the signal is being attenuated by the coax on the way to
>your radio.
> >
> > --On Tuesday, December 17, 2002 5.54 -0800 Jeff & Pam <jpschil@h...>
> > wrote:
> >
> > > Interesting question are you trying to figure out why some
>scanner are so
> > > broad banded I've never heard anything above 999> on my
>780 .....
> >
> > --
> > | Kenton A. Hoover | KC6SST/WPQX842 |
> > | Private Citizen | +1.415.850.5924 |
> > | San Francisco, California USA | shibumi@m... |
> > +================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
> > | "The history of the world, my sweet |
> > | Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
> > | But fortunately, it's also clear |
> > | That everybody goes down well with beer." |
> > | -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |
>
>
>

>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17660. interesting chase going on now

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 09:16:32 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 17660 Message: 17660

CHP are chasing some guy that bailed from his vehicle then jumped into a pick up trucks bed on 42.500
At first they thought the guy was trying to get hit by a car but it seems he was just trying to get away.
1:16 am

Robert
Im recording it now so if its worth it ill upload it later to my site.

17661. Re: [bayscan] interesting chase going on now

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 13:04:19 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17660 Message: 17661

[Body unavailable in the source archive]

17662. Re: [bayscan] interesting chase going on now

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 13:05:34 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17660 Message: 17662

Robert, did you notice you said the incident was at 1:16 and it didn't post until 2:57 ? And hour and forty-one minutes. Yahoo !!!!!

Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17663. LAPD Could Curb Most Pursuits

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 09:39:20 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 17663 Message: 17663

LAPD Could Curb Most Pursuits

Posted: December 17, 2002 at 8:42 p.m.

LOS ANGELES (AP) -- Police Chief William Bratton has proposed policy changes that would ban most auto pursuits of suspects that occur under current rules.

The changes would bar chasing people for infractions such as missing license plates, broken taillights or other minor traffic violations. A Police Commission review found that at least 60 percent of Los Angeles police chases are for minor traffic offenses.

Chases would also require increased supervision under the proposal.

The commission, which functions as the Police Department's civilian oversight board, on Tuesday put off action until Jan. 7. During a discussion of the policy, most members appeared to favor a more restrictive pursuit policy.

"I'm not quite sure there is public support to risk the life of anybody unless a felony is involved," said Commission President Rick Caruso.

The plan follows a series of high-profile chases and collisions, including a Dec. 3 tragedy in which a suspect crashed into a family's vehicle, tearing an arm off a baby. That pursuit involved an attempted murder and would not have been barred.

Police pursuits rose to 781 in 2001 from 597 the previous year, according to Police Commission statistics. Pedestrian injuries resulting from chases last year were nearly double those of 1998.

"I believe the industry standards are evolving and becoming more restrictive," said Deputy Chief George Gascon, who helped develop the proposed new policy.

Police officials are also hoping the policy will mean fewer televised chases.

"It's created almost a circus atmosphere," Gascon said of the coverage.

The chases also have been costly to the city. The amount paid out in liability claims stemming from police pursuits in the fiscal year from July 2000 through June 2001 was $1.3 million. From July 2001 through September 2002, the city paid out $196,400 in claims, according to figures supplied by the Los Angeles city attorney's office.

The department has proposed tracking fleeing suspects by air rather than the ground. Now, officers are given discretion on whether to pursue

suspects. The senior officer involved in the chase has the responsibility to decide whether to end a pursuit.

Last month the 4th District Court of Appeal in Santa Ana commented in an opinion involving a Westminster police officer who chased a stolen car through a high school campus in 1995. The suspect's car hit a trash bin and struck a bystander who was gravely injured.

"We urge the Legislature to revisit this statute and seriously reconsider the balance between public entity immunity and public safety," the court stated in its opinion. "The balance appears to have shifted too far toward immunity and left public safety, as well as compensation for innocent victims, twisting in the wind."

17664. Re: [bayscan] LAPD Could Curb Most Pursuits (Dont Get Me Started)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 15:25:57 UTC
From: Harry Calahan
Topic: 17663 Message: 17664

This is the most idiotic and shortsided thinking I have ever heard, ooops.... SFPD does the same thing now. The PROBLEM is that many "in-progress" crimes have been solved by taking the pursuits to the finish. The other night in SF SFPD tried a traffic stop due to a "minor vehicle voilation" type deal, the guy fled and it turned out to be a 207/211/245 of a ho from the tenderloin. They found out later..... The point is that you never know..... Do most rational law abiding citizens take off when they have a taillight out.... HELLO!!!!! "Robert W. Sanford" <bayscan@...> wrote: LAPD Could Curb Most Pursuits

Posted: December 17, 2002 at 8:42 p.m.

LOS ANGELES (AP) -- Police Chief William Bratton has proposed policy changes that would ban most auto pursuits of suspects that occur under current rules.

The changes would bar chasing people for infractions such as missing license plates, broken taillights or other minor traffic violations. A Police Commission review found that at least 60 percent of Los Angeles police chases are for minor traffic offenses.

Chases would also require increased supervision under the proposal.

The commission, which functions as the Police Department's civilian oversight board, on Tuesday put off action until Jan. 7. During a discussion of the policy, most members appeared to favor a more restrictive pursuit policy.

"I'm not quite sure there is public support to risk the life of anybody unless a felony is involved," said Commission President Rick Caruso.

The plan follows a series of high-profile chases and collisions, including a Dec. 3 tragedy in which a suspect crashed into a family's vehicle, tearing an arm off a baby. That pursuit involved an attempted murder and would not have been barred.

Police pursuits rose to 781 in 2001 from 597 the previous year, according to Police Commission statistics. Pedestrian injuries resulting from chases last year were nearly double those of 1998.

"I believe the industry standards are evolving and becoming more restrictive," said Deputy Chief George Gascon, who helped develop the proposed new policy.

Police officials are also hoping the policy will mean fewer televised chases.

"It's created almost a circus atmosphere," Gascon said of the coverage.

The chases also have been costly to the city. The amount paid out in liability claims stemming from police pursuits in the fiscal year from July

2000 through June 2001 was $1.3 million. From July 2001 through September 2002, the city paid out $196,400 in claims, according to figures supplied by the Los Angeles city attorney's office.

The department has proposed tracking fleeing suspects by air rather than the ground. Now, officers are given discretion on whether to pursue suspects. The senior officer involved in the chase has the responsibility to decide whether to end a pursuit.

Last month the 4th District Court of Appeal in Santa Ana commented in an opinion involving a Westminster police officer who chased a stolen car through a high school campus in 1995. The suspect's car hit a trash bin and struck a bystander who was gravely injured.

"We urge the Legislature to revisit this statute and seriously reconsider the balance between public entity immunity and public safety," the court stated in its opinion. "The balance appears to have shifted too far toward immunity and left public safety, as well as compensation for innocent victims, twisting in the wind."

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail Plus - Powerful. Affordable. Sign up now

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17665. Re: [bayscan] LAPD Could Curb Most Pursuits (Dont Get Me Started)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 15:46:58 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17663 Message: 17665

Do you have stats to back up that statement "many crimes." If you watch "COPS" you'll see there are a number of idiots who do run from the cops for minor stuff. Technology is coming along to curtain the pursuits, they are still too dangerous for all involved, I agree with cutting them down. Felony pursuits are a different story. But supervising in all pursuits is a good idea.
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17666. Hayward shooting

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 15:58:14 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17666 Message: 17666

About an hour ago, a black/Hispanic female early 20s name Monica shot another "Monica" on the 2500 block of Hesperian, she then does a hit/run on 2 cars (non-injury) fleeing the scene at Hesperian/Winton. HPD has full name/address and license/description of her and her vehicle. Seems there is a history at perps address. HPD had a SWAT callout in June for a barricaded male at same apt. nice people
Ed
(Ps, NOW they SHOULD pursue her!!)

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17667. RE: [bayscan] LAPD Could Curb Most Pursuits (Dont Get Me Started)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 16:07:10 UTC
From: Doug Owens
Topic: 17663 Message: 17667

I think you have nailed it Ed. There needs to be proper supervision by the command officers to judge the pros and cons of continuing each pursuit.

The supervising officers can judge real-time based on knowledge of crimes that may have just occurred nearby, similarity of the vehicle being pursued to wanted vehicles, safety, and all the other variables that a predefined policy cannot possibly cover.

Making a blanket announcement that all pursuits for minor offences will be terminated seems a bit foolish to me.

Doug

-----Original Message-----
From: caladded@... [mailto:caladded@...]
Sent: Wednesday, December 18, 2002 7:47 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] LAPD Could Curb Most Pursuits (Dont Get Me Started)

Do you have stats to back up that statement "many crimes." If you watch "COPS" you'll see there are a number of idiots who do run from the cops for
minor stuff. Technology is coming along to curtain the pursuits, they are
still too dangerous for all involved, I agree with cutting them down. Felony
pursuits are a different story. But supervising in all pursuits is a good
idea.
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17668. Re: [bayscan] LAPD Could Curb Most Pursuits (Dont Get Me Started)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 16:21:41 UTC
From: Harry Calahan
Topic: 17663 Message: 17668

Nothing as scientific as watching "cops"..... But how about more than a few and less than a lot..... My point was that it happens.....
caladded@... wrote:Do you have stats to back up that statement "many crimes." If you watch "COPS" you'll see there are a number of idiots who do run from the cops for minor stuff. Technology is coming along to curtain the pursuits, they are still too dangerous for all involved, I agree with cutting them down. Felony pursuits are a different story. But supervising in all pursuits is a good idea.
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail Plus - Powerful. Affordable. Sign up now

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17669. Re: [bayscan] Hayward shooting

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 16:24:09 UTC
From: Kevin Gallagher
Topic: 17666 Message: 17669

What city / freq?

caladded@... wrote:

> About an hour ago, a black/Hispanic female early 20s name Monica shot
> another
> "Monica" on the 2500 block of Hesperian, she then does a hit/run on 2
> cars
> (non-injury) fleeing the scene at Hesperian/Winton. HPD has full
> name/address and license/description of her and her vehicle. Seems
> there is a
> history at perps address. HPD had a SWAT callout in June for a
> barricaded
> male at same apt. nice people
> Ed
> (Ps, NOW they SHOULD pursue her!!)
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17670. Re: [bayscan] LAPD Could Curb Most Pursuits (Dont Get Me Started)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 16:24:47 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17663 Message: 17670

Thanks Doug. Yeah, one of the best tools police officers have is there training and knowledge. Some of that stuff you cannot explain in a court room so there may be some pursuits that can be allowed even if they are not on the surface appear to involve a violent crime. It depends on a lot of factors. Considering the weather we are having, I would hope those involved would really consider the thought of a high-speed pursuit during a driving rainstorm. time of day makes a huge difference. Obviously a pursuit at 4 am on a Sunday morning is a lot less hazardous than on at 8pm on a Friday night. All factors need to be weighed in before 1) starting the pursuit and 2) allowing one to continue.
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17671. Re: [bayscan] LAPD Could Curb Most Pursuits (Dont Get Me Started)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 16:27:21 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17663 Message: 17671

[Body unavailable in the source archive]

17672. Re: [bayscan] Hayward shooting

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 16:35:59 UTC
From: Kevin Gallagher
Topic: 17666 Message: 17672

Sorry Ed, I didn't see it in the subject line.
I can't pick up Hayward very well so I missed it.

Kevin Gallagher wrote:

> What city / freq?
>
> caladded@... wrote:
>
> > About an hour ago, a black/Hispanic female early 20s name Monica
> shot
> > another
> > "Monica" on the 2500 block of Hesperian, she then does a hit/run on
> 2
> > cars
> > (non-injury) fleeing the scene at Hesperian/Winton. HPD has full
> > name/address and license/description of her and her vehicle. Seems
> > there is a
> > history at perps address. HPD had a SWAT callout in June for a
> > barricaded
> > male at same apt. nice people
> > Ed
> > (Ps, NOW they SHOULD pursue her!!)
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17673. Re: [bayscan] LAPD Could Curb Most Pursuits (Dont Get Me Started)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 16:36:15 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17663 Message: 17673

Sorry about the blank one (damn I need a new PC)! YES, it does happen, I agree with that. But what I think I have said, you need more control in many jurisdiction's. You can't allow some rookie cop to go blasting though intersections at 80mph over a broken taillight. YEP, maybe there is a bunch of drugs or guns in the car and the cops DO get lucky once in a while. But if the pursuit starts out "for traffic violations" ONLY, then you must balance the danger to the value of catching the guy and only giving out tickets for running a red-light and failing to yield to PD. an example

Many years ago (about 25) SFPD had a pursuit of an armed robbery suspect (a pursuit I would STILL agree with) and the suspects rammed a car at the old Oak/Laguna St. on ramp to the central freeway. A newlywed couple in the early 20s were killed. Do you want to be the one who tells there parents that NO grandchildren will come of this marriage because their kids are dead. I wouldn't. NOW again, this would be a legit reason, felony suspects, ARMED. its unfortunate. BUT, had this been a misdemeanor pursuit, all hell would have broke loose and innocent civilians have been killed during the misdemeanor pursuits. More control makes common sense
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17674. Re: [bayscan] Hayward shooting

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 16:37:01 UTC
From: schwan999@aol.com
Topic: 17666 Message: 17674

For Info,
actually the address was on Hancock. Remember this is Hayward, the heart of the bay, only the nicest city to live in next to Oakland:).
Also, I am sure 245/attempt 187 would be enough to contradict the no persuit policy that HPD has.
Also, if anyone wants to listen to a lot of action, I know that Southland mall is nuts on Christmas eve, lots of gang activity. I am not sure of thier freq though.
Hope everyone has a happy hoilidays!
Jim Nicholls
CSU Hayward Dispatcher
KG6MLT

17675. Re: [bayscan] Hayward shooting

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 16:39:29 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17666 Message: 17675

Kevin, you caught your error before I got a chance to flame you :-)
You DON'T get Hayward ? Where are you? Damn, I wish EVERY one of my channels came in as good as Hayward Police AND Fire . (in fact, I have to turn the volume DOWN on Hayward Fire often) But, I only have water between me and Hayward.
Ed
BTW HPD 483.1125

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17676. Mail Status - Temporary Change

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 16:42:18 UTC
From: Scott Baldwin
Topic: 17676 Message: 17676

I've set my mailing status to NO MAIL and will return it to the appropriate settings on December 30th, when I return from my vacation trip.

My apologize to the list for the mass cross-posting. But Yahell doesn't allow cross-posting to list owners. Go figure.

Scott

Cambion Chadeauwaulker
Northern California, USA
http://cambion7.thesociety.net/
http://photos.yahoo.com/cambion7

"In space, no one can hear you cha cha cha!" - Confidence

Boycott Berkeley, California! http://www.berkeleyboycott.com/

17677. Re: [bayscan] Hayward shooting

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 16:48:35 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17666 Message: 17677

Jim mentions Hancock St. (thanks Jim, forgot it) that is where the suspect lives and where the SWAT thing happened back in June. Suspect shouldn't be too hard to spot. black female/mulatto....light skinned FULL of freckles. both named Monika (wonder if it was over a man? HMM)
ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17678. Re: [bayscan] Hayward shooting

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 16:52:38 UTC
From: Kevin Gallagher
Topic: 17666 Message: 17678

... and I deserved to be flamed since it was bolder than bold.

I'm in south San Jose near Blossom Hill Rd. Hayward is strong but my antenna is not high up and some days (like today) it barely comes in. Some nights its very strong.

Kevin

caladded@... wrote:

> Kevin, you caught your error before I got a chance to flame you :-)
> You DON'T get Hayward ? Where are you? Damn, I wish EVERY one of my
>
> channels came in as good as Hayward Police AND Fire . (in fact, I
> have to
> turn the volume DOWN on Hayward Fire often) But, I only have water
> between me
> and Hayward.
> Ed
> BTW HPD 483.1125
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17679. RE: [bayscan] Hayward shooting

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 16:57:43 UTC
From: Vincent
Topic: 17666 Message: 17679

Southland security 462.0250 D565 (digital tone). And yes, it can get very active. Daily.

Vince

-----Original Message-----
From: schwan999@... [mailto:schwan999@...]
Sent: Wednesday, December 18, 2002 8:37 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Hayward shooting

For Info,
actually the address was on Hancock. Remember this is Hayward, the heart of the bay, only the nicest city to live in next to Oakland:).
Also, I am sure 245/attempt 187 would be enough to contradict the no persuit policy that HPD has.
Also, if anyone wants to listen to a lot of action, I know that Southland mall is nuts on Christmas eve, lots of gang activity. I am not sure of thier freq though.
Hope everyone has a happy hoilidays!
Jim Nicholls
CSU Hayward Dispatcher
KG6MLT

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17680. email forwarded by me, from W0WEB

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 17:10:53 UTC
From: Merlin Willis, N6OFY
Topic: 17680 Message: 17680

Merlin please forward this to Bayscan@...

Dear Bayscanners, I have left the group because somebody on this list is Re Posting my messages and Yahoo is working on this problem. First let me I'm sorry for hassles and by leaving the group I hope to spare the group it from this AS&*(LE and YES I know who you are.
Once again I 'm sorry and once yahoo finds and deals with this person I'll be back
ANYTHING FROM W0WEB@... IS NOT ME AND I DID NOT MAKE THE POST BE AWARE
George H. (w0web)
w0web@...

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.427 / Virus Database: 240 - Release Date: 12/6/2002

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17681. watch those stuck mikes !!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 17:33:56 UTC
From: Ed <caladded@aol.com>
Topic: 17681 Message: 17681

Oops!!!! traffic on Control 12 (153.785) I think it was
Burlingame Fire. Some FF (maybe a CApt) talking about a situation of
pumping out a basement ((sounds like he may have been on a
cellphone)) but had his mike open . a few " you know , how the F***
should i have know this and how the F*** should I have known that.

finally the mike clears and someone else says " you are not
supposed to use the F word on the radio

----------DEAD SILENCE ----------
(sounds like he was complaining about a Chief ,,,,he may of some
more EXPLAINING to do Now
ED

17682. 45.580

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 17:00:15 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 17682 Message: 17682

I wondered if any of you knew that SFPD is still sending "ALL" bulletins over the old 45.580 frequency?

I had it still programmed in one of my scanners here and it popped up. I had to do a double take and check that what I was hearing was correct!

I guess they still have that stuff in place for some reason.

Does anyone know the answer? Maybe you do Bundy..

Robert
BayScan List Manager

17683. Re: [bayscan] watch those stuck mikes !!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 17:44:58 UTC
From: James Richardson
Topic: 17681 Message: 17683

>Oops!!!! traffic on Control 12 (153.785) I think it was
>Burlingame Fire. Some FF (maybe a CApt) talking about a situation of
>pumping out a basement ((sounds like he may have been on a
>cellphone)) but had his mike open . a few " you know , how the F***
>should i have know this and how the F*** should I have known that.
>
> finally the mike clears and someone else says " you are not
>supposed to use the F word on the radio

Least in the trunked systems the dispatcher automatically disables
those type of radios.

Jim<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17684. High Rise Fire , San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 17:55:41 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17684 Message: 17684

San Jose calling level 2 high rise fire at 55 WSanta Clara at 1st St.
smoke showing (not sure which floor(s)) At least 2 alarms so far
154.01 Dispatch
153.98 Command
155.88 Tac 6 (yellow) fireground
Staging at Santa Clara And San Pedro
-- this is right downtown
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17685. Re: [bayscan] 45.580

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 17:56:35 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17682 Message: 17685

I have heard 45.46 and 45.14 used for that too a couple times

Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17686. Re: [bayscan] High Rise Fire , San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 17:58:17 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17684 Message: 17686

Sounds like more than 2 alarms worth of equipment.
BC 1 is Command
BC29 is Operations

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17687. Re: [bayscan] High Rise Fire , San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 18:00:15 UTC
From: James Richardson
Topic: 17684 Message: 17687

>Sounds like more than 2 alarms worth of equipment.
>BC 1 is Command
>BC29 is Operations

Anyone feeding this online?

Jim<

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17688. Fireground channel in San Jose is 153.83 TAC 6 more....

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 18:04:29 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17688 Message: 17688

They are evacuating basement to at least the 13th floor

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17689. RE: [bayscan] Fireground channel in San Jose is 153.83 TAC 6 more....

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 18:32:39 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 17688 Message: 17689

are we sure this isn't a drill?

www.mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & central California

-----Original Message-----
From: caladded@... [mailto:caladded@...]
Sent: Wednesday, December 18, 2002 10:04 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Fireground channel in San Jose is 153.83 TAC 6 more....

They are evacuating basement to at least the 13th floor

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17690. Re: [bayscan] High Rise Fire , San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 18:10:42 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17684 Message: 17690

Command 4 153.98 is on repeater, I am hearing all traffic in Palo Alto
TAC 6 is NOT, could use a feed (153.83)
Special Calling two more Engine Companies.
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17691. 45.580

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 21:50:18 UTC
From: Bundy Chanock
Topic: 17682 Message: 17691

Yes. SFPD still simulcasts many of their "ALLS" to low band, since all the mobiles still have their low band Maxtracs. Many of the officers still use them to communicate car to car. And the stations all still have their base units, just in case the 800MHz. ever does go totally south.

Bundy

>Date: Wed, 18 Dec 2002 09:00:15 -0800
> From: "Robert W. Sanford" <bayscan@...>
> Subject: 45.580
>
> I wondered if any of you knew that SFPD is still sending "ALL" bulletins
> over the old 45.580 frequency?
>
> I had it still programmed in one of my scanners here and it popped up. I
> had to do a double take and check that what I was hearing was correct!
>
> I guess they still have that stuff in place for some reason.
>
> Does anyone know the answer? Maybe you do Bundy..
>
> Robert
> BayScan List Manager

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17692. Re: [bayscan] 45.580

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 22:23:04 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 17682 Message: 17692

Thanks Bundy I knew you would know the answer...
Your the man :)

Robert

At 01:50 PM 12/18/02 -0800, you wrote:
>Yes. SFPD still simulcasts many of their "ALLS" to low band, since all the
>mobiles still have their low band Maxtracs. Many of the officers still use
>them to communicate car to car. And the stations all still have their base
>units, just in case the 800MHz. ever does go totally south.
>
>Bundy
>
> >Date: Wed, 18 Dec 2002 09:00:15 -0800
> > From: "Robert W. Sanford" <bayscan@...>
> > Subject: 45.580
> >
> > I wondered if any of you knew that SFPD is still sending "ALL" bulletins
> > over the old 45.580 frequency?
> >
> > I had it still programmed in one of my scanners here and it popped up. I
> > had to do a double take and check that what I was hearing was correct!
> >
> > I guess they still have that stuff in place for some reason.
> >
> > Does anyone know the answer? Maybe you do Bundy..
> >
> > Robert
> > BayScan List Manager
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17693. Re: [bayscan] Fireground channel in San Jose is 153.83 TAC 6 more....

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 22:53:18 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17688 Message: 17693

No, it was a boiler room problem.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17694. Re: [bayscan] Fireground channel in San Jose is 153.83 TAC 6 more....

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 07:53:41 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 17688 Message: 17694

Responded to this event only to find a lot of steam and a bunch of firefighters standing around posing for my camera ;-)

Cheers!

Craig

> From: caladded@...
> Reply-To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Date: Wed, 18 Dec 2002 17:53:18 EST
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Fireground channel in San Jose is 153.83 TAC 6
> more....
>
> No, it was a boiler room problem.
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

17695. Re: [bayscan] Hayward shooting

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 00:56:10 UTC
From: Boulrog@aol.com
Topic: 17666 Message: 17695

do you know this suspect personally??? LOL

In a message dated 12/18/02 08:51:12, caladded@... writes:

<< Suspect shouldn't be
too hard to spot. black female/mulatto....light skinned FULL of freckles.
>>

17696. Re: LAPD Could Curb Most Pursuits (Dont Get Me Started)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 01:35:27 UTC
From: ofc53 <ofc53@yahoo.com>
Topic: 17663 Message: 17696

You guys may or may not know this already but in Santa Clara County (and I think San Mateo County) the pursuit policies are quite restrictive (and have been for quite a few years). I can't speak for all of the agencies but at least in Mountain View, our policies dictate chasing only felonies or misdemeanor crimes involving weapons or violence (i.e. domestic violence, battery, etc.)and we are not to chase drunk drivers.

Yes, that means we've let go quite a few infractions as they sped away from us but as a whole, when you have a densly packed area such as the Bay Area or in this case, Mountain View, you can't afford to go chasing everything when the risks are higher that innocent bystanders may get hurt.

However, when there are felonies and serious crimes (like when our officer got shot awhile back), then pursuits are justified. Yet, every officer is trained to know the difference between wrecklessly chasing someone and knowing when to back off if the risks are just too high.

There was quite a bit of moaning and groaning when we scaled back our pursuit policy a few years back but in my experience I think it was a good decision. I will never know how many of those "infraction" stops that got away were actual wanted felons but the way I see it, those guys will eventually get caught somewhere/somehow. I'd rather let one get away then be taking a fatal traffic accident report.

Just my two cents worth...

Chris

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> Sorry about the blank one (damn I need a new PC)! YES, it does happen, I
> agree with that. But what I think I have said, you need more control in many
> jurisdiction's. You can't allow some rookie cop to go blasting though
> intersections at 80mph over a broken taillight. YEP, maybe there is a bunch
> of drugs or guns in the car and the cops DO get lucky once in a while. But if
> the pursuit starts out "for traffic violations" ONLY, then you must balance
> the danger to the value of catching the guy and only giving out

tickets for
> running a red-light and failing to yield to PD. an example
>
> Many years ago (about 25) SFPD had a pursuit of an armed
robbery suspect
> (a pursuit I would STILL agree with) and the suspects rammed a car
at the old
> Oak/Laguna St. on ramp to the central freeway. A newlywed couple
in the early
> 20s were killed. Do you want to be the one who tells there parents
that NO
> grandchildren will come of this marriage because their kids are
dead. I
> wouldn't. NOW again, this would be a legit reason, felony
suspects, ARMED.
> its unfortunate. BUT, had this been a misdemeanor pursuit, all
hell would
> have broke loose and innocent civilians have been killed during
the
> misdemeanor pursuits. More control makes common sense
> Ed
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17697. Re: Lookin for PG&E freqs for Pleasanton -Livermore area

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 02:09:10 UTC
From: lunaticlineman <tricari@attbi.com>
Topic: 17493 Message: 17697

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "cowboymike1971
<copenhagen1971@h...>" <copenhagen1971@h...> wrote:
> Does anyone have the Freqs. for PG&E in The Tri-Valley area. Are
they
> 900MHZ or is it in The 151 area??

I hear them dispatch trouble calls on 158.1300 with a tone of 103.5.
Dispatch is MWed to Sunol Ridge, and wherever else, and the mobiles
are simplex. (It's a receiver voting network).

Gas is on an 800 LTR system (see archives).

I deal with PG&E a lot and they usually work alone. As far as I know
the field techs use PG&E's wireless data network (Look at street
lights for the hanging Tx/Rx unit similar to the old Ricochet) to
submit job info and such. I'll have to ask a guy next time I see
them.

-Wayne

17698. yahoo is going nuts

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 02:36:03 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17698 Message: 17698

The wierdest thing just happent Im checking my mail I get done going through all the bayscan messages LA pursuit,jan jose highrise, and suddenly all those messages are down loaded again same exact ones gees first we cant get them on time now we get them twice?????

17699. santana row fire report-changes recommended

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 02:33:23 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 17699 Message: 17699

among the changes recommended are that san jose go to a computer aided mutual aid system. current systems mandates all requests pass through 5 dispatchers taking up to 10 minutes. another recommendation is that san jose has requested an additional 4 frequencies ontop of the 5 they currently have now..

www.mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & central California

17700. Re: Hayward shooting

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 02:40:19 UTC
From: kma371 <ke6les@attbi.com>
Topic: 17666 Message: 17700

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Vincent" <vince48@s...> wrote:
> Southland security 462.0250 D565 (digital tone). And yes, it can
get very
> active. Daily.
>
> Vince

It's not 464.475 anymore?? Haven't been out there in a while.

17701. Re: [bayscan] santana row fire report-changes recommended

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 02:47:57 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17701 Message: 17701

More frequencies will help but that means they have to hire more dispatchers too. I monitored the fire from the 1st alarm on for 3 hours.
Clearly, the dispatchers were overwhelmed and didn't help. The computerized M/A would have helped. She was stating that she had run out of companies to send and I doubt she was even aware that a San Mateo Strike team had been dispatched and had already met and were moving south on 280. Santa Clara County ought to a page out of the book from San Mateo County which dispatches units that are closest to the incident NOT by city boundaries. I remember a fatal fire in Mt. View that clearly was closer to Sunnyvale Station 3 . No way of proving the life would have been saved but it sure would not have hurt. San Jose is also planning on more Engines/Stations. That will help.
Looking for a dispatcher job? My girlfriends' neighbor dispatches for SJPD and they need more people
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17702. RE: [bayscan] Re: Hayward shooting

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 03:01:42 UTC
From: Vincent
Topic: 17666 Message: 17702

My bad. I'm sorry. It is 464.475 (565 tone). 462.025 is the interconnect telephone.

Vince

Vincent & Veronica

-----Original Message-----
From: kma371 <ke6les@...> [mailto:ke6les@...]
Sent: Wednesday, December 18, 2002 6:40 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Re: Hayward shooting

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Vincent" <vince48@s...> wrote:
> Southland security 462.0250 D565 (digital tone). And yes, it can get very
> active. Daily.
>
> Vince

It's not 464.475 anymore?? Haven't been out there in a while.

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17703. Norton atomic clock update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 03:08:00 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17703 Message: 17703

I just did a live update on Norton and it downloaded a new feature Iam now connected to the atomic clock and this will automatically update weekly ,cool

17704. Re: [bayscan] santana row fire report-changes recommended

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 03:15:38 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 17701 Message: 17704

Ben kellogg wrote:
> among the changes recommended are that san jose go to a computer aided
> mutual aid system. current systems mandates all requests pass through 5
> dispatchers taking up to 10 minutes. another recommendation is that san jose
> has requested an additional 4 frequencies ontop of the 5 they currently have
> now..

Saying "add 4 more frequencies" is nice, but I wonder where they are
going to find 4 additional channels that will work with their (existing)
25 kHz wide radios, in that dense RF environment...

Don

17705. Major MVA hollister area

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 03:23:17 UTC
From: Jeffrey <jpschil@hollinet.com>
Topic: 17705 Message: 17705

Hollister city as well as County /cdf rolling to a Major MVA 156 and
UNION ROAD OFF DUTY FIRE FIGHTER ON SCENE REPORTS TWO CARS HEAD ON
ONE ROLLED OVER MAJOR INJURIES TWO PIN INS CALSTAR ROLLING ALSO

HIGHWAY SHUT DOWN COMPLETLY

17706. OK GUYS WHAT IS AN 1144?CHP

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 03:26:33 UTC
From: Jeffrey <jpschil@hollinet.com>
Topic: 17706 Message: 17706

OK GUYS WHAT IS AN 1144?CHP

ONE CRIDICAL TRAPPED FOUR ADDITIONAL MAJOR INJURIES

17707. OK GUYS WHAT IS AN 1144?CHP

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 03:26:38 UTC
From: Jeffrey <jpschil@hollinet.com>
Topic: 17706 Message: 17707

OK GUYS WHAT IS AN 1144?CHP

ONE CRIDICAL TRAPPED FOUR ADDITIONAL MAJOR INJURIES

17708. Re: [bayscan] OK GUYS WHAT IS AN 1144?CHP

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 03:26:58 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 17706 Message: 17708

I believe an 11-44 is a tow truck. Someone correct me if I'm wrong..

Evan

At 07:26 PM 12/18/2002, you wrote:
>OK GUYS WHAT IS AN 1144?CHP
>
>ONE CRIDICAL TRAPPED FOUR ADDITIONAL MAJOR INJURIES

17709. Chico Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 03:28:06 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 17709 Message: 17709

Could someone pass Chico Fire's Primary freq? I'll be up that way later this week. I know it's 453 somthing but forgot the rest.

Thanks

Oh Ya and Red Bluffs Fire Pri too.

17710. Re: [bayscan] OK GUYS WHAT IS AN 1144?CHP

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 03:29:47 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 17706 Message: 17710

Jeffrey wrote:

> OK GUYS WHAT IS AN 1144?CHP

Coroner's Case

Don

17711. Re: [bayscan] OK GUYS WHAT IS AN 1144?CHP

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 03:35:39 UTC
From: Boulrog@aol.com
Topic: 17706 Message: 17711

11-44 means DOA/Coroners Case

In a message dated 12/18/02 19:28:12, jpschil@... writes:

<< OK GUYS WHAT IS AN 1144?CHP
>>

17712. Re: [bayscan] OK GUYS WHAT IS AN 1144?CHP

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 03:36:40 UTC
From: Boulrog@aol.com
Topic: 17706 Message: 17712

you beat me to it!

In a message dated 12/18/02 19:31:54, k6cdo@... writes:

<< Jeffrey wrote:

> OK GUYS WHAT IS AN 1144?CHP

Coroner's Case

Don
>>

17713. Re: [bayscan] Chico Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 03:45:18 UTC
From: Jake H
Topic: 17709 Message: 17713

HI Paul. Chico is 453.750, Red Bluff is dispatched by Tehama CDF on 151.370.
Give a shout on 145.450- 88.5PL and I might hear ya. I'll be
listening after hours.
Drive safe.
Jake

>Could someone pass Chico Fire's Primary freq? I'll be up that way
>later this week. I know it's 453 somthing but forgot the rest.
>
>Thanks
>
>Oh Ya and Red Bluffs Fire Pri too.
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17714. Re: [bayscan] OK GUYS WHAT IS AN 1144?CHP

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 03:35:58 UTC
From: jerry haun
Topic: 17706 Message: 17714

At 07:26 PM 12/18/2002 -0800, you wrote:
>I believe an 11-44 is a tow truck. Someone correct me if I'm wrong..
>
>Evan
>
>At 07:26 PM 12/18/2002, you wrote:
> >OK GUYS WHAT IS AN 1144?CHP
> >
> >ONE CRIDICAL TRAPPED FOUR ADDITIONAL MAJOR INJURIES
>
>DECEASED
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

Jerry KG6EBK

17715. Re: [bayscan] Hollister crash

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 04:07:52 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17715 Message: 17715

Jeff, can you give an update. Is this a confirmed fatal?
thanks
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17716. SF TG 65328

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 04:06:44 UTC
From: J. Smith
Topic: 17716 Message: 17716

Ok, this is either getting absurd or it's a clear indication of some shifts in Frisco's talkgroups. Today's new digital talkgroup is 65328, which I have as Department of Public Works, Street Environmental Services #3. I hope that it's no longer that, there's little reason to desire securer transmissions when talking about abandoned furniture, bum encampments and trash dumped at the roadside.

Is the entire system converting to digital?

=-=-=

This is your life, and it's ending one minute at a time.

17717. Re: [bayscan] 45.580

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-18 21:41:45 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17682 Message: 17717

The low-band system is the uber-backup in case the 800 system becomes fully inoperable, due to a quake or simular event. Pretty wise, except that most of the u/c vehicles don't have lowband in them.

--On Wednesday, December 18, 2002 9.00 -0800 "Robert W. Sanford" <bayscan@...> wrote:

> I wondered if any of you knew that SFPD is still sending "ALL" bulletins
> over the old 45.580 frequency?
>
> I had it still programmed in one of my scanners here and it popped up. I
> had to do a double take and check that what I was hearing was correct!
>
> I guess they still have that stuff in place for some reason.
>
> Does anyone know the answer? Maybe you do Bundy..
>
> Robert
> BayScan List Manager
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>
>

--
Kenton A. Hoover	KC6SST/WPQX842
Private Citizen	+1.415.850.5924
San Francisco, California USA	shibumi@...
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
| "The history of the world, my sweet |
| Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
| But fortunately, it's also clear |
| That everybody goes down well with beer." |
| -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |

17718. Re: [bayscan] Norton atomic clock update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 04:42:02 UTC
From: Tom
Topic: 17703 Message: 17718

Hmm. That has been a built in feature of the Mac OS
for years. Nice to see Norton catching up.

--- Jeff & Pam <jpschil@...> wrote:
> I just did a live update on Norton and it downloaded
> a new feature Iam now
> connected to the atomic clock and this will
> automatically update weekly ,cool
>
>
>

17719. Re: SF TG 65328

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 05:45:30 UTC
From: Tracy <tracy@freqofnature.com>
Topic: 17716 Message: 17719

That seems kind of bum backwards if that is your cities plan, to implement public safety on digital prior to other departments. Here in Simi Valley we are going to use non-emergency departments as the guinie pigs when we convert our system. Starting next year the public works, schools and other non-emergency radio traffic will go to the new system and a year or more later the police will be the last to convert to the new system.

I'd suggest contacting your local city government to request their roll out plans. You never know unless you ask.

Tracy
www.freqofnature.com

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "J. Smith" <device@m...> wrote:
> Ok, this is either getting absurd or it's a clear indication of some shifts
> in Frisco's talkgroups. Today's new digital talkgroup is 65328, which I
> have as Department of Public Works, Street Environmental Services #3. I
> hope that it's no longer that, there's little reason to desire securer
> transmissions when talking about abandoned furniture, bum encampments and
> trash dumped at the roadside.
>
> Is the entire system converting to digital?

17720. Re: [bayscan] SF TG 65328

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 05:47:33 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17716 Message: 17720

Given the radios DPW uses, I doubt they've gone digital. But I suppose they could have gone encrypted.

Given that DPT pulls in $16M/year for the City, I'd expect they're next to go encrypted...

--On Wednesday, December 18, 2002 20.06 -0800 "J. Smith" <device@...> wrote:

> Ok, this is either getting absurd or it's a clear indication of some shifts
> in Frisco's talkgroups. Today's new digital talkgroup is 65328, which I
> have as Department of Public Works, Street Environmental Services #3. I
> hope that it's no longer that, there's little reason to desire securer
> transmissions when talking about abandoned furniture, bum encampments and
> trash dumped at the roadside.
>
> Is the entire system converting to digital?
>
> =-=-=
>
> This is your life, and it's ending one minute at a time.
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

--
Kenton A. Hoover	KC6SST/WPQX842
Private Citizen	+1.415.850.5924
San Francisco, California USA	shibumi@...
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
| "The history of the world, my sweet |
| Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
| But fortunately, it's also clear |
| That everybody goes down well with beer." |
| -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |

17721. SJPD "RDT" Teams?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 05:11:04 UTC
From: Christopher Handisides
Topic: 17721 Message: 17721

Just heard on SJPD 7 an order passed on from some supervisor that all three RDT teams were to mobilize by a given time. Anybody know what an RDT team is?

--Chris

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17722. Re: [bayscan] SJPD 'RDT' Teams?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 07:05:39 UTC
From: greg
Topic: 17721 Message: 17722

"RDT" is a common acronym for "rapid deployment team". unknown as to what type of rapid deployment team they're referring to, but it might be entry teams for drug busts or warrants they're planning on serving. in having them all mobilize by a certain time, i would imagine that has something to do with hitting all the target locations at the same time so no one location has any time to notify the others. i could go into more detail, but i don't think it would be appropriate here.

-greg-

> Just heard on SJPD 7 an order passed on from some supervisor that all
> three RDT teams were to mobilize by a given time. Anybody know what an
> RDT team is?
>
> --Chris
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

Replacing one addiction with another since 1970.

17723. Re: [bayscan] SJPD 'RDT' Teams?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 07:00:23 UTC
From: Christopher Handisides
Topic: 17721 Message: 17723

> "RDT" is a common acronym for "rapid deployment team". unknown as to what
> type of rapid deployment team they're referring to, but it might be entry
> teams for drug busts or warrants they're planning on serving. in having
> them all mobilize by a certain time, i would imagine that has something to
> do with hitting all the target locations at the same time so no one
> location has any time to notify the others. i could go into more detail,
> but i don't think it would be appropriate here.
>
> -greg-

You're going to love this... I heard the answer to my own question on the scanner, just a minute ago. The RDT teams are "traffic diversion" teams being sent out to cover some sort of concert that's going on tonight.

--Chris

17724. [ot]Re: [bayscan] Norton atomic clock update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 07:33:55 UTC
From: Glists
Topic: 17703 Message: 17724

It's been a feature of PCs for a long time as well if you downloaded the
NIST software:
http://www.boulder.nist.gov/timefreq/service/its.htm

At 08:42 PM 12/18/2002, you wrote:
>Hmm. That has been a built in feature of the Mac OS
>for years. Nice to see Norton catching up.

17725. Re: [bayscan] 45.580

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 07:41:05 UTC
From: Glists
Topic: 17682 Message: 17725

I thought that once you get your trunk system working, you are supposed to
surrender your conventional frequencies, which of course are then snarfed
up by smart agencies that let the other suckers go trunked.

At 02:23 PM 12/18/2002, you wrote:
>Thanks Bundy I knew you would know the answer...
>Your the man :)
>
>Robert
>
>
>At 01:50 PM 12/18/02 -0800, you wrote:
> >Yes. SFPD still simulcasts many of their "ALLS" to low band, since all the
> >mobiles still have their low band Maxtracs. Many of the officers still use
> >them to communicate car to car. And the stations all still have their base
> >units, just in case the 800MHz. ever does go totally south.
> >
> >Bundy
> >
> > >Date: Wed, 18 Dec 2002 09:00:15 -0800
> > > From: "Robert W. Sanford" <bayscan@...>
> > > Subject: 45.580
> > >
> > > I wondered if any of you knew that SFPD is still sending "ALL" bulletins
> > > over the old 45.580 frequency?
> > >
> > > I had it still programmed in one of my scanners here and it popped up. I
> > > had to do a double take and check that what I was hearing was correct!
> > >
> > > I guess they still have that stuff in place for some reason.
> > >
> > > Does anyone know the answer? Maybe you do Bundy..
> > >
> > > Robert
> > > BayScan List Manager
> >
> >
> >[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17726. [ot]Re: [bayscan] Norton atomic clock update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 07:44:58 UTC
From: ka5s <cortlandka5s@netscape.net>
Topic: 17703 Message: 17726

FWIW -- SORT of on thread -- you can now buy a Casio "wave ceptor" wristwatch for $30 at WalMart. Every morning at 0100 local it tunes to WWVB and resets the time. Its specified accuracy isn't all that great, about a second per day without WWVB -- but my guess is that it's better than that, and so, with WWVB, much better than any of us really NEED.

Cortland

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Glists <lists@l...> wrote:
> It's been a feature of PCs for a long time as well if you

17727. Re: [ot]Re: [bayscan] Norton atomic clock update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 07:56:12 UTC
From: Glists
Topic: 17703 Message: 17727

That kind of technology used to be expensive, but in 1999 they cranked up
the RF power of WWVB to 50Kw.
http://www.boulder.nist.gov/timefreq/stations/wwvbhistory.htm

At 11:44 PM 12/18/2002, you wrote:
>FWIW -- SORT of on thread -- you can now buy a Casio "wave ceptor"
>wristwatch for $30 at WalMart. Every morning at 0100 local it tunes
>to WWVB and resets the time. Its specified accuracy isn't all that
>great, about a second per day without WWVB -- but my guess is that
>it's better than that, and so, with WWVB, much better than any of us
>really NEED.
>
>
>Cortland
>
>--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Glists <lists@l...> wrote:
> > It's been a feature of PCs for a long time as well if you
>
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17728. Re: [bayscan] SJPD 'RDT' Teams?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 10:00:29 UTC
From: Boulrog@aol.com
Topic: 17721 Message: 17728

yeah Jingle Ball 2002 was goin down tonight at the San Jose Arena....

In a message dated 12/18/02 23:02:24, landsknechte@... writes:
<< The RDT teams are "traffic diversion" teams
being sent out to cover some sort of concert that's going on tonight. >>

17729. Re: [bayscan] 45.580

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 11:56:57 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17682 Message: 17729

Can't be right Gary. You notice Santa Clara still has their 153xxx channels for Fire? If they gave them up maybe San Jose would grab them like they got SCPD channels 1 and 2 are now SJPD 1 and 2 .
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17730. Weather - GOOD MORNING!!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 23:11:47 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 17730 Message: 17730

Here we go again! Looking out my office window I can see a wonderful sunrise, but the trees are starting to bend due to heavy winds. Get those scanners fired up!

Craig

C. A. Rose
Executive Producer - Andromeda Films
Photographer - c + a ROSE PHOTOGRAPHY

Andromeda Films - www.andromedafilms.com
c + a ROSE PHOTOGRAPHY - www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/main.html

craigarose@...

Monitoring from the heart of the Silicon Valley on:

ICOM R-71A
Yaesu FRG-8800
Yaesu FRG-7
Sony ICF-7600G
Bearcat 250
Bearcat 3000 xlt
Bearcat 895 xlt

"Quickly, bring me a beaker of wine, that I may wet my brain and say something clever."

- Aristophanies

17731. Re: [bayscan] santana row fire report-changes recommended

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 16:21:59 UTC
From: John Drake
Topic: 17701 Message: 17731

Heres a link to a Merky News story about the incident.

Emergency links under scrutiny

FIRE AT SANTANA ROW: IMPROVEMENTS IN COMMUNICATION HAVE BEEN UNDER REVIEW BY
TASK FORCE FOR THREE YEARS

http://www.bayarea.com/mld/mercurynews/news/local/4772914.htm

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ben kellogg" <ben96cal@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 18, 2002 6:33 PM
Subject: [bayscan] santana row fire report-changes recommended

> among the changes recommended are that san jose go to a computer aided
> mutual aid system. current systems mandates all requests pass through 5
> dispatchers taking up to 10 minutes. another recommendation is that san
jose
> has requested an additional 4 frequencies ontop of the 5 they currently
have
> now..
>
> www.mattlogic.com
> Online scanning for Northern & central California
>
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

17732. Re: [bayscan] Norton atomic clock update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 16:29:57 UTC
From: John Drake
Topic: 17703 Message: 17732

Another really good free time-sync program is Dimension 4 available at:

http://www.thinkman.com/dimension4/index.html

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jeff & Pam" <jpschil@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 18, 2002 7:08 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Norton atomic clock update

> I just did a live update on Norton and it downloaded a new feature Iam now
> connected to the atomic clock and this will automatically update weekly
,cool
>
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

17733. Re: [bayscan] santana row fire report-changes recommended

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 17:14:18 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17701 Message: 17733

[Body unavailable in the source archive]

17734. Re: [bayscan] santana row fire report-changes recommended

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 17:16:23 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17701 Message: 17734

New frequency "band" are they thinking 700mhz ?(2007) if not, I smell a trunked system in the works? As long as it ain't encrypted, it would be just FINE with me. Note that they also approved 4 new stations, considering the way San Jose is growing.....its about time.
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17735. Re: [bayscan] Hollister crash

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 18:37:51 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17715 Message: 17735

sorry guys Im having major Email problems supposedly weather related

11:07 PM 12/18/2002 -0500, you wrote:
>Jeff, can you give an update. Is this a confirmed fatal?
>thanks
>Ed
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17736. flash flood

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-20 18:41:03 UTC
From: PHILIP HUMES
Topic: 17736 Message: 17736

BULLETIN...IMMEDIATE BROADCAST REQUESTED
FLASH FLOOD WATCH
NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE SAN FRANCISCO CA

245 PM PST WED DEC 18 2002

...FLASH FLOOD WATCH THURSDAY FOR THE SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA FROM SONOMA AND NAPA COUNTIES SOUTH THROUGH SANTA CRUZ AND SANTA CLARA COUNTIES...
...FLASH FLOOD WATCH THURSDAY FOR COASTAL MONTEREY COUNTY...

ANOTHER IN A SERIES OF POWERFUL PACIFIC STORMS IS FORECAST TO BRING HEAVY RAIN TO THE SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA AND CENTRAL COAST ON THURSDAY. RAIN WILL BEGIN IN COASTAL SECTIONS OF THE BAY AREA BY SUNRISE THURSDAY MORNING. HEAVY RAIN IS EXPECTED AHEAD OF A STRONG COLD FRONT AS IT MOVES THROUGH THE AREA ON THURSDAY. THE COLD FRONT WILL MOVE THROUGH THE NORTH BAY BY LATE MORNING...THROUGH THE REST OF THE BAY AREA BY EARLY AFTERNOON...AND THROUGH MONTEREY AND SAN BENITO COUNTIES BY LATE AFTERNOON. ALTHOUGH HEAVY RAINFALL IS EXPECTED TO LAST NO MORE THAN A FEW HOURS...ANY PERIOD OF HEAVY RAIN ON SATURATED SOIL WILL RESULT IN RAPID RUN OFF AND THE POTENTIAL FOR FLASH FLOODING. LOCATIONS MOST SUSCEPTIBLE TO FLASH FLOODING ARE AREAS NEAR SMALL RIVERS AND STREAMS IN THE NORTH BAY AND ALSO IN SANTA CRUZ COUNTY. LOCAL URBAN FLOODING IS LIKELY ACROSS MOST OF THE SAN FRANCISCO BAY REGION ON THURSDAY.

ROCK AND MUD SLIDES AND DEBRIS FLOWS ARE ALSO POSSIBLE. HEAVY RAINS FROM RECENT STORMS HAVE WEAKENED ROAD CUTS AND ANY ADDITIONAL HEAVY RAINS MAKE THESE AREAS PRONE TO SLIPPAGE.

RAINFALL WILL TAPER OFF BY LATE THURSDAY AFTERNOON AND EVENING...BUT A SECOND ROUND OF HEAVY RAIN IS POSSIBLE IN THE NORTHERN SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA ON THURSDAY NIGHT.

A FLASH FLOOD WATCH MEANS RAPIDLY RISING WATER AND FLOODING IS POSSIBLE WITHIN THE WATCH AREA. IF YOU ARE IN THE WATCH AREA... CHECK PREPAREDNESS REQUIREMENTS...KEEP INFORMED...AND BE READY FOR QUICK ACTION IF FLASH FLOODING IS OBSERVED OR IF A WARNING IS ISSUED.

REMEMBER...NEVER TRY TO DRIVE THROUGH AN AREA THAT IS FLOODED. MOST DEATHS FROM FLASH FLOODS ARE AUTOMOBILE RELATED WHEN DRIVERS FAIL TO RECOGNIZE RAPIDLY RISING WATER.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17737. Re: [bayscan] Norton atomic clock update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 18:38:25 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17703 Message: 17737

spoken like a mac man

At 08:42 PM 12/18/2002 -0800, you wrote:
>Hmm. That has been a built in feature of the Mac OS
>for years. Nice to see Norton catching up.
>
>--- Jeff & Pam <jpschil@...> wrote:
> > I just did a live update on Norton and it downloaded
> > a new feature Iam now
> > connected to the atomic clock and this will
> > automatically update weekly ,cool
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17738. Re: [bayscan] SJPD 'RDT' Teams?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 19:32:51 UTC
From: greg
Topic: 17721 Message: 17738

hey... ya learn something new every day!

-g-

>> "RDT" is a common acronym for "rapid deployment team". unknown as to
>> what type of rapid deployment team they're referring to, but it might
>> be entry teams for drug busts or warrants they're planning on serving.
>> in having them all mobilize by a certain time, i would imagine that
>> has something to do with hitting all the target locations at the same
>> time so no one location has any time to notify the others. i could go
>> into more detail, but i don't think it would be appropriate here.
>>
>> -greg-
>
> You're going to love this... I heard the answer to my own question on
> the scanner, just a minute ago. The RDT teams are "traffic diversion"
> teams being sent out to cover some sort of concert that's going on
> tonight.
>
> --Chris
>
> ---------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ----------~--> Rent DVDs Online-No late fees! Try Netflix
> for FREE!
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/XfSp7B/XlOFAA/46VHAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---~->
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

Replacing one addiction with another since 1970.

17739. Heavy Rain in Pleasanton-Next storm.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 19:38:19 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17739 Message: 17739

Raining pretty heavy here now in Pleasanton 11:40 am. This storm should be a good one!! Keep those scanners fired up.

17740. Norton & Time

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 19:42:31 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17740 Message: 17740

Now if you wanted to keep your PC *really* accurate:

http://www.niceties.com/atomic.html

--
Kenton A. Hoover	KC6SST/WPQX842
Private Citizen	+1.415.850.5924
San Francisco, California USA	shibumi@...
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
| "The history of the world, my sweet |
| Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
| But fortunately, it's also clear |
| That everybody goes down well with beer." |
| -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |

17741. FLASH FLOOD WARNING FOR...MARIN COUNTY IN NORTH CENTRAL CALIFORNIA

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 19:28:10 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 17741 Message: 17741

NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE SAN FRANCISCO CA
1120 AM PST THU DEC 19 2002

THE NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE IN SAN FRANCISCO HAS ISSUED A

* FLASH FLOOD WARNING FOR...
MARIN COUNTY IN NORTH CENTRAL CALIFORNIA

* UNTIL 115 PM PST

* DURING THE PAST TWO HOURS...AUTOMATED RAIN GAUGES INDICATED HEAVY RAIN ACROSS MOST OF MARIN COUNTY. RAIN RATES IN EXCESS OF ONE HALF INCH PER HOUR HAVE BEEN COMMON.

* THE MARIN COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE REPORTS WATER ACROSS HIGHWAY ONE IN BOLINAS. IN ADDITION...NORTHBOUND 101 AT HIGHWAY ONE AND AT THE SEMINARY OFFRAMP IS CLOSE DUE TO FLOODING.

* HEAVY RAIN IS EXPECTED TO CONTINUE FOR THE NEXT HOUR OR SO. HEAVY RAINS ON SATURATED SOILS WILL RESULT IN HEAVY RUNOFF AND RAPID RISES ON SMALL STREAMS AND CREEKS. SMALL STREAMS AND CREEKS WILL LIKELY OVERFLOW THEIR BANKS.

DO NOT DRIVE YOUR VEHICLE INTO AREAS WHERE WATER COVERS THE ROADWAY. THE WATER DEPTH MAY BE TOO GREAT TO ALLOW YOUR CAR TO CROSS SAFELY AND THE ROAD BENEATH MAY NOT BE INTACT. VEHICLES CAUGHT IN RISING WATER SHOULD BE ABANDONED QUICKLY. IT ONLY TAKES A FOOT OR TWO OF FLOWING WATER TO WASH MOST CARS AWAY. REMEMBER... MOST DEATHS IN FLASH FLOODING OCCUR IN AUTOMOBILES.

$$

17742. Fwd: [EDIS] SPECIAL MARINE WARNING [FLASH: San Francisco Bay]

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 20:33:16 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17742 Message: 17742

```
>X-From_: edismail@... Thu Dec 19 12:27:18 2002
>Date: Thu, 19 Dec 2002 12:27:12 -0800 (PST)
>To: jpschil@...
>From: EDIS Email Service <edismail@...>
>Subject: [EDIS] SPECIAL MARINE WARNING [FLASH: San Francisco Bay]
>
>NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE SAN FRANCISCO CA
>1220 PM PST THU DEC 19 2002
>
>THE NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE IN SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA HAS ISSUED A
>
>* SPECIAL MARINE WARNING FOR...
> COASTAL WATERS FROM PT. PIEDRAS BLANCAS TO PIGEON PT. CA WESTWARD
> 20 NM OVER PACIFIC WATERS
> THIS INCLUDES...PACIFIC GROVE...CARMEL-BY-THE-SEA
> MONTEREY BAY OVER PACIFIC WATERS
> WATERS FROM PT. PIEDRAS BLANCAS TO PIGEON PT., CA EXTENDING
> WESTWARD 20 TO 60 NM OVER PACIFIC WATERS
>
>* UNTIL 115 PM PST
>
>* AT 1220 PM PST...NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE DOPPLER RADAR INDICATED A
> LINE OF STRONG WINDS AND POSSIBLE THUNDERSTORMS 50 MILES WEST OF
> CARMEL-BY-THE-SEA...MOVING NORTHEAST AT 20 MPH.
>
>MARINERS CAN EXPECT STRONG SOUTH TO SOUTHEAST WIND GUSTS TO 50
>KTS...LOCALLY HIGH WAVES...OCCASIONAL LIGHTNING AND HEAVY DOWNPOURS.
>BOATERS SHOULD SEEK SAFE HARBOR IMMEDIATELY UNTIL THIS PASSES.
>
>REPORT SEVERE WEATHER TO THE NEAREST LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY. THEY
>WILL RELAY YOUR REPORT TO THE NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE FORECAST
>OFFICE IN SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA.
>
>LAT...LON 3700 12244 3626 12278 3634 12185 3694 12198
>$$
>
>CANEPA
>
>
>[SMWMTR / WHUS56 KMTR 1040329583]
>
>EDIS-12-19-02 1226 PST
>
>
>(Special thanks to craigslist for helping get this to you quickly!)
```

>----------
>To update or terminate your subscription to this email service
>visit our webpage at http://www.incident.com/edismail.html.
>Please DO NOT reply to this message: replies may be treated as
>errors and result in your subscription being deleted. If
>you have trouble with the Web form, or for other queries, email
><edistrouble@...>. (But for faster service try the
>Web method first!)
>
>EDIS is operated by the Governor's Office of Emergency Services,
>State of California. This email relay service is offered by
>incident.com on a non-commercial, subscription-only basis.
>Because of the complexity of this system and its dependence on
>other systems, we cannot be responsible for delays or failures
>in forwarding or transmission.
>----------

17743. Re: SF TG 65328

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 21:02:52 UTC
From: lunaticlineman <tricari@attbi.com>
Topic: 17716 Message: 17743

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "J. Smith" <device@m...> wrote:
> Ok, this is either getting absurd or it's a clear indication of
some shifts
> in Frisco's talkgroups. Today's new digital talkgroup is 65328,
which I
> have as Department of Public Works, Street Environmental Services
#3. I
> hope that it's no longer that, there's little reason to desire
securer
> transmissions when talking about abandoned furniture, bum
encampments and
> trash dumped at the roadside.
>
> Is the entire system converting to digital?

I doubt it. I think, being you have the digital groups locked out, that the scanner just improperly decoded the group call. I get that a lot.

And Kenton mentioned going encrypted but not digital.. That can't happen on this system. You can't have a trunked system which supports 12kbit analog encryption ("Securenet") AND digital. You run digital and then encrypt that transmission.

Typically digital talkgroups are in blocks (following the usual block plan 1-8) and last I checked the first block is all digital with most everything else analog. Since PW on Site 2 is running analog in the 65000s then you won't hear analog mixed within the 8 block range.

-Wayne

17744. Re: [bayscan] Re: SF TG 65328

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 21:07:24 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17716 Message: 17744

Didn't know you couldn't run analog encrypted in the system. My comment was more along the lines that the DPW crews are using MTX radios, and those don't do digital.

This might just be a data transmission; AWSS has a data TG in the system as well, which I guess reports capacity and flow data but I actually don't know.

And I suppose if I did know, it would be improper to say.

--On Thursday, December 19, 2002 21.02 +0000 "lunaticlineman <tricari@...>" <tricari@...> wrote:

> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "J. Smith" <device@m...> wrote:
>> Ok, this is either getting absurd or it's a clear indication of
> some shifts
>> in Frisco's talkgroups. Today's new digital talkgroup is 65328,
> which I
>> have as Department of Public Works, Street Environmental Services
>#3. I
>> hope that it's no longer that, there's little reason to desire
> securer
>> transmissions when talking about abandoned furniture, bum
> encampments and
>> trash dumped at the roadside.
>>
>> Is the entire system converting to digital?
>
> I doubt it. I think, being you have the digital groups locked out,
> that the scanner just improperly decoded the group call. I get that a
> lot.
>
> And Kenton mentioned going encrypted but not digital.. That can't
> happen on this system. You can't have a trunked system which supports
> 12kbit analog encryption ("Securenet") AND digital. You run digital
> and then encrypt that transmission.
>
> Typically digital talkgroups are in blocks (following the usual block
> plan 1-8) and last I checked the first block is all digital with most
> everything else analog. Since PW on Site 2 is running analog in the
> 65000s then you won't hear analog mixed within the 8 block range.
>
> -Wayne
>
>
>
>

```
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

--
| Kenton A. Hoover | KC6SST/WPQX842 |
| Private Citizen | +1.415.850.5924 |
| San Francisco, California USA | shibumi@... |
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
| "The history of the world, my sweet |
| Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
| But fortunately, it's also clear |
| That everybody goes down well with beer." |
| -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |
```

17745. Re: SF TG 65328

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 21:28:22 UTC
From: lunaticlineman <tricari@attbi.com>
Topic: 17716 Message: 17745

From what I recall DPW is (was?) using telemetry in the 65000s so that's probably what it was. It shouldn't sound anything near what digital sounds like. Some talkgroups only work on Site 2 and some on Site 1. Site 2 is the older system with control channels in the 850s.

But, the reason behind why you can't run both encryption types comes down to network hardware. Analog encryption requires certain hardware and digital requires completely different hardware. The hardware can't be mixed as one takes the place of the other depending on what you use to encrypt or digitize. (Trying to sound not too technical)

-Wayne

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Kenton A. Hoover" <shibumi@m...> wrote:
> Didn't know you couldn't run analog encrypted in the system. My comment was
> more along the lines that the DPW crews are using MTX radios, and those don't
> do digital.
>
> This might just be a data transmission; AWSS has a data TG in the system as
> well, which I guess reports capacity and flow data but I actually don't know.
>
> And I suppose if I did know, it would be improper to say.
>
> --On Thursday, December 19, 2002 21.02 +0000 "lunaticlineman
> <tricari@a...>" <tricari@a...> wrote:
>
> > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "J. Smith" <device@m...> wrote:
> >> Ok, this is either getting absurd or it's a clear indication of
> > some shifts
> >> in Frisco's talkgroups. Today's new digital talkgroup is 65328,
> > which I
> >> have as Department of Public Works, Street Environmental Services
> >># 3. I
> >> hope that it's no longer that, there's little reason to desire
> > securer
> >> transmissions when talking about abandoned furniture, bum
> > encampments and
> >> trash dumped at the roadside.
> >>
> >> Is the entire system converting to digital?

> >
> > I doubt it. I think, being you have the digital groups locked
out,
> > that the scanner just improperly decoded the group call. I get
that a
> > lot.
> >
> > And Kenton mentioned going encrypted but not digital.. That can't
> > happen on this system. You can't have a trunked system which
supports
> > 12kbit analog encryption ("Securenet") AND digital. You run
digital
> > and then encrypt that transmission.
> >
> > Typically digital talkgroups are in blocks (following the usual
block
> > plan 1-8) and last I checked the first block is all digital with
most
> > everything else analog. Since PW on Site 2 is running analog in
the
> > 65000s then you won't hear analog mixed within the 8 block range.
> >
> > -Wayne

17746. Freq skip

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 22:02:31 UTC
From: Brian Wolter
Topic: 17746 Message: 17746

Currently (2:01pm) getting good skip on 42.08 MHz 94.8 PL. Don't know where it is from.

- Brian

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17747. Re: [bayscan] Freq skip

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-20 07:14:04 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 17746 Message: 17747

Hey Brian...also hearing skip under San Jose CHP on 42.500, but unable to ID at this time. Might also check 33.900 for some interesting fire comms via skip.

Cheers!

Craig

> From: "Brian Wolter" <radioscanner@...>
> Reply-To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Date: Thu, 19 Dec 2002 14:02:31 -0800
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Subject: [bayscan] Freq skip
>
> Currently (2:01pm) getting good skip on 42.08 MHz 94.8 PL. Don't know where
> it is from.
>
> - Brian
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

17748. Re: [bayscan] Freq skip

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-19 23:43:36 UTC
From: greg
Topic: 17746 Message: 17748

a lot of that stuff is from the southeast... listen to the accents. i believe i've heard VA, NC, SC, TN and some others in there... north and south carolina being the most common. the syntor x9000 in the truck picks those up really good (when it's not being plagued by my bad ground and alternator noise)
-g-

> Currently (2:01pm) getting good skip on 42.08 MHz 94.8 PL. Don't know
> where it is from.
>
> - Brian
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> ------------------------- Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ------------------------~--> DVD Rentals with No Late Fees - Try Netflix
> for FREE!
> http://us.click.yahoo.com/.ZSp6B/dlOFAA/46VHAA/ELTolB/TM
> ---~->
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

Replacing one addiction with another since 1970.

17749. Re: [bayscan] Major MVA hollister area

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-20 00:51:23 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17705 Message: 17749

[Body unavailable in the source archive]

17750. Snow !!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-20 00:54:47 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17750 Message: 17750

S. Clara Co. E4 and S. Cruz E47 rolling up on Loma Prieta Road for cars stuck in snow possible accident. 154.25 Looks like they got dusted up in wine country today too.

Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17751. Re: latest storm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-20 00:57:31 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17751 Message: 17751

I've been hearing lots of reports mostly from various CHP freqs. about accidents and numerous slides and rocks in the rock etc. Had some good thunder here in pleasanton and it is just now stopped raining. Media freqs are hoppin!450.3375 KTVU. KRON 450.4125 KGO 450.4625 KGO Traffic 450.2125 KNTV 450.1875 Try those out. some good stuff, often funny doring the mid to late morning lull.

17752. Posey Tube / Alameda ...?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-20 02:56:26 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 17752 Message: 17752

Around 6pm I was in Marina Village, about to hit the Posey Tube back to Oakland, and I saw about 6 fire trucks and multiple ambulances ... traffic had just started to pool up, so I presume it had just gone down.

Of course Santa hasn't yet gotten me that 780 for the truck, so I didn't have Alameda (on ALCO) or Oakland ... did anyone catch this? Now it's 6:50pm and the Alameda talkgroups are silent.

17753. Re: [bayscan] Posey Tube / Alameda ...?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-20 03:16:16 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17752 Message: 17753

Missed that one. ALCO has a working structure fire (walls/attic) missed the location, has Engines 3443 , 3441 , Truck 3472 , Rescue 3493 and 3411 (missed the 3rd Engine) On Tac 4 (17712)
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17754. Snow possible- low elavations

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-20 04:01:16 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17754 Message: 17754

Heard on various news channels tonight that snow possible tonight down to 500 ft. and even lower in some areas. That would be awesome! You know How many accidents there would be for the commute, people can't drive in the rain let alone the snow or ice, should be an interesting morning commute.

17755. Re: [bayscan] Snow possible- low elevations

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-20 04:09:50 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17754 Message: 17755

I'm hearing Monterey roads say there is snow on laureles grade near Carmel valley as well as fremont peak and Merced chp says snow on Pacheco pass Caltrans has mnt Hamilton closed anybody hear about highway 17?

Jeffrey (cold but stoned on pain killers)in Hollister

At 04:01 AM 12/20/2002 +0000, you wrote:
>Heard on various news channels tonight that snow possible tonight
>down to 500 ft. and even lower in some areas. That would be awesome!
>You know How many accidents there would be for the commute, people
>can't drive in the rain let alone the snow or ice, should be an
>interesting morning commute.
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
><http://rd.yahoo.com/M=240537.2671416.4133191.1925585/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1368547/R=0/*http://shop.store.yahoo.com/cgi-bin/clink?netflix2+shopping:dmad/M=240537.2671416.4133191.1925585/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=1368547/R=1/1040356878+http://us.rmi.yahoo.com/rmi/http://www.netflix.com/rmi-framed-url/http://www.netflix.com/Default%3Fmqso=60156050>c408ef.jpg
>c4095d.jpg
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17756. Fwd: [EDIS] COASTAL FLOOD WATCH FOR MONTEREY COAST [Urgent: Monterey Bay]

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-20 04:21:11 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17756 Message: 17756

Pacific grove fire has been on multiple water rescues this evening

>From: Monterey County OES
>
>COASTAL FLOOD WATCH FROM THE NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE SAN FRANCISCO
>
>EFFECTIVE: 620 PM PST THU DEC 19 2002
>
>...COASTAL FLOOD WATCH FOR THE CALIFORNIA COAST FROM SONOMA
>COUNTY SOUTH THROUGH MONTEREY COUNTY FOR FRIDAY...
>
>A STRONG PACIFIC STORM IS GENERATING LARGE AND DANGEROUS SEAS THAT
>WILL AFFECT THE CENTRAL AND NORTHERN CALIFORNIA COAST ON FRIDAY. AT
>4 PM THE COASTAL BUOYS WERE REPORTING SEAS IN THE 18 TO 21 FOOT
>RANGE. SEAS WILL BUILD OVERNIGHT...REACHING HEIGHTS OF 24 TO 30
>FEET ON FRIDAY. WITH AN ASTRONOMICAL HIGH TIDE UP TO 6.3 FEET DURING
>FRIDAY MORNING...COASTAL FLOODING WILL LIKELY OCCUR ALONG THE
>CALIFORNIA COASTLINE.
>
>A COASTAL FLOOD WATCH MEANS COASTAL FLOODING WILL OCCUR IF WEATHER
>CONDITIONS DEVELOP AS EXPECTED. PEOPLE IN THE WATCH AREA SHOULD BE
>READY TO MOVE TO SAFE GROUND IF FLOODING OCCURS OR IF WARNINGS ARE
>ISSUED.

17757. Fwd: [EDIS] URBAN AND SMALL STREAM FLOOD ADVISORY HAS EXPIRED [Urgent: Monterey Bay]

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-20 04:25:54 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17757 Message: 17757

we are getting hammered

>From: Monterey County OES
>
>FLOOD STATEMENT
>NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE SAN FRANCISCO
>
>EFFECTIVE: 800 PM PST THU DEC 19 2002
>
>...URBAN AND SMALL STREAM FLOOD ADVISORY FOR THE SAN FRANCISCO AND
>MONTEREY BAY AREAS HAS EXPIRED...
>
>STEADY RAIN HAS ENDED OVER THE SAN FRANCISCO AND MONTEREY BAY
>AREAS...AND THE URBAN AND SMALL STREAM FLOOD ADVISORY HAS BEEN
>ALLOWED TO EXPIRE. SHOWERS WILL MOVE THROUGH THE REGION TONIGHT BUT
>WILL PRODUCE ONLY BRIEF...LOCALIZED HEAVY RAIN. A FEW THUNDERSTORMS
>MAY ALSO OCCUR WITH SMALL HAIL.
>
>ALTHOUGH THE HEAVY RAIN HAS ENDED...PERSONS IN THE SAN FRANCISCO AND
>MONTEREY BAY AREAS SHOULD CONTINUE TO USE CAUTION WHEN TRAVELING AS
>THERE MAY STILL BE A FEW SPOTS OF LOCAL STREET FLOODING IN THE
>REGION. ALSO...SNOW SHOWERS ARE POSSIBLE TONIGHT IN THE HILLS AND
>MAY RESULT IN SLICK ROADWAYS AT HIGHER ELEVATIONS...ESPECIALLY ABOVE
>2000 FEET.
>

17758. Fwd: [EDIS] URBAN AND SMALL STREAM FLOOD ADVISORY HAS EXPIRED [Urgent: Monterey Bay]

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-20 04:32:43 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17757 Message: 17758

dan=mn its getting bad down there

>From: Monterey County OES
>
>FLOOD STATEMENT
>NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE SAN FRANCISCO
>
>EFFECTIVE: 800 PM PST THU DEC 19 2002
>
>...URBAN AND SMALL STREAM FLOOD ADVISORY FOR THE SAN FRANCISCO AND
>MONTEREY BAY AREAS HAS EXPIRED...
>
>STEADY RAIN HAS ENDED OVER THE SAN FRANCISCO AND MONTEREY BAY
>AREAS...AND THE URBAN AND SMALL STREAM FLOOD ADVISORY HAS BEEN
>ALLOWED TO EXPIRE. SHOWERS WILL MOVE THROUGH THE REGION TONIGHT BUT
>WILL PRODUCE ONLY BRIEF...LOCALIZED HEAVY RAIN. A FEW THUNDERSTORMS
>MAY ALSO OCCUR WITH SMALL HAIL.
>
>ALTHOUGH THE HEAVY RAIN HAS ENDED...PERSONS IN THE SAN FRANCISCO AND
>MONTEREY BAY AREAS SHOULD CONTINUE TO USE CAUTION WHEN TRAVELING AS
>THERE MAY STILL BE A FEW SPOTS OF LOCAL STREET FLOODING IN THE
>REGION. ALSO...SNOW SHOWERS ARE POSSIBLE TONIGHT IN THE HILLS AND
>MAY RESULT IN SLICK ROADWAYS AT HIGHER ELEVATIONS...ESPECIALLY ABOVE
>2000 FEET.
>

17759. Re: Snow possible- low elavations

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-20 05:53:30 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond
Topic: 17754 Message: 17759

From Napa CHP radio messages, part of Highway 29 was closed this afternoon due to snow CALTRANS could not keep up with.

Yeah, let's SEE 6 inches in the Wine Country - _I_ know how to drive in it. On second thought, let's NOT. Too many people in a hurry would be hurt or die.

Cortland

>Message: 25
> Date: Fri, 20 Dec 2002 04:01:16 -0000
> From: "cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@...>" <copenhagen1971@...>
>Subject: Snow possible- low elavations
>
>Heard on various news channels tonight that snow possible tonight
>down to 500 ft. and even lower in some areas. That would be awesome!
>You know How many accidents there would be for the commute, people
>can't drive in the rain let alone the snow or ice, should be an
>interesting morning commute.
>

17760. Little local monitor

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-20 05:57:36 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17760 Message: 17760

Creek levels in Palo Alto.

http://www.city.palo-alto.ca.us/earlywarning/creeklevels.html

--
Kenton A. Hoover	KC6SST/WPQX842
Private Citizen	+1.415.850.5924
San Francisco, California USA	shibumi@...
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
| "The history of the world, my sweet |
| Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
| But fortunately, it's also clear |
| That everybody goes down well with beer." |
| -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |

17761. Re: [bayscan] Snow !!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-21 07:55:07 UTC
From: PHILIP HUMES
Topic: 17750 Message: 17761

Right you are. There was snow in Cloverdale, Kenwood, Lake County. Mount St Helena is covered with snow. My wife works at SDC, Eldridge and she saw it snowing there. It is in the Sonoma Valley.
Philip Humes
----- Original Message -----
From: <caladded@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2002 4:54 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Snow !!

> S. Clara Co. E4 and S. Cruz E47 rolling up on Loma Prieta Road for cars stuck
> in snow possible accident. 154.25 Looks like they got dusted up in
> wine country today too.
>
> Ed
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

17762. Re: [bayscan] Re: Snow possible- low elavations

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-21 08:02:54 UTC
From: PHILIP HUMES
Topic: 17754 Message: 17762

I know how to drive in it also. But there are too many natives that would
muck it up.
Philip Humes
----- Original Message -----
From: "Cortland Richmond" <cortlandka5s@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2002 9:53 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Re: Snow possible- low elavations

> From Napa CHP radio messages, part of Highway 29 was closed this
> afternoon due to snow CALTRANS could not keep up with.
>
> Yeah, let's SEE 6 inches in the Wine Country - _I_ know how to drive in
> it. On second thought, let's NOT. Too many people in a hurry would be
> hurt or die.
>
> Cortland
>
>
> >Message: 25
> > Date: Fri, 20 Dec 2002 04:01:16 -0000
> > From: "cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@...>"
<copenhagen1971@...>
> >Subject: Snow possible- low elavations
> >
> >Heard on various news channels tonight that snow possible tonight
> >down to 500 ft. and even lower in some areas. That would be awesome!
> >You know How many accidents there would be for the commute, people
> >can't drive in the rain let alone the snow or ice, should be an
> >interesting morning commute.
> >
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

17763. Re: [bayscan] Re: Snow possible- low elavations

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-20 15:37:26 UTC
From: Rob Mages
Topic: 17754 Message: 17763

Congratulations Philip!

You have broken the time barrier! I show your message
was sent on Saturday, December 21st: That's 24 hours
from now.

Does this happen often?

Rob Mages

--- PHILIP HUMES <PEHumes@...> wrote:
> I know how to drive in it also. But there are too
> many natives that would
> muck it up.
> Philip Humes
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Cortland Richmond"
> <cortlandka5s@...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2002 9:53 PM
> Subject: [bayscan] Re: Snow possible- low elavations
>
>
> > From Napa CHP radio messages, part of Highway 29
> was closed this
> > afternoon due to snow CALTRANS could not keep up
> with.
> >
> > Yeah, let's SEE 6 inches in the Wine Country -
> _I_ know how to drive in
> > it. On second thought, let's NOT. Too many
> people in a hurry would be
> > hurt or die.
> >
> > Cortland
> >
> >
> > >Message: 25
> > > Date: Fri, 20 Dec 2002 04:01:16 -0000
> > > From: "cowboymike1971
> <copenhagen1971@...>"
> <copenhagen1971@...>
> > >Subject: Snow possible- low elavations
> > >
> > >Heard on various news channels tonight that snow
> possible tonight

> > >down to 500 ft. and even lower in some areas.
> That would be awesome!
> > >You know How many accidents there would be for
> the commute, people
> > >can't drive in the rain let alone the snow or
> ice, should be an
> > >interesting morning commute.
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
>
>

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail Plus - Powerful. Affordable. Sign up now.
http://mailplus.yahoo.com

17764. Re: kcnl tower collapse

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-20 15:55:43 UTC
From: Don Melcher <cz10@hfradio.com>
Topic: 17631 Message: 17764

So this was the tower just west of Niles Canyon Road and north of Mission Blvd?

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Glists <lists@l...> wrote:
> http://www.anntec.com/kcnlfall/index.html
> This tower was damaged a few weeks ago by PGE. The wind finished it off.

17765. Re: [bayscan] Re: kcnl tower collapse

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-20 17:59:58 UTC
From: Glists
Topic: 17631 Message: 17765

It is on Monument Peak I believe. You should be able to locate it on the
FCC database if you are really curious.

At 07:55 AM 12/20/2002, you wrote:
>So this was the tower just west of Niles Canyon Road and north of
>Mission Blvd?
>
>
>
>--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Glists <lists@l...> wrote:
> > http://www.anntec.com/kcnlfall/index.html
> > This tower was damaged a few weeks ago by PGE. The wind finished it
>off.
>
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17766. AN124 due at Moffet , 1:10PM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-20 18:35:22 UTC
From: Glists
Topic: 17766 Message: 17766

There is an AN124 heading towards Moffet due to land at 1:10PM.

17767. Re: [bayscan] Re: Snow possible- low elavations

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-20 19:30:34 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17754 Message: 17767

not with yahell it dont

At 07:37 AM 12/20/2002 -0800, you wrote:
>Congratulations Philip!
>
>You have broken the time barrier! I show your message
>was sent on Saturday, December 21st: That's 24 hours
>from now.
>
>Does this happen often?
>
>Rob Mages
>
>
>--- PHILIP HUMES <PEHumes@...> wrote:
> > I know how to drive in it also. But there are too
> > many natives that would
> > muck it up.
> > Philip Humes
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "Cortland Richmond"
> > <cortlandka5s@...>
> > To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> > Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2002 9:53 PM
> > Subject: [bayscan] Re: Snow possible- low elavations
> >
> >
> > > From Napa CHP radio messages, part of Highway 29
> > was closed this
> > > afternoon due to snow CALTRANS could not keep up
> > with.
> > >
> > > Yeah, let's SEE 6 inches in the Wine Country -
> > _I_ know how to drive in
> > > it. On second thought, let's NOT. Too many
> > people in a hurry would be
> > > hurt or die.
> > >
> > > Cortland
> > >
> > >
> > > >Message: 25
> > > > Date: Fri, 20 Dec 2002 04:01:16 -0000
> > > > From: "cowboymike1971
> > <copenhagen1971@...>"

> > <copenhagen1971@...>
> > > >Subject: Snow possible- low elavations
> > > >
> > > >Heard on various news channels tonight that snow
> > possible tonight
> > > >down to 500 ft. and even lower in some areas.
> > That would be awesome!
> > > >You know How many accidents there would be for
> > the commute, people
> > > >can't drive in the rain let alone the snow or
> > ice, should be an
> > > >interesting morning commute.
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> > <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>_____
>Do you Yahoo!?
>Yahoo! Mail Plus - Powerful. Affordable. Sign up now.
><http://mailplus.yahoo.com>http://mailplus.yahoo.com
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17768. Re: [bayscan] AN124 due at Moffet , 1:10PM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-20 19:31:28 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17766 Message: 17768

what is an AN124?

At 10:35 AM 12/20/2002 -0800, you wrote:
>There is an AN124 heading towards Moffet due to land at 1:10PM.
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17769. Re: [bayscan] AN124 due at Moffet , 1:10PM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-20 19:41:49 UTC
From: Glists
Topic: 17766 Message: 17769

The worlds biggest cargo plane. Here is a photo:
http://www.airfoyle.co.uk/news/heavy-lift-news.asp?NewsID=35

They land periodically at Travis and Moffet. So do flights from Evergeen
Airlines....

At 11:31 AM 12/20/2002, you wrote:
>what is an AN124?
>
>
>At 10:35 AM 12/20/2002 -0800, you wrote:
> >There is an AN124 heading towards Moffet due to land at 1:10PM.
> >
> >
> >Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> ><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17770. Re: [bayscan] AN124 due at Moffet , 1:10PM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-20 19:42:19 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 17766 Message: 17770

> what is an AN124?

There's this thing called the Internet. I hear it's pretty cool.

http://www.google.com/search?q=an124

17771. Re: [bayscan] AN124 due at Moffet , 1:10PM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-20 19:44:05 UTC
From: Bob Underwood
Topic: 17766 Message: 17771

Gary

Wouldn't you be disappointed if nobody asked about Evergeen [sic]
Airlines? OK, I'll ask (having a feeling I'll regret it)

Bob U.

At 11:41 AM 12/20/02, Glists wrote:
>The worlds biggest cargo plane. Here is a photo:
><http://www.airfoyle.co.uk/news/heavy-lift-news.asp?NewsID=35>http://www.airfoyle.co.uk/n
ews/heavy-lift-news.asp?NewsID=35
>
>They land periodically at Travis and Moffet. So do flights from Evergeen
>Airlines....
>
>At 11:31 AM 12/20/2002, you wrote:
> >what is an AN124?
> >
> >
> >At 10:35 AM 12/20/2002 -0800, you wrote:
> > >There is an AN124 heading towards Moffet due to land at 1:10PM.
> > >
> > >
> > >Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> > ><<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Y
> ahoo! Terms of Service.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17772. Re: [bayscan] AN124 due at Moffet , 1:10PM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-20 19:47:56 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17766 Message: 17772

wow that's the second biggest plane I've ever seen.....

At 11:42 AM 12/20/2002 -0800, you wrote:
> > what is an AN124?
>
>There's this thing called the Internet. I hear it's pretty cool.
>
><http://www.google.com/search?q=an124>http://www.google.com/search?q=an124
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17773. Re: [bayscan] AN124 due at Moffet , 1:10PM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-20 19:58:11 UTC
From: Glists
Topic: 17766 Message: 17773

Heh heh, ya don't say. ;-)

Incidentally, I found the AN124 flight using www.flytecomm.com with the airport code NUQ (for Moffet). Travis is SUU. This page shows how to do tracking for LAS and TNX, but the idea is the same for NUQ and SUU: http://www.lazygranch.com/flytecomm.htm

At 11:42 AM 12/20/2002, you wrote:
> > what is an AN124?
>
>There's this thing called the Internet. I hear it's pretty cool.
>
>http://www.google.com/search?q=an124
>
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17774. Re: [bayscan] AN124 due at Moffet , 1:10PM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-20 20:05:12 UTC
From: Glists
Topic: 17766 Message: 17774

Well, there was Ollie North, and a bunch of shady stuff going on. Turns out Evergreen was delivering the arms to the Contras. Evergreen is a front corporation of the CIA. They fly out of SFO as well.

Here is one of many blurbs about Evergreen:
http://www.dcia.com/miller.html

Now I wonder what all those Iran-Contra criminals are doing today? You know, Admiral John Poindexter, Eliot Abrams, ... ;-)

OK, end of OT stuff.

At 11:44 AM 12/20/2002, you wrote:
>Gary
>
>Wouldn't you be disappointed if nobody asked about Evergeen [sic]
>Airlines? OK, I'll ask (having a feeling I'll regret it)
>
>Bob U.
>
>At 11:41 AM 12/20/02, Glists wrote:
> >The worlds biggest cargo plane. Here is a photo:
> ><http://www.airfoyle.co.uk/news/heavy-lift-news.asp?NewsID=35>http://www.
> airfoyle.co.uk/news/heavy-lift-news.asp?NewsID=35
> >
> >They land periodically at Travis and Moffet. So do flights from Evergeen
> >Airlines....
> >
> >At 11:31 AM 12/20/2002, you wrote:
> > >what is an AN124?
> > >
> > >
> > >At 10:35 AM 12/20/2002 -0800, you wrote:
> > > >There is an AN124 heading towards Moffet due to land at 1:10PM.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> > > ><<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Y
> > ahoo! Terms of Service.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to

> > <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
> >Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> ><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17775. Re: [bayscan] AN124 due at Moffet , 1:10PM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-20 21:11:19 UTC
From: Glists
Topic: 17766 Message: 17775

The AN124 is on approach now. Nice heavy Russian accent. 119.55Mhz

17776. Re: [bayscan] AN124 due at Moffet , 1:10PM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-20 21:13:15 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17766 Message: 17776

yeah and his landing gear just made grooves on my roof, strange of them to come over my house, DAMN it was loud

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17777. Re: [bayscan] AN124 due at Moffet , 1:10PM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-20 21:14:17 UTC
From: Jeff E. Kinzli
Topic: 17766 Message: 17777

Anyone know when they're leaving Moffett on the way out?

* caladded@... <caladded@...> [12/20/02 13:13]:
> yeah and his landing gear just made grooves on my roof, strange of them to
> come over my house, DAMN it was loud
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>

17778. Re: [bayscan] AN124 due at Moffet , 1:10PM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-20 21:19:58 UTC
From: Glists
Topic: 17766 Message: 17778

He is ordering Vodka right now. I believe he ordered 5998 ounces, thus he may not take off for a few minutes.

To find out when the AN124 leaves, you need to keep checking http://www.flytecomm.com/cgi-bin/trackflight and search on NUQ. Eventually a "planned" flight will appear from the Antonov Design Bureau

At 01:14 PM 12/20/2002, you wrote:
>Anyone know when they're leaving Moffett on the way out?
>
>* caladded@... <caladded@...> [12/20/02 13:13]:
> > yeah and his landing gear just made grooves on my roof, strange of them to
> > come over my house, DAMN it was loud
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17779. Re: [bayscan] AN124 due at Moffet , 1:10PM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-20 21:20:09 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17766 Message: 17779

He just circled over again, I don't want to say he was low, but the pilot was drinking a 7-up.
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17780. Re: [bayscan] AN124 due at Moffet , 1:10PM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-21 05:35:51 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 17766 Message: 17780

LOL

> From: Glists <lists@...>
> Reply-To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Date: Fri, 20 Dec 2002 13:19:58 -0800
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] AN124 due at Moffet , 1:10PM
>
> He is ordering Vodka right now. I believe he ordered 5998 ounces, thus he
> may not take off for a few minutes.
>
> To find out when the AN124 leaves, you need to keep checking
> http://www.flytecomm.com/cgi-bin/trackflight and search on NUQ. Eventually
> a "planned" flight will appear from the Antonov Design Bureau
>
>
>
> At 01:14 PM 12/20/2002, you wrote:
>> Anyone know when they're leaving Moffett on the way out?
>>
>> * caladded@... <caladded@...> [12/20/02 13:13]:
>>> yeah and his landing gear just made grooves on my roof, strange of them to
>>> come over my house, DAMN it was loud
>>>
>>>
>>> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

17781. Re: [bayscan] AN124 due at Moffet , 1:10PM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-20 21:41:55 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 17766 Message: 17781

> To find out when the AN124 leaves, you need to keep checking
> http://www.flytecomm.com/cgi-bin/trackflight and search on NUQ.
> Eventually a "planned" flight will appear from the Antonov
> Design Bureau

Actually, flytecomm doesn't do departures like that, just arrivals.
You'd have to know the flight number or where it was headed.

17782. Re: [bayscan] AN124 due at Moffet , 1:10PM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-20 22:42:13 UTC
From: b52hbuff@sbcglobal.net
Topic: 17766 Message: 17782

Well at least we don't have to hear about the vast
conspiracy about the vodka or the 7-Up.

17783. Re: [bayscan] AN124 due at Moffet , 1:10PM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-20 23:04:26 UTC
From: Bob Underwood
Topic: 17766 Message: 17783

I was in the area, so went over near what I assumed would be the flight path; I should have guessed they would use the other runway (14) because of the weather. He came in from the East, did a low pass (1000 ft) over the airport, then a wide circle (very wide) over Mt. View to come in from the North. I was only listening on the Moffet tower frequency, but apparently missed some fun on the Palo Alto tower frequency. They warned him of a Cessna at 400 feet departing Palo Alto, so I put another radio over on that frequency (I think 118.60); they essentially stopped operations for a couple minutes. After he had landed, somebody asked PA tower if the "Anatov had finished his touch and go practice at Palo Alto?". Tower laughed and said they were back open for business; his runway is probably about 3 times as long as the Anatov's fuselage.

By the way, his tail number was 5998.

Gary, thanks for the heads-up.

Bob U.

At 01:19 PM 12/20/02, you wrote:
>He is ordering Vodka right now. I believe he ordered 5998 ounces, thus he
>may not take off for a few minutes.
>
>To find out when the AN124 leaves, you need to keep checking
><http://www.flytecomm.com/cgi-bin/trackflight>http://www.flytecomm.com/cgi-bin/trackfligh
t
>and search on NUQ. Eventually
>a "planned" flight will appear from the Antonov Design Bureau
>
>
>
>At 01:14 PM 12/20/2002, you wrote:
> >Anyone know when they're leaving Moffett on the way out?
> >
> >* caladded@... <caladded@...> [12/20/02 13:13]:
> > > yeah and his landing gear just made grooves on my roof, strange of
> them to
> > > come over my house, DAMN it was loud
> > >
> > >
> > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to

> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17784. Re: [bayscan] AN124 due at Moffet , 1:10PM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-20 23:45:28 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17766 Message: 17784

I wish I had run out to see this thing. Can one of you tell me just how large it was, say in comparison to a C5 or 747 ?
Ed
PS, I just found a crumbled 7-up can on my driveway ??????

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17785. Re: [bayscan] AN124 due at Moffet , 1:10PM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-21 00:01:28 UTC
From: b52hbuff@sbcglobal.net
Topic: 17766 Message: 17785

It's about 10-15% bigger than a C-5...

http://www.theaviationzone.com/facts/an124.htm

Max Takeoff Weight: 892,872 pounds (405,000kg)

But according to
http://www.globalsecurity.org/military/systems/aircraft
/c-5.htm

The Galaxy is one of the world's largest aircraft. It
is almost as long as a football field and as high as a
six-story building and has a cargo compartment about
the size of an eight-lane bowling alley. The C-5 is
the only aircraft that can transport any of the Army's
combat equipment, including the 74-ton (66,600-
kilogram) mobile scissors bridge, tanks and
helicopters. The maximum weight for takeoff during
peacetime is 769,000 pounds, and the allowance
increases to 840,000 pounds in wartime. However, the
plane has been flight tested at more than one million
pounds at the Air Force Test Center at Edwards, AFB.

17786. Re: [bayscan] AN124 due at Moffet , 1:10PM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-21 00:41:26 UTC
From: Glists
Topic: 17766 Message: 17786

Hey wait, what goes into a Seven and Seven?

At 02:42 PM 12/20/2002, you wrote:
>Well at least we don't have to hear about the vast
>conspiracy about the vodka or the 7-Up.
>
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17787. Re: [bayscan] AN124 due at Moffet , 1:10PM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-21 01:00:37 UTC
From: Glists
Topic: 17766 Message: 17787

I believe that plane maintains flight number ADB5998, so you can search on that.

At 01:41 PM 12/20/2002, you wrote:
> > To find out when the AN124 leaves, you need to keep checking
> > http://www.flytecomm.com/cgi-bin/trackflight and search on NUQ.
> > Eventually a "planned" flight will appear from the Antonov
> > Design Bureau
>
>Actually, flytecomm doesn't do departures like that, just arrivals.
>You'd have to know the flight number or where it was headed.
>
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17788. Re: [bayscan] AN124 due at Moffet , 1:10PM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-21 01:11:53 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17766 Message: 17788

I ought know, used to drink enough of 7 and 7 . But finally gave up on Mr. Seagrams.
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17789. Caltrans freq.s

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-21 02:24:41 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17789 Message: 17789

wow I would have never guessed they were that hard to find but i cant find
a link anyware anybody got the whole state set up for caltrans??

Jeffrey<><

17790. swift water rescue

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-21 02:39:41 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17790 Message: 17790

hospital raod hollister car in to the storm flooded San Benito river

multiple people traped in car

151.250 cdf hollister pd 158.775

watsonville is sending there swift water rescue

they want a copter now!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

17791. Re: [bayscan] swift water rescue

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-21 02:49:57 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17790 Message: 17791

update they can not finbd car they are looking Im not sure how high water is I think Ill have wife drive me by river be right back At 06:39 PM 12/20/2002 -0800, you wrote:
>hospital raod hollister car in to the storm flooded San Benito river
>
>multiple people traped in car
>
>151.250 cdf hollister pd 158.775
>
>watsonville is sending there swift water rescue
>
>
>they want a copter now!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17792. river rescue Hollister

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-21 03:10:18 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17792 Message: 17792

un able to locate this may have been a person reving engine and rp thought they were stuck in river.....ok fine

17793. Hayward pursuit into Oakland

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-21 03:12:54 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17793 Message: 17793

HPD pursuit into Oakland around 98/bancroft, One in custody one outstanding,
BMA, 20s wearing all black
HPD 483.1125

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17794. Re: [bayscan] Caltrans freq.s

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-21 03:18:34 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17789 Message: 17794

I have the northern CalTrans stuff in my 800 Spectra, based upon Kelty.

--On Friday, December 20, 2002 18.24 -0800 Jeff & Pam <jpschil@...>
wrote:

> wow I would have never guessed they were that hard to find but i cant find
> a link anyware anybody got the whole state set up for caltrans??
>
> Jeffrey<><
>
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

--
Kenton A. Hoover	KC6SST/WPQX842
Private Citizen	+1.415.850.5924
San Francisco, California USA	shibumi@...
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
| "The history of the world, my sweet |
| Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
| But fortunately, it's also clear |
| That everybody goes down well with beer." |
| -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |

17795. Re: [bayscan] river rescue Hollister

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-21 03:22:48 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17792 Message: 17795

Jeff, so no rescue?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17796. Re: [bayscan] AN124 due at Moffet , 1:10PM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-21 05:17:49 UTC
From: PHILIP HUMES
Topic: 17766 Message: 17796

Segrams VO and 7 up on ice
----- Original Message -----
From: "Glists" <lists@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, December 20, 2002 4:41 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] AN124 due at Moffet , 1:10PM

> Hey wait, what goes into a Seven and Seven?
>
> At 02:42 PM 12/20/2002, you wrote:
> >Well at least we don't have to hear about the vast
> >conspiracy about the vodka or the 7-Up.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

17797. Pleasanton /FootHill rd. accident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-21 07:22:58 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17797 Message: 17797

Seems to be a bad one on foothill rd. here in pleasanton. Not sure of what happend as units are still arriving but many rigs incoming and just heard one FF say "can you relieve me we are gonna pull this one out real fast." They have an extracation going on now. will try to update.

17798. Update: Pleasanton/ Foothill rd accident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-21 07:24:37 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17798 Message: 17798

one person self extricated now in ambulance, and one other now being extracated. all ops on LPFD TG 752 Tac 2.

17799. Update: Pleasanton/Foothill accident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-21 07:38:20 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17799 Message: 17799

update,3 vics. 2 critical. Need Helo. One victim in car still trapped, some FF's mic keeps keying up as he is in the car extracating the vic and you can hear his conversation with the trapped vic. Pretty neat, something you don't hear everyday. at one point he asked the vic how his breathing was and the guy said "tight" the FF replied with "thats because your pinned against a tree" can we say OUCH!!!!!! Thats gonna leave a mark. sounded pretty inibreated too but that could have been pain or other things. Bringing in Helo.

17800. Update: Pleasanton/ Foothill rd accident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-21 07:40:24 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17798 Message: 17800

Helo has been cancelled by Chief 1210 due to 24 min. eta. Gonna go by ground.

17801. Re: [bayscan] AN124 due at Moffet , 1:10PM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-21 10:16:32 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17766 Message: 17801

NO, thats VO and 7 7 and 7 is Seagrams 7 and 7up (ice not necessary ,
but welcome :-)

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17802. Re: [bayscan] AN124 due at Moffet , 1:10PM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-21 18:41:45 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 17766 Message: 17802

Does anyone know why this plane is, was here? Couldn't have been bringing
a shipment of Stoli?
----- Original Message -----
From: <caladded@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, December 20, 2002 5:11 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] AN124 due at Moffet , 1:10PM

> I ought know, used to drink enough of 7 and 7 . But inally gave up on Mr.
> Seagrams.
> Ed
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

17803. Re: [bayscan] AN124 due at Moffet , 1:10PM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-21 19:24:00 UTC
From: Glists
Topic: 17766 Message: 17803

For whatever reason, the USAF uses a few commercial companies for air cargo. Perhaps it is cheaper. You can spot the civilian air cargo companies using the CALP (civil air landing permit) listings. To my knowledge, only the army puts their CALP list on the net, which can be found at
http://www.usaasa.belvoir.army.mil/CALP/CALPS1Dec02.htm
Note that military contractors are also on the CALP list, as well as major airlines, probably for the occasional charter.

The other reason to use commercial carriers is to maintain a low profile, such as in arming right wing military dictatorships in South America. A C5 landing in Venezuela might attract attention, while a flight from Evergreen would not be noticed.

At 10:41 AM 12/21/2002, you wrote:
>Does anyone know why this plane is, was here? Couldn't have been bringing
>a shipment of Stoli?
>-

17804. Re: [bayscan] Hayward pursuit into Oakland

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-21 19:27:59 UTC
From: schwan999@aol.com
Topic: 17793 Message: 17804

Dont forget CSU Hayward PD was there for cover along with a hell of a lot of OPD officers. This was for a 211 on mission in Hayward. I worked this one.
73,
Jim Nicholls
CSU Hayward Dispatcher
KG6MLT

17805. Re: [bayscan] AN124 due at Moffet Gone

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-21 19:30:41 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17805 Message: 17805

I missed it arriving yesterday, but it split around 845-9am this morning
pretty impressive aircraft, headed southwest,
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17806. Re: [bayscan] AN124 due at Moffet Gone

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-22 01:20:07 UTC
From: Glists
Topic: 17805 Message: 17806

If you do a search on www.airliners.net, they have a plethora of AN124 photographs, including one of the cockpit. The typical AN124 (at least in those photographs) is painted white with blue markings and a blue tail. Does that sound like what you saw?

They also have a photographs of the AN225, which is a bit larger than the AN124.

At 11:30 AM 12/21/2002, you wrote:
>I missed it arriving yesterday, but it split around 845-9am this morning
> pretty impressive aircraft, headed
> southwest,
> Ed
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17807. Re: [bayscan] AN124 due at Moffet , 1:10PM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-22 01:26:50 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 17766 Message: 17807

> Does anyone know why this plane is, was here?

They use that class aircraft (via both Antonov Design Bureau and Volga-Dnepr) to ship a lot of shuttle and ISS equipment around, mostly when it doesn't fit in a 747. I'm pretty sure the trips to Moffett are NASA-related, not Onizuka-related. But if I knew for sure, it would be improper for me to say so.

17808. Re: [bayscan] AN124 due at Moffet , 1:10PM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-22 01:42:50 UTC
From: Glists
Topic: 17766 Message: 17808

Does anyone who ran flytecomm.com remember where the plane originated? I recall it was from Canada. The same plane was also at LIRF.

At 05:26 PM 12/21/2002, you wrote:
> > Does anyone know why this plane is, was here?
>
>They use that class aircraft (via both Antonov Design Bureau and
>Volga-Dnepr) to ship a lot of shuttle and ISS equipment around, mostly
>when it doesn't fit in a 747. I'm pretty sure the trips to Moffett are
>NASA-related, not Onizuka-related. But if I knew for sure, it would be
>improper for me to say so.
>
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17809. Re: [bayscan] AN124 due at Moffet , 1:10PM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-22 01:45:17 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 17766 Message: 17809

> remember where the plane originated?

Winnepeg. YWG.

17810. Re: [bayscan] AN124 due at Moffet Gone

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-22 02:13:39 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17805 Message: 17810

Yep, that was it Gary. Actually I have seen it sitting on the tarmac before, but I never had it do the funny landing right over my house before. If it happens again, I am running out to see just how low it is.
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17811. Off Topic Moffett blurb/ Mall Security

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-22 03:27:15 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17811 Message: 17811

kinda off topic again here people. A legitimate post about an incoming aircraft turned it something about booze and now nothing important. Lets try to keep it on topic. Stoneridge Mall Security here in pleasanton has been jumpin! some interesting listening. Some talk today of Horses for patrol, not sure if it was something they were actually doing or just talk. Freqs. are 464.475 dcs 565 464.775 dcs 565 and I believe 469.475 I have heard security on this too but it's assigned as maintenance.

17812. Re: [bayscan] AN124 due at Moffet , 1:10PM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-22 04:30:27 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17766 Message: 17812

The An-124 has been used for moving rocket booster sections from place-to-place. As to 'secret flights into Latin America for the CIA', I don't know; what is the runway length required for an An-124?

Moffet was kept open to ensure that there was still an airfield cleared to handle classified cargo in the Valley. The classified cargo railhead was at the FMC facility in San Jose; I don't know if it is still open. There was a classified sea cargo facility in Redwood City, but I'm pretty sure that was closed when the stealth ship was moved down to the LA area.

I don't even know what classified items are made at the Sunnyvale factory anymore, at least since they moved the torpedeo operation to Nevada. But then I suppose thats part of what security classification is about.

Oops, I said too much -- the terrorists have won.

--On Saturday, December 21, 2002 11.24 -0800 Glists <lists@...>
wrote:

> For whatever reason, the USAF uses a few commercial companies for air
> cargo. Perhaps it is cheaper. You can spot the civilian air cargo
> companies using the CALP (civil air landing permit) listings. To my
> knowledge, only the army puts their CALP list on the net, which can be found
> at http://www.usaasa.belvoir.army.mil/CALP/CALPS1Dec02.htm
> Note that military contractors are also on the CALP list, as well as major
> airlines, probably for the occasional charter.
>
> The other reason to use commercial carriers is to maintain a low profile,
> such as in arming right wing military dictatorships in South America. A C5
> landing in Venezuela might attract attention, while a flight from Evergreen
> would not be noticed.
>
>
>
>
> At 10:41 AM 12/21/2002, you wrote:
>> Does anyone know why this plane is, was here? Couldn't have been bringing
>> a shipment of Stoli?
>> -
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

```
>
>

--
| Kenton A. Hoover | KC6SST/WPQX842 |
| Private Citizen | +1.415.850.5924 |
| San Francisco, California USA | shibumi@... |
+================== http://kc6sst.marchordie.org ==================+
| "The history of the world, my sweet |
| Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
| But fortunately, it's also clear |
| That everybody goes down well with beer." |
| -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |
```

17813. CHP Search 101 & San Thomas Santa Clara

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-22 05:44:27 UTC
From: Doug Owens
Topic: 17766 Message: 17813

21:44 Saturday - San Jose CHP 42.50 searching for suspect who bailed
from pursued vehicle at US 101 and San Thomas Expressway in Santa Clara.

Doug

17814. RE: [bayscan] CHP Search 101 & San Thomas Santa Clara

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-22 05:45:59 UTC
From: Doug Owens
Topic: 17766 Message: 17814

Vehicle on fire Santa Clara fire in route.

-----Original Message-----
From: Doug Owens [mailto:radiobuff@...]
Sent: Saturday, December 21, 2002 9:44 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] CHP Search 101 & San Thomas Santa Clara

21:44 Saturday - San Jose CHP 42.50 searching for suspect who bailed
from pursued vehicle at US 101 and San Thomas Expressway in Santa Clara.

Doug

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17815. Re: [bayscan] CHP Search 101 & San Thomas Santa Clara

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-22 05:47:05 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17766 Message: 17815

Cool, any idea what the want was?

42.50 SC TG16 or 48

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17816. Re: Caltrans freq.s

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-22 05:48:35 UTC
From: lunaticlineman <tricari@attbi.com>
Topic: 17789 Message: 17816

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Jeff & Pam <jpschil@h...> wrote:
> wow I would have never guessed they were that hard to find but i cant find
> a link anyware anybody got the whole state set up for caltrans??

I've got listing based on District but it's in a spreadsheet at home. I ran across the same problem also so I created my own list.

Caltrans is easy to find. Most of the Districts have gone to conventional 800. They have a specific group of 800 channels they use throughout the State with differet PLs based on the area. Then there are the areas running 800 trunked like the Bay Area and LA/Orange. San Diego DOT uses the County trunked system and is digital.

And if they aren't on 800 they'll be low-band unless they're something non-traffic or HWY maintenance related (ie, bridges, surveyors,etc).

I believe Tracy has the generic 800 freqs listed at FreqOfNature. Just plug them in and you should find what you want.

-Wayne

17817. RE: [bayscan] CHP Search 101 & San Thomas Santa Clara

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-22 05:53:36 UTC
From: Doug Owens
Topic: 17766 Message: 17817

21:53 Saturday - CHP closed on ramps to US 101 at San Thomas and
Montague Expressways. Search still underway. 42.50 MHz

-----Original Message-----
From: Doug Owens [mailto:radiobuff@...]
Sent: Saturday, December 21, 2002 9:46 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [bayscan] CHP Search 101 & San Thomas Santa Clara

Vehicle on fire Santa Clara fire in route.

-----Original Message-----
From: Doug Owens [mailto:radiobuff@...]
Sent: Saturday, December 21, 2002 9:44 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] CHP Search 101 & San Thomas Santa Clara

21:44 Saturday - San Jose CHP 42.50 searching for suspect who bailed
from pursued vehicle at US 101 and San Thomas Expressway in Santa Clara.

Doug

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17818. RE: [bayscan] CHP Search 101 & San Thomas Santa Clara

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-22 05:57:49 UTC
From: Doug Owens
Topic: 17766 Message: 17818

Ed and All,

21:57 Saturday - I do not know what the original charge was but the pursuit apparently was wild there was talk of several near TCs. There was a TC at the end but am unclear as to what hit what.

Doug

-----Original Message-----
From: caladded@... [mailto:caladded@...]
Sent: Saturday, December 21, 2002 9:47 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] CHP Search 101 & San Thomas Santa Clara

Cool, any idea what the want was?

42.50 SC TG16 or 48

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17819. RE: [bayscan] CHP Search 101 & San Thomas Santa Clara

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-22 05:59:35 UTC
From: Doug Owens
Topic: 17766 Message: 17819

21:59 Saturday - SJPD Air Two is on SJPD 2 460.275 overhead trying to find a common channel with Santa Clara PD.

Doug

-----Original Message-----
From: Doug Owens [mailto:radiobuff@...]
Sent: Saturday, December 21, 2002 9:58 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [bayscan] CHP Search 101 & San Thomas Santa Clara

Ed and All,

21:57 Saturday - I do not know what the original charge was but the pursuit apparently was wild there was talk of several near TCs. There was a TC at the end but am unclear as to what hit what.

Doug

-----Original Message-----
From: caladded@... [mailto:caladded@...]
Sent: Saturday, December 21, 2002 9:47 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] CHP Search 101 & San Thomas Santa Clara

Cool, any idea what the want was?

42.50 SC TG16 or 48

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17820. Re: [bayscan] CHP Search 101 & San Thomas Santa Clara

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-22 06:00:38 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17766 Message: 17820

Sounds like San Jose has air 2 o/s, Sunnyvale also
TG 16 S. Clara PD
TG304 S. Clara FD
482.9625 Sunnyvale
42.50 CHP

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17821. Re: [bayscan] CHP Search 101 & San Thomas Santa Clara

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-22 06:03:17 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17766 Message: 17821

Here is another reason Santa Clara County needs better communications system (trunked)? The Santana Row fire was another.
Sounds like a good crash(s) Fire has a full 1st

CHP may have perp now.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17822. Re: [bayscan] CHP Search 101 & San Thomas Santa Clara

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-22 06:07:55 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17766 Message: 17822

suspect in custody (and bloody) must have been nasty

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17823. RE: [bayscan] CHP Search 101 & San Thomas Santa Clara

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-22 06:10:49 UTC
From: Doug Owens
Topic: 17766 Message: 17823

22:09 Saturday - Santa Clara and CHP have the likely perpetrator in custody waiting for positive ID.

Ed, you are right. The communication between agencies was very poor. Just as long as the new system is not encrypted, I am all for it!

Doug

-----Original Message-----
From: caladded@... [mailto:caladded@...]
Sent: Saturday, December 21, 2002 10:03 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] CHP Search 101 & San Thomas Santa Clara

Here is another reason Santa Clara County needs better communications system
(trunked)? The Santana Row fire was another.
Sounds like a good crash(s) Fire has a full 1st

CHP may have perp now.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17824. RE: [bayscan] CHP Search 101 & San Thomas Santa Clara

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-22 06:12:54 UTC
From: Doug Owens
Topic: 17766 Message: 17824

Just to clarify my last comment, the PDs should have an encrypted
channel or two.

Doug

-----Original Message-----
From: Doug Owens [mailto:radiobuff@...]
Sent: Saturday, December 21, 2002 10:11 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [bayscan] CHP Search 101 & San Thomas Santa Clara

22:09 Saturday - Santa Clara and CHP have the likely perpetrator in
custody waiting for positive ID.

Ed, you are right. The communication between agencies was very poor.
Just as long as the new system is not encrypted, I am all for it!

Doug

-----Original Message-----
From: caladded@... [mailto:caladded@...]
Sent: Saturday, December 21, 2002 10:03 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] CHP Search 101 & San Thomas Santa Clara

Here is another reason Santa Clara County needs better communications
system
(trunked)? The Santana Row fire was another.
Sounds like a good crash(s) Fire has a full 1st

CHP may have perp now.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17825. RE: [bayscan] CHP Search 101 & San Thomas Santa Clara

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-22 06:24:44 UTC
From: Doug Owens
Topic: 17766 Message: 17825

One final note on tonight's search.

The CHP dispatcher who handled the pursuit and search is one of the very best I have ever heard. She is consistently on top of all the details and clearly cares about the officers in the field and the community they serve.

Doug

-----Original Message-----
From: Doug Owens [mailto:radiobuff@...]
Sent: Saturday, December 21, 2002 10:13 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [bayscan] CHP Search 101 & San Thomas Santa Clara

Just to clarify my last comment, the PDs should have an encrypted channel or two.

Doug

-----Original Message-----
From: Doug Owens [mailto:radiobuff@...]
Sent: Saturday, December 21, 2002 10:11 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [bayscan] CHP Search 101 & San Thomas Santa Clara

22:09 Saturday - Santa Clara and CHP have the likely perpetrator in custody waiting for positive ID.

Ed, you are right. The communication between agencies was very poor. Just as long as the new system is not encrypted, I am all for it!

Doug

-----Original Message-----
From: caladded@... [mailto:caladded@...]
Sent: Saturday, December 21, 2002 10:03 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] CHP Search 101 & San Thomas Santa Clara

Here is another reason Santa Clara County needs better communications system
(trunked)? The Santana Row fire was another.
Sounds like a good crash(s) Fire has a full 1st

CHP may have perp now.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17826. multiplue stabbings in W. Pittsburg

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-22 07:05:28 UTC
From: Dennis A. Halbasch <dennishalbasch@yahoo
Topic: 17826 Message: 17826

Multiple stabbings on Alves lane in W. Pittsburg. Deputy said he wasn't sure they would survive.
4 ground ambulances and 1 helo enroute.

Contra Costa S.O. 155.190 136.5

17827. Re: multiplue stabbings in W. Pittsburg

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-22 07:11:51 UTC
From: Dennis A. Halbasch <dennishalbasch@yahoo
Topic: 17826 Message: 17827

Cancelled two ground ambulances. Suspects GoA. Star One overhead.
Air ambulance just arrived.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Dennis A. Halbasch
<dennishalbasch@y...>" <dennishalbasch@y...> wrote:
> Multiple stabbings on Alves lane in W. Pittsburg. Deputy said he
> wasn't sure they would survive.
> 4 ground ambulances and 1 helo enroute.
>
> Contra Costa S.O. 155.190 136.5

17828. Santana Row freq(s) found

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-22 09:07:19 UTC
From: Tim T
Topic: 17828 Message: 17828

While a couple of the regular drunks were talking about "7 & 7" on the list, I was down at Santana Row, doing some frequency snooping...

For those who haven't seen it yet, Santana Row is more along the lines of an upscale shop-lined street or two, with apartments above, and not a traditional, enclosed shopping mall.

http://www.santanarow.com/

Because of this, I suspect that when crimes are committed inside a store, it's more likely that mall security is bypassed & the incident is handled between the store & SJPD (meaning Santana Row security isn't as busy as a normal mall's security team).

Initially I searched the VHF-High business band, 450-495 & 900MHz bands on the BC-780 in my vehicle.

Pretty quickly, I discovered security personnel on 464.700MHz simplex (DCS 155), and ID'ed them as providing security services to the Santana Row development. It was quite an informal net, with security staff ID'ing by their first names, and reporting various minor situations to "Mike," who was obviously the supervisor. Some times, "Mike" then relayed the info along to "Santana Row Control."

I was pleased that I seemed to have caught them so quickly, but was surprised at how informal the net was, and that they were operating simplex as opposed to a low-power repeater. Even "Santana Row Control" seemed to be using a handheld radio on the net.

One unit asked "Mike" to switch over to another channel. Her heavy ebonics made it impossible for me to determine if she said "channel five" or "channel one five," but regardless, the freq for that channel is 464.500MHz simplex (DCS 464). She discretely advised Mike that she caught the guard who was supposed to be patrolling the parking structure on foot sitting in his own car...

I decided to tune in 464.700 on my Yaesu VX-7 & walk around Santana Row on foot. In doing so, I discovered a major caveat in indicating Santana Row security

primarily uses 464.700MHz.

I observed two distinct security teams. One was wearing yellow windbreakers with 'CSC' on the front & 'EVENT STAFF' written on the back in black lettering. These guys & gals were definitely on 464.700MHz. But another group of security personnel were wearing black jackets with 'SECURITY' written in white lettering. These guys didn't seem to be using their radios much, and didn't seem to be tuned to 464.700MHz.

My keen analytical mind quickly deduced that these CSC Security people on 464.700MHz were a contract security force to temporarily augment the normal Santana Row security staff --the guys in black-- during the holiday madness.

I just did a Google search on CSC +security +San Jose, and it turns out the CSC people on 464.700 are employees of Contemporary Services Corporation:

http://www.contemporaryservices.com/pages/san_francisco.html

So it was then back to the proverbial drawing board to find the real 'mall security' freq for Santana Row...

A miracle occured during my walk back to my car -- a Santana Row Security minivan drove by, with a UHF quarter-wave plainly visible.

The quarter-wave looked like the 450s to me, but to play it safe, when I got back to my car, I searched 450-470MHz.

If I'd had other resources with me, I would have utilized an Opto Scout freq counter, or taken the coordinates for Santana Row (37-19.25N / 121-57.9W), punched them into the FCC database & done a radius search, and/or taken the name of Santana Row's owner/management (Federal Realty Investment Trust) & searched the FCC same database. But this was a spur of the moment visit, so I was doing everything the old-fashioned way.

Searching 450-470MHz in 12.5kHz steps produced a lot of hits, many of which were easy to rule out signal strength. But in the case of very strong repeaters, I did simple traffic analysis as well as check for a signal on the repeater input using my VX-7.

464.975MHz was a very strong repeater, with units strong on the input, AND traffic clearly indicative of a shopping center security! Yet when they dispatched a unit to Macy's, I realized it was for the shopping mall across the Stevens Creek Blvd, N of Santana Row. I think this mall is officially known as Westfield Shoppingstown Valley Fair. In any event, their security was very busy, with their channel 2 being a repeater on 461.475MHz (DCS 026).

Other hits:

452.7375+ -- some sort of bus service. Mentioned the Palo Alto & California Stations.

461.175+ -- Security patrol for an apartment complex.

460.825+ (114.8) -- Ops channel for an airline at SJO. Whoever they are, they actually care about trying to make sure baggage gets on the correct flight.

463.7125+ (DCS 132) -- Ops channel for another airline, I assume at SJO.

464.600+ (DCS 743) -- Yet another airline ops channel at SJO.

461.300+ (DCS 411) -- Campus security for some bible college.

462.350+ -- Security for an office complex, I'm assuming one of the big Silicon Valley corporations, perhaps Sun Microsystems?

464.0875+ -- Store security, at a store that sells clothes (they reported the suspect entered a changing room), perhaps the Nordstrum at the mall on the other side of Stevens Creek.

464.9625+ (192.8Hz) -- Security at a hospital.

463.425+ -- Security/police force for some sort of corporate or educational campus.

+ indicates it's a repeater operation.

FINALLY, after being on site & searching for it for several hours, I found what's apparently the main Santana Row security/maintenance frequency. I came across a very strong repeater just as it was unkeying, and I decided to sit on it until it rekeyed & I could ID the users. After waiting 10 minutes & getting very close to giving up & heading back home to San Mateo County, the repeater came back into use, with

"Santana" advising all security personnel to be sure to set their radios on the charger when they turn them in.

The frequency for the Santana Row is 452.850MHz, with a CTCSS of 67.0Hz.

They may or may not have more channels, for example a separate channel for the 'Facilities' (maintenance/custodial) staff. It was pretty time-intensive for me to finally discover them on 452.850, so once I confirmed it was them, I soon left for home. The shopping center was closed down by this time anyway.

Tim

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail Plus - Powerful. Affordable. Sign up now.
http://mailplus.yahoo.com

17829. Re: Santana Row freq(s) found

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-22 09:47:18 UTC
From: blueangel_78 <blueangel_78@yahoo.com>
Topic: 17828 Message: 17829

Great Report Tim!
Thanks for all the new freqs!

-sagar

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Tim T <sfbayhooligan@y...> wrote:
>
> Other hits:
>
> 452.7375+ -- some sort of bus service. Mentioned the
> Palo Alto & California Stations.

Could be the free shuttles from caltrain to the local companies

>
> 460.825+ (114.8) -- Ops channel for an airline at SJO.
> Whoever they are, they actually care about trying to
> make sure baggage gets on the correct flight.
>
> 463.7125+ (DCS 132) -- Ops channel for another
> airline, I assume at SJO.
>
> 464.600+ (DCS 743) -- Yet another airline ops channel
> at SJO.
>

Do u mean SJC perhaps?

> 461.300+ (DCS 411) -- Campus security for some bible
> college.
>

Could be Santa Clara University....that's very near the mall (about 2
miles)

>
> Tim
>

17830. Re: [bayscan] CHP Search 101 & San Thomas Santa Clara

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-22 12:42:58 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17766 Message: 17830

Amen to that. NO encryption PLEASE. since I live right at the Santa Clara/San Mateo border I would (or damn should) be able to hear a new system plus maybe I could get the southern end like Gilroy and Morgan hill which I can't do now.
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17831. Re: [bayscan] Re: Caltrans freq.s

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-22 14:16:45 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17789 Message: 17831

Could you please send me spread sheet off group thank you .

MERRY CHRISTMAS

JEFFREY<><
owner CENCALFIRE@...

At 05:48 AM 12/22/2002 +0000, you wrote:
>--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Jeff & Pam <jpschil@h...> wrote:
> > wow I would have never guessed they were that hard to find but i
>cant find
> > a link anyware anybody got the whole state set up for caltrans??
>
>I've got listing based on District but it's in a spreadsheet at
>home. I ran across the same problem also so I created my own list.
>
>Caltrans is easy to find. Most of the Districts have gone to
>conventional 800. They have a specific group of 800 channels they
>use throughout the State with differet PLs based on the area. Then
>there are the areas running 800 trunked like the Bay Area and
>LA/Orange. San Diego DOT uses the County trunked system and is
>digital.
>
>And if they aren't on 800 they'll be low-band unless they're
>something non-traffic or HWY maintenance related (ie, bridges,
>surveyors,etc).
>
>I believe Tracy has the generic 800 freqs listed at FreqOfNature.
>Just plug them in and you should find what you want.
>
>-Wayne
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17832. TRUNK SEARCH 780

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-22 16:26:39 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17832 Message: 17832

Hello

I am laid up at home with my bummed knee and thought I would do a trunk search here from Hollister

I can hear four trunk control channels from here very clearly and I am trying to do an ID grab with my

780, this is a first for me as we do not have any systems close by or I didnt think so

if some one could contact me off groups and talk me through it that would be great

the freq.s I have found are

860.3375 =very loud during search it keeps stoping looking for mot ID

855.5125 =sounds loud during search it keeps stoping looking for mot ID

852.9250= clear but does not stop on it at all to look for ID's

856.8375=clear but does not stop on it at all to look for ID's

I am sure some of you experts out there can help!!!

Jeffrey in Hollister <><

17833. Re: [bayscan] TRUNK SEARCH 780

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-22 16:39:27 UTC
From: b52hbuff@sbcglobal.net
Topic: 17832 Message: 17833

http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/reports/index.cfm

...try Frequency Range/State. Enter same freq for
high and low.

17834. Re: [bayscan] Santana Row freq(s) found

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-22 18:26:25 UTC
From: Glists
Topic: 17828 Message: 17834

A FCC search turns up nothing on 452.85 in San Jose. At least they are bootlegging on a clear channel.

It would take an appearance by Santana himself to make me take a drive to San Jose to scan it. ;-)

> The frequency for the Santana Row is 452.850MHz,
>with a CTCSS of 67.0Hz.
>
>

17835. Re: Santana Row freq(s) found

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-22 18:52:05 UTC
From: rheisner <rheisner@yahoo.com>
Topic: 17828 Message: 17835

Hi Tim,

Great catch on Santana Row! I was there about two weeks ago and
managed to catch some activity on 464.7000 simplex DPL 155, but
was unable to confirm if this was their primary Security frequency.

It sounds like their primary Security frequency is 452.8500R PL 67.0

>
> Other hits:
>
> 452.7375+ -- some sort of bus service. Mentioned the
> Palo Alto & California Stations.
>
> 461.175+ -- Security patrol for an apartment complex.

This is Atlas Security Patrol (Ch. 2). They're using DPL 114

>
> 460.825+ (114.8) -- Ops channel for an airline at SJO.
> Whoever they are, they actually care about trying to
> make sure baggage gets on the correct flight.

This is Alaska Airlines at SJC

>
> 463.7125+ (DCS 132) -- Ops channel for another
> airline, I assume at SJO.

This is Southwest Airlines at SJC

>
> 464.600+ (DCS 743) -- Yet another airline ops channel
> at SJO.

This is also Southwest Airliens at SJC

>
> 462.350+ -- Security for an office complex, I'm
> assuming one of the big Silicon Valley corporations,
> perhaps Sun Microsystems?

This is Sun Microsystems. They're using DPL 025.

>
> 464.0875+ -- Store security, at a store that sells
> clothes (they reported the suspect entered a changing
> room), perhaps the Nordstrum at the mall on the other

> side of Stevens Creek.

This is Nordstrom Security at Valley Fair. They're using PL 146.2.

>
> 464.9625+ (192.8Hz) -- Security at a hospital.

This San Jose Hospital Security & Phone

>
> 463.425+ -- Security/police force for some sort of
> corporate or educational campus.
>
> + indicates it's a repeater operation.

This is the Monument LTR Systemd Primary Home channel.

Bob in Pasadena

PS: checkout the IRS list when you get chance. It was lots of
confirmed frequencies for most of the Bay Area Malls.

http://www.saltydogradio.com/irs/IRS_Addendum_20021215.pdf

17836. Re: [bayscan] Santana Row freq(s) found

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-22 18:53:45 UTC
From: J. Smith
Topic: 17828 Message: 17836

Excellent article Tim. This sort of Bay Area frequency information is exactly why I read this elist, and you packaged it as a bit of an adventure too. Thanks.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Tim T" <sfbayhooligan@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 22, 2002 1:07 AM
Subject: [bayscan] Santana Row freq(s) found

> While a couple of the regular drunks were talking
> about "7 & 7" on the list, I was down at Santana Row,
> doing some frequency snooping...
>
> For those who haven't seen it yet, Santana Row is
> more along the lines of an upscale shop-lined street
> or two, with apartments above, and not a traditional,
> enclosed shopping mall.
>
> http://www.santanarow.com/
>
> Because of this, I suspect that when crimes are
> committed inside a store, it's more likely that mall
> security is bypassed & the incident is handled between
> the store & SJPD (meaning Santana Row security isn't
> as busy as a normal mall's security team).
>
> Initially I searched the VHF-High business band,
> 450-495 & 900MHz bands on the BC-780 in my vehicle.
>
> Pretty quickly, I discovered security personnel on
> 464.700MHz simplex (DCS 155), and ID'ed them as
> providing security services to the Santana Row
> development. It was quite an informal net, with
> security staff ID'ing by their first names, and
> reporting various minor situations to "Mike," who was
> obviously the supervisor. Some times, "Mike" then
> relayed the info along to "Santana Row Control."
>
> I was pleased that I seemed to have caught them so
> quickly, but was surprised at how informal the net
> was, and that they were operating simplex as opposed
> to a low-power repeater. Even "Santana Row Control"
> seemed to be using a handheld radio on the net.
>

> One unit asked "Mike" to switch over to another
> channel. Her heavy ebonics made it impossible for me
> to determine if she said "channel five" or "channel
> one five," but regardless, the freq for that channel
> is 464.500MHz simplex (DCS 464). She discretely
> advised Mike that she caught the guard who was
> supposed to be patrolling the parking structure on
> foot sitting in his own car...
>
> I decided to tune in 464.700 on my Yaesu VX-7 & walk
> around Santana Row on foot. In doing so, I discovered
> a major caveat in indicating Santana Row security
> primarily uses 464.700MHz.
>
> I observed two distinct security teams. One was
> wearing yellow windbreakers with 'CSC' on the front &
> 'EVENT STAFF' written on the back in black lettering.
> These guys & gals were definitely on 464.700MHz. But
> another group of security personnel were wearing black
> jackets with 'SECURITY' written in white lettering.
> These guys didn't seem to be using their radios much,
> and didn't seem to be tuned to 464.700MHz.
>
> My keen analytical mind quickly deduced that these
> CSC Security people on 464.700MHz were a contract
> security force to temporarily augment the normal
> Santana Row security staff --the guys in black--
> during the holiday madness.
>
> I just did a Google search on CSC +security +San
> Jose, and it turns out the CSC people on 464.700 are
> employees of Contemporary Services Corporation:
>
>
> http://www.contemporaryservices.com/pages/san_francisco.html
>
>
> So it was then back to the proverbial drawing board
> to find the real 'mall security' freq for Santana
> Row...
>
> A miracle occured during my walk back to my car -- a
> Santana Row Security minivan drove by, with a UHF
> quarter-wave plainly visible.
>
> The quarter-wave looked like the 450s to me, but to
> play it safe, when I got back to my car, I searched
> 450-470MHz.
>
>

> If I'd had other resources with me, I would have
> utilized an Opto Scout freq counter, or taken the
> coordinates for Santana Row (37-19.25N / 121-57.9W),
> punched them into the FCC database & done a radius
> search, and/or taken the name of Santana Row's
> owner/management (Federal Realty Investment Trust) &
> searched the FCC same database. But this was a spur
> of the moment visit, so I was doing everything the
> old-fashioned way.
>
> Searching 450-470MHz in 12.5kHz steps produced a
> lot of hits, many of which were easy to rule out
> signal strength. But in the case of very strong
> repeaters, I did simple traffic analysis as well as
> check for a signal on the repeater input using my
> VX-7.
>
> 464.975MHz was a very strong repeater, with units
> strong on the input, AND traffic clearly indicative of
> a shopping center security! Yet when they dispatched
> a unit to Macy's, I realized it was for the shopping
> mall across the Stevens Creek Blvd, N of Santana Row.
> I think this mall is officially known as Westfield
> Shoppingstown Valley Fair. In any event, their
> security was very busy, with their channel 2 being a
> repeater on 461.475MHz (DCS 026).
>
> Other hits:
>
> 452.7375+ -- some sort of bus service. Mentioned the
> Palo Alto & California Stations.
>
> 461.175+ -- Security patrol for an apartment complex.
>
> 460.825+ (114.8) -- Ops channel for an airline at SJO.
> Whoever they are, they actually care about trying to
> make sure baggage gets on the correct flight.
>
> 463.7125+ (DCS 132) -- Ops channel for another
> airline, I assume at SJO.
>
> 464.600+ (DCS 743) -- Yet another airline ops channel
> at SJO.
>
> 461.300+ (DCS 411) -- Campus security for some bible
> college.
>
> 462.350+ -- Security for an office complex, I'm
> assuming one of the big Silicon Valley corporations,
> perhaps Sun Microsystems?

>
> 464.0875+ -- Store security, at a store that sells
> clothes (they reported the suspect entered a changing
> room), perhaps the Nordstrum at the mall on the other
> side of Stevens Creek.
>
> 464.9625+ (192.8Hz) -- Security at a hospital.
>
> 463.425+ -- Security/police force for some sort of
> corporate or educational campus.
>
> + indicates it's a repeater operation.
>
>
> FINALLY, after being on site & searching for it for
> several hours, I found what's apparently the main
> Santana Row security/maintenance frequency. I came
> across a very strong repeater just as it was unkeying,
> and I decided to sit on it until it rekeyed & I could
> ID the users. After waiting 10 minutes & getting very
> close to giving up & heading back home to San Mateo
> County, the repeater came back into use, with
> "Santana" advising all security personnel to be sure
> to set their radios on the charger when they turn them
> in.
>
> The frequency for the Santana Row is 452.850MHz,
> with a CTCSS of 67.0Hz.
>
> They may or may not have more channels, for example
> a separate channel for the 'Facilities'
> (maintenance/custodial) staff. It was pretty
> time-intensive for me to finally discover them on
> 452.850, so once I confirmed it was them, I soon left
> for home. The shopping center was closed down by this
> time anyway.
>
>
> Tim
>
> ~~~~~~
> Do you Yahoo!?
> Yahoo! Mail Plus - Powerful. Affordable. Sign up now.
> http://mailplus.yahoo.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

17837. Re: Off Topic Moffett blurb/ Mall Security

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-22 19:18:17 UTC
From: rheisner <rheisner@yahoo.com>
Topic: 17811 Message: 17837

> kinda off topic again here people. A legitimate post about an
> incoming aircraft turned it something about booze and now nothing
> important. Lets try to keep it on topic. Stoneridge Mall Security
> here in pleasanton has been jumpin! some interesting listening.
> Some talk today of Horses for patrol, not sure if it was something
> they were actually doing or just talk. Freqs. are 464.475 dcs 565
> 464.775 dcs 565 and I believe 469.475 I have heard security on this
> too but it's assigned as maintenance.

This is what I had for Stoneridge Mall about 2 years ago:

Stoneridge Mall F Input Output Tone
Security 1 469.4750 464.4750 D565
Maintenance 2 469.7750 464.7750 D546
Banana Republic Operations 151.8350 74.4
Express Operations 467.7626 67.0
GAP Operations 1 151.6250 74.4
GAP Operations 2 154.5700 74.4
JC Penneys Security 468.7250 463.7250 85.4
Macys Security 468.6375 463.6375 D251
Macys Womens THISISIT Operations 464.5500 74.4
Nordstrom Security 465.9125 460.9125 146.2
Pottery Barn Operations 151.6250 67.0
Sears Security 464.7250 151.4
Structure Operations 467.8125 67.0

Can you send me any correction this the above list?

17838. Hayward and San Jose incidents

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-23 00:54:13 UTC
From: Ed <caladded@aol.com>
Topic: 17838 Message: 17838

Hayward Fire with a working apt fire at 23200 Santa Clara
460.600

San Jose working something on command 3 , 154.115 , maybe hazmat
incident , unknown location although Engine 5 is there , so its in
the northern area (E5 is stationed around North 10th right off 101)
anyone here this ?

Ed

17839. Mall Frequency Confusion....

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-23 01:08:50 UTC
From: Anthony Santiago
Topic: 17839 Message: 17839

Please confirm 464.475 DCS 565. Is this security for Sun Valley Mall in Cocord, Southland Mall in Hayward or Sun Valley Mall in Concord?? The Kelty Book has same frequency and tone for all 3 malls.

Thanks,

Tony

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17841. Re: Mall Frequency Confusion....

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-23 01:29:38 UTC
From: rheisner <rheisner@yahoo.com>
Topic: 17839 Message: 17841

Anthony Santiago wrote:
> Please confirm 464.475 DCS 565. Is this security for Sun Valley
> Mall in Cocord, Southland Mall in Hayward or Sun Valley Mall in
> Concord?? The Kelty Book has same frequency and tone for all 3
> malls.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Tony

Kelty's IRS book is very outdated. Sun Vally Mall Security is on 464.8125R DPL 565. Southland Mall Security and Stoneridge Mall Security are both on 464.4750R DPL 565.

Sun Valley Mall
Security 469.8125 464.8125 D565
Express Operations 464.5500 67.0
GAP Operations 151.8050 74.4
JC Penney Security 154.6000 85.4
Macys Security A-side (simulcast) 466.2625 461.2625 D251
Macys Security B-side (simulcast) 466.2875 461.2875 D265
Mervyns Operations 1 464.5000 D043
Mervyns Operations 2 464.5500 D051
Sears Security 461.1625 151.4

Southland Mall
Security 1 469.4750 464.4750 D565
Maintenance & Telephone 2 467.0250 462.0250 D565
GAP Operations 1 154.5400 D311
GAP Operations 2 154.5700 74.4
JC Penney Security 154.6000 85.4
Macys Security 466.7625 461.7625 D251
Mervyns Operations 1 464.5000 D043
Mervyns Operations 2 464.5500 D051
Old Navy Store Operations 151.9550 74.4
Sears Operations 467.9000 67.0
Sears Security 461.1625 151.4

Stoneridge Mall
Security 1 469.4750 464.4750 D565
Maintenance 2 469.7750 464.7750 D546
Banana Republic Operations 151.8350 74.4
Express Operations 467.7626 67.0
GAP Operations 1 151.6250 74.4
GAP Operations 2 154.5700 74.4
JC Penneys Security 468.7250 463.7250 85.4

Macys Security 468.6375 463.6375 D251
Macys Womens THISISIT Operations 464.5500 74.4
Nordstrom Security 465.9125 460.9125 146.2
Pottery Barn Operations 151.6250 67.0
Sears Security 464.7250 151.4
Structure Operations 467.8125 67.0

An updated version of IRS for most of California can be found at:

http://www.saltydogradio.com/irs/IRS_Addendum_20021215.pdf

17842. Update to Industrial Radio System Addendum

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-23 01:40:12 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz
Topic: 13280 Message: 17842

Hi all;

The IRS addendum has been updated and corrected

For a copy go to;

http://www.saltydogradio.com/irs/IRS_Addendum_20021222.pdf

Extra special thanks to this weeks contributors.

Mike <ka4993@...>
Terry <qmp@...>.

And Tim T <sfbayhooligan@...> for the Santana
Row frequencies we were looking for

Send additions and corrections to Bob Eisner at
rheisner@...

Regards

Ed

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail Plus - Powerful. Affordable. Sign up now.
http://mailplus.yahoo.com

17843. Re: Mall Frequency Confusion....

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-23 01:42:13 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz <sr_radio@yahoo.com>
Topic: 17839 Message: 17843

Anthony

See my previous posting for the updated IRS addendum.

Ed

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Anthony Santiago" <spade@i...> wrote:
> Please confirm 464.475 DCS 565. Is this security for Sun Valley Mall
in Cocord, Southland Mall in Hayward or Sun Valley Mall in Concord??
The Kelty Book has same frequency and tone for all 3 malls.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Tony
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17844. Freq for D&M Towing (in SJ)?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-23 06:05:24 UTC
From: Christopher Handisides
Topic: 17844 Message: 17844

Does anyone have anything for D&M Towing out of San Jose? The FCC listing shows them using 157.4850, but I haven't heard -anything- on that freq over the course of the last two or three months. (Even when I've been standing close enough to a truck to hear their radio going, with my scanner tuned to that freq.)

--Chris

17845. Tri-Valley area Taxi cab co. Freqs.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-23 07:16:34 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17845 Message: 17845

Does anyone know of the freqs. for Taxi Co's. in the Tr-Valley Area (Pleasanton, Dublin, Livermore, San Ramon)?? I see lots of Cabs around town and many around the area near The Bart station (Dublin/Pleasanton). Do they use cell phones as main communication or are some radio dispatched? I Have heard some cab co's. from S.F. before in the 151-152 range. But does anyone know of the exact freqs. for co's like "a bay area cab","Yellow Cab co. of the tri-valley","pleasanton flyer cab.""Taxi Express" ??? any of these? Thanks to any who reply!!! Merry Christmas.

17846. A telephone call at work today

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-23 07:30:23 UTC
From: PHILIP HUMES
Topic: 17846 Message: 17846

RadioShack this is Philip

I bought a scanner from another store and they said you had a list of codes. (translated freq list)

Yes

I got the book (policecall) and I want the cordless phone codes.

No I cannot give those to you. It is not legal to listen to cordless and cellular phone calls.

NO, It is illegal to record them.

I said No it is illegal to listen to them and I will not give them to you. They are in the book if you look.

He then slamed the phone in my ear. I think he was pissed off. LOL LOL LOL

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17847. Freq sluthing 101

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-23 08:33:40 UTC
From: Tracy <tracy@freqofnature.com>
Topic: 17847 Message: 17847

After reading the past couple of posts requesting frequencies for a tow company and a taxi service I thought I'd share my limited wisdom on frequency sleuthing. It will be short but effective. LOL

FROM THE HORSES MOUTH
Radio scanning is not a clandestine hobby. If you want to know what radio frequency someone is using then the direct approach is the quickest and easiest. Simply ask them. By showing a sincere interest in their other wise mundane daily work tasks you will find most will be willing to indulge you with any information you request. An open ear is all that is required.

SIZE (LENGTH) MATTERS
Look at the antennas mounted on the vehicles and/or buildings of the entity you're interested in. The shorter the antenna the higher the frequency band they are operating on. This will at least narrow your search to a specific frequency band.

FCC IS YOUR FRIEND
With the exception of federal agencies the FCC has all licensed radio stations on file for public review. FON (Freq Of Nature www.freqofnature.com) compiles the FCC database on a regular basis in an easy to use search engine. Daily updates are also compiled in a separate database for those who wish to review changes in licenses on a daily basis. Of course these database entries are only valid for those radio stations that are operating inside the law.

FON Land Mobile Private database:
http://www.freqofnature.com/lmpriv.html

FON Daily Grants and Updates database:
http://www.freqofnature.com/grants.html

MAKE IT COUNT
A frequency counter can be your best friend when sleuthing those elusive frequencies. Stand next to your target transmitter (For our example a tow truck or taxi cab) and wait for them to key up. Press the button on your frequency counter and presto neato you have your frequency. I find frequency counters especially useful at events like county fairs or sporting events where the frequencies are used for a limited time as opposed to being permanent.

Tracy
www.freqofnature.com

17848. scanner program problems

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-23 14:40:26 UTC
From: Jeffrey <jpschil@hollinet.com>
Topic: 17848 Message: 17848

has anyone out here seen this program and if so, tell me if it is worth the hassle? also do you have the California down load it seems that the state listing database witch drives the program are no longer available what a waist.....

http://www.csp-tech.com/sbxe_features.htm

check it out.....

Jeffrey <><

17849. Re: Freq for D&M Towing (in SJ)?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-23 14:49:42 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <jim_hack@yahoo.com>
Topic: 17844 Message: 17849

I live a couple blocks away from their place of business. If no one posts the freq. I could get it with my frequency counter for you...

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Christopher Handisides" <landsknechte@h...> wrote:
> Does anyone have anything for D&M Towing out of San Jose? The FCC listing
> shows them using 157.4850, but I haven't heard -anything- on that freq over
> the course of the last two or three months. (Even when I've been standing
> close enough to a truck to hear their radio going, with my scanner tuned to
> that freq.)
>
> --Chris

17850. Re: Freq for D&M Towing (in SJ)?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-23 16:18:20 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz <sr_radio@yahoo.com>
Topic: 17844 Message: 17850

A lot of these folks have been moving to LTR systems. Some of the systems in the area are operated by Crystal Communications, Champion Communications and TimeSlot trunking.

Listings for these systems can be found at

http://www.trunkedradio.net/

You'll need a BC780XLT to track these systems.

Ed

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Christopher Handisides" <landsknechte@h...> wrote:
> Does anyone have anything for D&M Towing out of San Jose? The FCC listing
> shows them using 157.4850, but I haven't heard -anything- on that freq over
> the course of the last two or three months. (Even when I've been standing
> close enough to a truck to hear their radio going, with my scanner tuned to
> that freq.)
>
> --Chris

17851. Re: [bayscan] TRUNK SEARCH 780

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-23 00:46:01 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17832 Message: 17851

well this is what i got Im not sure what it tells me

<javascript:handleLinkClick('d%5fadmin.rox?Submit&__scheduleType=immediate&__wait=wait&callsign=%22WNPL737%22&application_id=%2d1&xtype=%22NX%22&state=%22CA%22&cnty=%26freq_low=%2200000860%2e33750000%22&freq_hi=%2200000860%2e33750000%22&lat_sec=%26hi_lat_sec=%26lat_dir=%22%22&long_sec=%26hi_long_sec=%26long_dir=%22%22&freq=%22%22&text_label=%22Frequency%3a%200000860%2e33750000%20%20State%3a%20CA%22',
'External')>WNPL737
YO
Active
BUD ANTLE INC
Radio Service:
License Status:
Licensee Name:
File Number:
Callsign:
Grant Date:
Expiration Date:
4/15/99
6/5/04
ERP
SALINAS
Frequency
Location State:
CA
City:
Station Class
MONTEREY
County:
00000860.33750000
Mobile Relay - Interconnect
200

At 11:39 AM 12/22/2002 -0500, you wrote:
><http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/reports/index.cfm>http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/reports/index.cfm
>
>...try Frequency Range/State. Enter same freq for
>high and low.
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17852. HERE IS A STRANGE SCANNER

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-23 18:22:32 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17852 Message: 17852

Check out this scanner it has it all LOL

http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/scanners/4554.html

17853. Re: [bayscan] A telephone call at work today

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-23 18:36:08 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17846 Message: 17853

so Philip when do the new scanners come out?

At 11:30 PM 12/22/2002 -0800, you wrote:
>RadioShack this is Philip
>
>I bought a scanner from another store and they said you had a list of
>codes. (translated freq list)
>
>Yes
>
>I got the book (policecall) and I want the cordless phone codes.
>
>No I cannot give those to you. It is not legal to listen to cordless and
>cellular phone calls.
>
>NO, It is illegal to record them.
>
>I said No it is illegal to listen to them and I will not give them to
>you. They are in the book if you look.
>
>He then slamed the phone in my ear. I think he was pissed off. LOL LOL LOL
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17854. Re: [bayscan] HERE IS A STRANGE SCANNER

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-23 18:46:24 UTC
From: Christopher Handisides
Topic: 17852 Message: 17854

I've got to get me one of these... My biggest gripe about all of my scanners is that none of them help me to see any better. I can't imagine how an obvious design feature like that has been overlooked for so long. ;-)

--Chris
----- Original Message -----
From: Jeff & Pam
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com ; SCANNORCAL@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, December 23, 2002 10:22 AM
Subject: [bayscan] HERE IS A STRANGE SCANNER

Check out this scanner it has it all LOL

http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/scanners/4554.html

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17855. Re: [bayscan] HERE IS A STRANGE SCANNER

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-23 18:50:54 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17852 Message: 17855

Im waiting for the one with the pop corn maker myself you gotta have snacks
wile watching and listening to the big 3 alarmer!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!lol

At 10:46 AM 12/23/2002 -0800, you wrote:
>I've got to get me one of these... My biggest gripe about all of my
>scanners is that none of them help me to see any better. I can't imagine
>how an obvious design feature like that has been overlooked for so long. ;-)
>
>--Chris
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Jeff & Pam
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com ; SCANNORCAL@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Monday, December 23, 2002 10:22 AM
> Subject: [bayscan] HERE IS A STRANGE SCANNER
>
>
>
> Check out this scanner it has it all LOL
>
>
><http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/scanners/4554.html>http://www.universal-radio.com
/catalog/scanners/4554.html
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17856. Re: [bayscan] HERE IS A STRANGE SCANNER

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-23 19:24:30 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 17852 Message: 17856

> My biggest gripe about all of my scanners is that none
> of them help me to see any better.

Maybe someday instead of scanning just audio we'll be able to scan video, too ... nah, they'll probably outlaw it.

17857. Re: [bayscan] HERE IS A STRANGE SCANNER

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-23 20:18:07 UTC
From: greg
Topic: 17852 Message: 17857

yah...

this "thing" came out over a year ago. some bonehead marketing idiot thought it would "really appeal" to the NASCAR and racing crowd in general. yah... right. last i heard, they weren't selling very well.

-g-

>> My biggest gripe about all of my scanners is that none
>> of them help me to see any better.
>
> Maybe someday instead of scanning just audio we'll be able to scan
> video, too ... nah, they'll probably outlaw it.
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

Replacing one addiction with another since 1970.

17858. Frisco SWAT active

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-24 04:14:25 UTC
From: J. Smith
Topic: 17858 Message: 17858

SFPD SWAT active on channel 14 regarding an incident near Balboa and 47th Avenue.

=-=-=

This is your life, and it's ending one minute at a time.

17859. More arrested at this year's sobriety checkpoints than last year

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-24 17:56:46 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 17859 Message: 17859

More arrested at this year's sobriety checkpoints than last year

A holiday drunken-driving crackdown has netted 66 arrests so far this year, compared with 48 over the same period last year, police reported yesterday.

The holiday campaign, known as "Avoid the Marin 13" for the county's 13 main law enforcement agencies, is operating over a 21-day period ending Jan. 2. The effort consists of extra roving DUI patrols with officers from all 13 agencies, plus periodic sobriety checkpoints on neighborhood streets.

During a DUI checkpoint Friday night on Redwood Boulevard in Novato, police screened 536 cars, administered eight sobriety tests and made one arrest, said program spokeswoman Margo Rohrbacher, a San Rafael police supervisor.

A previous checkpoint, on Dec. 13 in Terra Linda, was scuttled because of bad weather, but police still assigned nine roving DUI "strike teams" throughout the county, Rohrbacher said.

The two-officer strike teams made 81 stops, administered eight sobriety tests and made five arrests.

The next sobriety checkpoint is scheduled to begin at 8 p.m. Saturday in downtown Fairfax, Rohrbacher said. It will last about five hours.

Meanwhile, the California Highway Patrol's office in Marin is planning two drunken-driving crackdowns, one tonight and tomorrow and the other New Year's Eve and New Year's Day. Capt. Robert Morehen, at CHP headquarters in Corte Madera, said "all available officers" will be on the county's highways during those periods.

"Just one or two drinks can put you at risk and impair your ability to drive safely," Morehen said.

The "Avoid the 13" program, which is paid for through state grants, is also stepping up its public relations efforts this year. Rohrbacher said the program is running advertisements in the Independent Journal and local weekly newspapers, plus screening ads in local movie theaters and buying time on television cable Channel 50 and KSOL, a Spanish-language radio station.

"This year, we're concentrating more heavily on the commercial public-safety messages," she said.

Similar "Avoid" campaigns are in place in other Bay Area counties. In Alameda and San Mateo counties, for example, police have stopped 648 DUI suspects since the campaign began on Dec. 13.

Totals are down by 10 percent in Alameda County but are slightly ahead in San Mateo County compared with totals from the same period last year,

according to the California Office of Traffic and Safety, the agency that sponsors the program.

Alcohol-related fatalities are back on the rise in California for the third consecutive year after more than a decade of decline, according to the OTS. In 2001, 1,308 people were killed and more than 31,800 were injured in alcohol-related crashes. In 2000, 1,233 deaths and 30,971 injuries were reported.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17860. TESTING

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-24 18:27:52 UTC
From: Jeffrey <jpschil@hollinet.com>
Topic: 17860 Message: 17860

testing

17861. MERRY CHRISTMAS BAYSCAN!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-24 20:46:04 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17861 Message: 17861

Everyone have aver MERRY CHRISTMAS and be safe and enjoy family have fun!!!! Seasons Greetings.

17862. MERRY CHRISTMAS BAYSCAN

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-24 20:04:33 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17862 Message: 17862

Everyone have a very Merry Christmas!!! Be safe! Have fun. Enjoy family..

17863. Re: [bayscan] Frisco SWAT active

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-24 15:28:34 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17858 Message: 17863

The story: http://sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/c/a/2002/12/24/BA105324.DTL

--On Monday, December 23, 2002 20.14 -0800 "J. Smith" <device@...>
wrote:

> SFPD SWAT active on channel 14 regarding an incident near Balboa and 47th
> Avenue.
>
> =-=-=
>
> This is your life, and it's ending one minute at a time.

--
| Kenton A. Hoover / Private Citizen / San Francisco, California USA |
| shibumi@... / KC6SST.marchordie.org / +1.415.850.5924 |
| |
| You can do whatever you like if it's for "charity". If Hitler |
| had invaded Poland for spina bifida, it would have been |
| allright. -- Alexi Sayle |

17864. Christmas 2002!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-25 04:09:22 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 17864 Message: 17864

To all of my friends and acquaintances, all over the world:

Merry Christmas, Happy Hanukkah, or happy/merry whatever your celebration may be!

Just a personal note to those that have become friends and those that will become friends in the future...

This past year has been one of trouble and tribulation for many throughout the world. I hope you will join me in praying/hoping/wishing for peace and a better life for those suffering from starvation, violence, oppression, and poverty.

Cheers from Northern California!

Craig Allyn Rose

C. A. Rose
Executive Producer - Andromeda Films
Photographer - c + a ROSE PHOTOGRAPHY

Andromeda Films - http://www.andromedafilms.com

c + a ROSE PHOTOGRAPHY - http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/main.html

craigarose@...

"Quickly, bring me a beaker of wine, that I may wet my brain and say something clever."

- Aristophanies

17865. hello is this thing on?>

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-24 19:51:24 UTC
From: Jeffrey <jpschil@hollinet.com>
Topic: 17865 Message: 17865

testing

17866. Santa on the radio

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-24 18:53:10 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 17866 Message: 17866

Isnt it the San Mateo Sheriff's dept that every year on Christmas Eve does that funny BOL for Santa??

I know I recorded it last year and think I even have it posted on my page.

Robert
BayScan List Manager

PS-A Merry Christmas to everyone on the list and hope you all get the radios you want under your tree :)

17867. CALTRANS FREQUENCIES

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-24 17:41:57 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17867 Message: 17867

Ok so Im home laid up with my bumm knee stoned on pain killers

what better time to work on excel spread sheets I got all of distric 3 and
most of bay area and
salinas area and just a few others but here you go happy travels

(hope it snows)

Jeffrey in Hollister<><

17868. santa freq.s

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-24 22:21:12 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17868 Message: 17868

As Christmas approaches, Santa is busy delivering toys. Currently he is in Australia and will be traveling west through Asia and Europe. You can track him (real time) at this site:

<http://www.noradsanta.org/English/radar/index.html>http://www.noradsanta.org/English/radar/index.html

As for frequencies, most are classified (for obvious reasons). However over the years he has been know to operate on these:

243.7 Primary Air-to-Ground (AM)
356.9 Secondary Air-to-Ground (AM)
420.0 Air-to-Air Intercom (FM low power) Used between Santa and the Reindeer
243.0 Emergency (AM)
111.3 Rudolph's beacon

Reception notes: At Santa's high cruising altitude, reception is possible for approx 1000 miles from his location.

North Pole Astro Digital Trunked Radio System (new for this year)

935.000
935.020
935.040
935.060
935.080

SantaSat 1 (45 degrees West)

2153 SantaSat 1 F1 Primary Phone (TDMA)
2251 SantaSat 1 F2 Secondary Phone (TDMA)

There are 4 SantaSats located in geostationary orbit over the Earth. The above is over the Atlantic at 45 degrees West longitude. There is one over the Pacific named SantaSat 2 but it's at 179 degrees West longitude and cannot be received on the East Coast of the USA.

17869. New file uploaded to bayscan

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-24 17:40:08 UTC
From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 3879 Message: 17869

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the bayscan
group.

File : /CALTRANS.xls
Uploaded by : jeffreyinhollister <jpschil@...>
Description : SOME OF CALTRANS FREQS AND TONES (WORK IN PROGRESS

You can access this file at the URL

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/files/CALTRANS.xls

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit

http://help.yahoo.com/help/us/groups/files

Regards,

jeffreyinhollister <jpschil@...>

17870. Re: [bayscan] hello is this thing on?>/ Mt.View Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-24 22:15:37 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17870 Message: 17870

Ah, yeah its on. BTW Merry Christmas guys, going up to the wine country tomorrow, so I am a day early. Happy scanning for the holidays

As usual during the holidays, there always seems to be a tragedy. Early this morning, I monitored a 2nd alarm at 1008 Miramonte at Park in Mt. View, in addition to the 2nd alarm, they called an MCI alert and requested 3 AMR rigs code 3. Although they rescued 3 people, they had one DOA inside and the 2 adults transported are critical. a child was also rescued. Went by around 10am , 3 TV stations with their dish/trucks on scene and a whole lots of Mt. View Police including their Command Van. KPIX is reporting they, at least for know, have not found anything to believe its arson. But they are obviously doing a very intense investigation.
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17871. Re: [bayscan] Santa on the radio

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-24 22:23:45 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17866 Message: 17871

Robert you did send my BC250 D right?(LOL)

happy birthday Jesus

Jeffrey<><

At 10:53 AM 12/24/2002 -0800, you wrote:
>Isnt it the San Mateo Sheriff's dept that every year on Christmas Eve does
>that funny BOL for Santa??
>
>I know I recorded it last year and think I even have it posted on my page.
>
>Robert
>BayScan List Manager
>
>PS-A Merry Christmas to everyone on the list and hope you all get the
>radios you want under your tree :)
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17872. Re: [bayscan] Santa on the radio

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-24 22:24:06 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17866 Message: 17872

Merry Christmas Robert, you were close .....one more county south
Santa Clara Sheriffs Office does that, its great 156,210
Ed

California personal license H-O-H-O-H-O
again, thats California HoHoHo that the one?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17873. Re: [bayscan] Christmas 2002!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-24 22:25:42 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17864 Message: 17873

Merry Christmas and a digital scanner to
all!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!At 08:09 PM 12/24/2002 -0800, you wrote:
>To all of my friends and acquaintances, all over the world:
>
>Merry Christmas, Happy Hanukkah, or happy/merry whatever your celebration
>may be!
>
>Just a personal note to those that have become friends and those that will
>become friends in the future...
>
>This past year has been one of trouble and tribulation for many throughout
>the world. I hope you will join me in praying/hoping/wishing for peace and a
>better life for those suffering from starvation, violence, oppression, and
>poverty.
>
>Cheers from Northern California!
>
>Craig Allyn Rose
>
>
>----------
>C. A. Rose
>Executive Producer - Andromeda Films
>Photographer - c + a ROSE PHOTOGRAPHY
>
>Andromeda Films - <http://www.andromedafilms.com>http://www.andromedafilms.com
>
>c + a ROSE PHOTOGRAPHY -
><http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/main.html>http://www.andromedafilms.com/carose
photo/main.html
>
>craigarose@...
>
>
>"Quickly, bring me a beaker of wine, that I may wet my brain and say
>something clever."
>
> - Aristophanies
>----------
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17874. Kyoto Sushi, San Francisco

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-24 22:35:43 UTC
From: J. Smith
Topic: 17874 Message: 17874

Was one of you guys in Kyoto shushi, Van Ness near Daniel Burnham Court, last night? There was a bloke with a scanner sitting across from our table, listening to SFFD it seemed like.

I woulda said 'hello', but I'm shy, I was drunk (ahh, saki!), and the wife would've felt ignored, etc.

=-=-=

This is your life, and it's ending one minute at a time.

17875. Re: HERE IS A STRANGE SCANNER

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-24 17:48:15 UTC
From: Jeffrey <jpschil@hollinet.com>
Topic: 17852 Message: 17875

-you know I got thinking (to much time on My hands) $89 for a 100
channel scanner really is not a bad price it gets the 800 band and if
you read the spects it sounds like it has alpha tags
also.....ho,ho ho

-- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "greg" <vector@v...> wrote:
> yah...
>
>
> this "thing" came out over a year ago. some bonehead marketing
idiot
> thought it would "really appeal" to the NASCAR and racing crowd in
> general. yah... right. last i heard, they weren't selling very
well.
>
> -g-
>
>
> >> My biggest gripe about all of my scanners is that none
> >> of them help me to see any better.
> >
> > Maybe someday instead of scanning just audio we'll be able to scan
> > video, too ... nah, they'll probably outlaw it.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> > http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
> -----
>
> Replacing one addiction with another since 1970.

17876. own your own 800 mhz privacy moto trunking system

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-25 04:11:17 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 17876 Message: 17876

hehehe anyone wanna go in on this for christmas...? =) would be the first
moto system for hammers and scanners... =)

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=296&item=1946051070

www.mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & central California

17877. Santa Alert on SCCSO now, 156.210

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-25 04:39:44 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 17877 Message: 17877

[Body unavailable in the source archive]

17878. Re: [bayscan] Santa Alert on SCCSO now, 156.210

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-25 04:43:39 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 17877 Message: 17878

Some of the highlights - His Sleigh has a avoid the 13 sticker, and displaying a illegal red light. Dispatcher stopped in the middle (i.e no wrap up or anything) - unit advised 10-97 (real call), another unit advised he had a possible visual southbound. Wasn't worth recording IMHO - last years was good, this one .... iffy. I know, perhaps a call came in, but it just... ended in the middle.

Merry Christmas all. :)

Evan

17879. BOL for Santa

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-25 04:53:17 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 17879 Message: 17879

Here is the link for the audio I recorded last year:

http://bayscan1.50megs.com/sc1.wav

17880. Re: [bayscan] Encryption ruins my fun.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-25 05:57:56 UTC
From: Kenton A Hoover
Topic: 17237 Message: 17880

Came back to this on a dull Christmas eve. Anyway, from looking at the terminal units in the bus shelter, it appears that they get their data from the airwaves. Anyone know anything about this?

On Monday, Dec 2, 2002, at 09:15 US/Pacific, Jordan Hayes wrote:

> But see http://www.nextbus.com/ for a different approach.
>

--
| Kenton A. Hoover / Private Citizen / San Francisco, California USA |
| shibumi@... / KC6SST.marchordie.org / +1.415.850.5924 |
| |
| "The history of the world, my sweet |
| Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
| But fortunately, it's also clear |
| That everybody goes down well with beer." |
| -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17881. Re: [bayscan] Santa Alert on SCCSO now, 156.210

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-25 06:05:32 UTC
From: Scott
Topic: 17877 Message: 17881

The SCC Sheriff just ran the BLO for St. Nick again @ 2200... I was on
my pro-95 so I didn't
get a chance to record it...

-Scott
KG6NXU

On Tuesday, Dec 24, 2002, at 20:43 US/Pacific, Evan Platt wrote:

> Some of the highlights - His Sleigh has a avoid the 13 sticker, and
> displaying a illegal red light. Dispatcher stopped in the middle (i.e
> no
> wrap up or anything) - unit advised 10-97 (real call), another unit
> advised
> he had a possible visual southbound. Wasn't worth recording IMHO - last
> years was good, this one .... iffy. I know, perhaps a call came in,
> but it
> just... ended in the middle.
>
> Merry Christmas all. :)
>
> Evan
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17882. What's sunnyvale working?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-25 06:26:04 UTC
From: Evan <evan@espphotography.com>
Topic: 17882 Message: 17882

Sounds like a structure, 10 minutes elapsed, 5 inch supply line laid and charged.

482.7125.

Evan

17883. Re: What's sunnyvale working?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-25 06:43:00 UTC
From: Evan <evan@espphotography.com>
Topic: 17882 Message: 17883

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Evan <evan@e...>" <evan@e...> wrote:
> Sounds like a structure, 10 minutes elapsed, 5 inch supply line laid
> and charged.
>
> 482.7125.
>
> Evan

1120 W. Olive @ Liota - AMR requested for a subject 'down' due to smoke inhilation it sounds like. I can't make out any more on the fire (size, etc), but it sounds like an apartment fire.

Evan

17884. Re: [bayscan] Re: What's sunnyvale working?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-25 07:09:11 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17882 Message: 17884

I just caught Fire support saying they were responding to the 2nd alarm, and its an apartment bldg., but I don't have the location? anyone have it?
ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17885. More on the fire from last night

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-25 07:19:14 UTC
From: Kenton A Hoover
Topic: 17885 Message: 17885

Very sad.

http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?file=/c/a/2002/12/25/FIRE.TMP

17886. Slow Speed Pursuit Underway on SJPD 4 460.425 00:02 Wednesday

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-25 08:03:01 UTC
From: Doug Owens
Topic: 17882 Message: 17886

[Body unavailable in the source archive]

17887. Code 4 --> Was --> [bayscan] Slow Speed Pursuit Underway on SJPD 4 460.425 00:02 Wednesday

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-25 08:04:49 UTC
From: Doug Owens
Topic: 17882 Message: 17887

Suspect 10-15, multiple units on scene. Complete Code 4.

-----Original Message-----
From: Doug Owens [mailto:radiobuff@...]
Sent: Wednesday, December 25, 2002 12:03 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Slow Speed Pursuit Underway on SJPD 4 460.425 00:02 Wednesday

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17888. Re: [bayscan] Re: What's sunnyvale working?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-25 13:59:11 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 17882 Message: 17888

I responded to myself... 1120 W. Olive @ Liota . I'm assuming that's the same fire.

Evan

At 11:09 PM 12/24/2002, you wrote:
>I just caught Fire support saying they were responding to the 2nd alarm, and
>its an apartment bldg., but I don't have the location? anyone have it?

17889. Re: Santa Alert on SCCSO now, 156.210

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-25 18:48:39 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond
Topic: 17877 Message: 17889

Arresting Santa Claus

I saw them arresting Santa Claus
In the airport line on Christmas Day
They read him all his rights
And locked the handcuffs tight
And then they led the poor old elf away.

Wrapped and sealed were all his packages
Could not search for safety at the gate
No passport did he hold,
Bur walked up all so bold
And tried to get on board; it was too late.

Nobody believes what he can say to them,
ID he has none, nor passport too.
The reindeer in the lot
Have by now all been shot,
And Christmas gift delivering is through.

Anyone who spies on kiddies, now,
And knows what they have done the whole year long,
Must be a master spy
To let nothing go by;
The CIA wants Santa to say how.

Oh, I saw them arresting Santa Claus
In the airport line on Christmas Day
They read him all his rights
And locked the handcuffs tight
And then they led the poor old elf away!

Copyright Cortland Richmond 2001

17890. Re: [bayscan] Frisco SWAT active

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-25 19:17:47 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17858 Message: 17890

More on this story:
http://sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/c/a/2002/12/25/BA67124.DTL

--On Tuesday, December 24, 2002 7.28 -0800 "Kenton A. Hoover"
<shibumi@...> wrote:

> The story:
> http://sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/c/a/2002/12/24/BA105324.DTL
>
> --On Monday, December 23, 2002 20.14 -0800 "J. Smith" <device@...>
> wrote:
>
>> SFPD SWAT active on channel 14 regarding an incident near Balboa and 47th
>> Avenue.
>>
>> =-=-=
>>
>> This is your life, and it's ending one minute at a time.
>
>
> --
>| Kenton A. Hoover / Private Citizen / San Francisco, California USA |
>| shibumi@... / KC6SST.marchordie.org / +1.415.850.5924 |
>| |
>| You can do whatever you like if it's for "charity". If Hitler |
>| had invaded Poland for spina bifida, it would have been |
>| allright. -- Alexi Sayle |
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

--
| Kenton A. Hoover / Private Citizen / San Francisco, California USA |
| shibumi@... / KC6SST.marchordie.org / +1.415.850.5924 |
| |
| "The history of the world, my sweet |
| Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
| But fortunately, it's also clear |
| That everybody goes down well with beer." |
| -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |

17891. Davis, CA 3rd Alarm Structure Fire 1845

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-26 02:47:33 UTC
From: Tim Tribble
Topic: 17891 Message: 17891

3rd Alarm 2nd and "P" Street, Togos Command

Auto Aid request for W.Sac Squad 41 and Chief 4101

Unknown frequencies, I cannot hear Davis I picke this
up from W.Sac's dispatch.

=====
Donald "Tim" Tribble, KD6MDV
Sacramento, CA
"Our Blessed Lady of Acceleration, don't fail me now!!!"

17892. New file uploaded to bayscan

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-26 02:58:04 UTC
From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 3879 Message: 17892

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the bayscan
group.

File : /CA PSAP Frequencies.xls
Uploaded by : fm20k0f3e <bfinster@...>
Description : List of Public Safety Answering Points for Central & Northern CA along with primary fire & law dispatch frequencies. Work in progress...please send corrections to bfinster@...

You can access this file at the URL

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/files/CA%20PSAP%20Frequencies.xls

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit

http://help.yahoo.com/help/us/groups/files

Regards,

fm20k0f3e <bfinster@...>

17893. Story on EPA 3-alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-26 05:51:26 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17893 Message: 17893

Please remember to change your smoke detector batteries.

http://sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/c/a/2002/12/26/FIRE.TMP

--
| Kenton A. Hoover / Private Citizen / San Francisco, California USA |
| shibumi@... / KC6SST.marchordie.org / +1.415.850.5924 |
| |
| "The history of the world, my sweet |
| Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
| But fortunately, it's also clear |
| That everybody goes down well with beer." |
| -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |

17894. Missing CHP Officer in Marin

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-26 06:57:39 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 17894 Message: 17894

There was a search for some 5150 in the area of Fort Baker Road in
Sausalito with the Sausalito PD, Marin Sheriff and also the CHP.
Somehow during the search one of the CHP officers is missing. His patrol
vehicle is there but they cannot locate him.
Air 31 is about to fly overhead and see if they can find anything.

The communications are taking place on 41.160 right now at 10:56 PM..
Im recording it and if it becomes anything interesting I will post it later.
Other frequencies are:
Marin Sheriff-39.240
Sausalito PD-39.880
Bridge PD-482.7375

Robert
BayScan List Manager

17895. Office Located

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-26 07:11:41 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 17895 Message: 17895

Well I guess this officer just didn't have a radio on him and was wandering around in the bushes for the 5150 suspect who is still at large..

Funny the dispatcher said "tell him he scared us" and the officer said "yeah I think he knows that" lol.

Glad everything is ok I was starting to think as Im sure they were that he ran into the 5150 and was in trouble.

17896. Re: [bayscan] Office Located

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-26 07:25:19 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 17895 Message: 17896

At 11:11 PM 12/25/2002, you wrote:
>Well I guess this officer just didn't have a radio on him and was wandering
>around in the bushes for the 5150 suspect who is still at large..
>
>Funny the dispatcher said "tell him he scared us" and the officer said
>"yeah I think he knows that" lol.
>
>Glad everything is ok I was starting to think as Im sure they were that he
>ran into the 5150 and was in trouble.

All the more reason to ditch the low band repeater / extender. If the officer gets more than about a half mile from his car - his extender will essentially become a brick.

Evan

17897. Re: [bayscan] 5150 call by Ft.Baker

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-26 07:55:28 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17897 Message: 17897

I heard the bridge first put this out as I was coming home from the Napa Valley around 2030 or so. The person they are looking for is a female, barefoot and had bi-polar disorder. I didn't catch her description as I was more interested in avoiding all the idiots out there. Seemed more like a weekend night, obviously too much holiday cheer for way too many. The worst being the idiot who went from lane 1 to the cow palace exit on S/B 101 who came as close to hitting me as anyone has in years. Glad my brakes are in good working order and it didn't start to rain yet.
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17898. BC250Ds on the way

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-26 16:05:57 UTC
From: Ed <caladded@aol.com>
Topic: 17898 Message: 17898

notice on strong signals as of 12-17 that the first shipment of the new digital HHs arrived in Fort Worth. I was in Scanners unlimited a few days ago and Glenn had mentioned he was overnighting the first 48 on them and then regular ground shipment would bring his 2nd order of another 48. That doesn't mean you can go down there and pick one up. He has a list for most , if not all of those first 96 scanners. I know I am number 51 so I expect mine within about a week and a half.

I will post my feelings on it once I get a few days to play around with it. The software is not here yet :-(as I have no plans to alpha tag a 1000 channel scanner the hard way. I did my first 780 that way and it was a royal pain in the A_ _ .

A question , especially for Harry. Can you give me a link to the most recent list of frequencys for LASO ,LACOFD and LAFD . Although I like monitoring the LAPD , I simply am not going to buy the card as I will be going through the City of Angels on the way to Spring training in March But ofr just one day thru and one day back , not worth it.....now, my birthday is in September , maybe if I talk and treat the X-ray real nice ??????

hope everyone had a nice Christmas
Ed

17899. Re: [bayscan] Office Located

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-26 17:55:56 UTC
From: Terry Taylor
Topic: 17895 Message: 17899

> All the more reason to ditch the low band repeater / extender. If the
> officer gets more than about a half mile from his car - his extender will
> essentially become a brick.

So they can buy an over-priced 800 mhz trunked system that MIGHT cover
25% of the area they cover? CHP is different from other agencies, that is
why they have stayed on low band, for the coverage they can get with it.

It will be a sad day (when, not if) they move to a trunked system. There
is almost no way to build a trunked system that will offer the coverage
that they get with low band (oh, it COULD, MAYBE be done, but you
would not like the price (and the additional taxes) that would cost.

Terry Taylor, N6MON
OES 51202

17900. Re: [bayscan] Office Located

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-26 18:15:44 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 17895 Message: 17900

Terry Taylor said:
> So they can buy an over-priced 800 mhz trunked system that MIGHT cover
> 25% of the area they cover? CHP is different from other agencies, that
> is why they have stayed on low band, for the coverage they can get with
> it.
>
> It will be a sad day (when, not if) they move to a trunked system. There
> is almost no way to build a trunked system that will offer the coverage
> that they get with low band (oh, it COULD, MAYBE be done, but you
> would not like the price (and the additional taxes) that would cost.

Nowhere did I say ditch low band for 800 - there's gotta be another
solution. Or maybe there's not. But how often has it became a safety issue
when the officers HT was unable to reach the cars extender?

Evan

17901. Fire White 2 active 154.265/ Possible LPFD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-26 19:07:48 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17901 Message: 17901

Getting some talk on Fire White 2 sounds like LPFD talking about streets in livermore anyways concannon and arroyo etc. Not sure whats going on. I know LPFD is on Tac 2 TG 752 about a gas leak somewhere, could this be one in the same?? anyone else capture anything?

17902. RE: [bayscan] Office Located

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-26 19:21:19 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 17895 Message: 17902

why not move to a 151-159 band for everyone? wouldn't that help or am i missing something?

www.mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & central California

-----Original Message-----
From: Evan Platt [mailto:evan@...]
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2002 10:16 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Office Located

Terry Taylor said:
> So they can buy an over-priced 800 mhz trunked system that MIGHT cover
> 25% of the area they cover? CHP is different from other agencies, that
> is why they have stayed on low band, for the coverage they can get with
> it.
>
> It will be a sad day (when, not if) they move to a trunked system. There
> is almost no way to build a trunked system that will offer the coverage
> that they get with low band (oh, it COULD, MAYBE be done, but you
> would not like the price (and the additional taxes) that would cost.

Nowhere did I say ditch low band for 800 - there's gotta be another solution. Or maybe there's not. But how often has it became a safety issue when the officers HT was unable to reach the cars extender?

Evan

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17903. RE: [bayscan] Fire White 2 active 154.265/ Possible LPFD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-26 19:22:40 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 17901 Message: 17903

i've been getting amr on alco trunk transmitting on white 1 for the past month.. don't know whats going on in the slightest... thought at first it was a patch acciodentally opened but this is far too long for that.. alco pick up fremont fd on white 1 alco

www.mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & central California

-----Original Message-----
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@...>
[mailto:copenhagen1971@...]
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2002 11:08 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Fire White 2 active 154.265/ Possible LPFD

Getting some talk on Fire White 2 sounds like LPFD talking about streets in livermore anyways concannon and arroyo etc. Not sure whats going on. I know LPFD is on Tac 2 TG 752 about a gas leak somewhere, could this be one in the same?? anyone else capture anything?

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17904. Re: [bayscan] CHP radios

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-26 19:25:36 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17904 Message: 17904

Since I am certainly no expert on radios. But, it sure seems as I monitor the CHP, especially since the Golden Gate Division went to repeater on the lowland they would be a way to make the HHs better, if there is in fact a problem. I have to agree, an 800mhz wouldn't suit the CHP. I don't recall ever hearing a problem with the radios and I sure have heck have with the 800 MHz stuff. there has to be another way other than a whole new system, which would be very expensive as pointed out by Terry.
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17905. San Joaquin co. Fire all call

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-26 19:26:59 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17905 Message: 17905

Just heard an "all call" for a structure fire in Tracy. Operating on "gold" 154.310 which is south cnty. fire tac. also try 155.055 or 154.130 not hearing anything on "gold" yet.

17906. Re: [bayscan] Office Located

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-26 19:30:03 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17895 Message: 17906

Do you really think the state has the money? The Gov. is cutting programs like crazy now, I would doubt the CHP would be able to talk the powers to be in Sac to buy a whole new system .....even if they wanted too. Again, what is wrong with the low band? why change the ALL four tires when only one has a nail in it?
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17907. What Gear did we get for Christmas?????

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-26 19:31:08 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17907 Message: 17907

So did everyone have a nice Christmas?? I know I did!! The Wife got me a digital/video/web all in one cam! was a huge suprise. Now I can instantaneously upload pics and even video now to the site. what hobby related gear did anyone else get?? lets hear it!

17908. Re: [bayscan] What Gear did we get for Christmas?????

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-26 19:34:02 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17907 Message: 17908

Well,,,,,,,, I got a couple FF. statues, actually nice to add to my collection of fire related stuff. One resembles, but not exact, of the three FDNY firefighters and the Flag. No scanner stuff. I have taken the X-ray into Scanners Unlimited twice now (hint,hint,hint) but nothing yet :-(
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17909. if money were not an object / on topic

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-26 19:51:10 UTC
From: Ed <caladded@aol.com>
Topic: 17909 Message: 17909

I see someone won the powerball for 315 Million (damn) IF it were you, keeping your scanner hobby in mind. Where would you buy/build a home to monitor practically everything you wish ?
Ed

17910. Re: [bayscan] Office Located

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-26 20:11:10 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 17895 Message: 17910

Ben kellogg wrote:
> why not move to a 151-159 band for everyone? wouldn't that help or am i
> missing something?
>

Lack of available spectrum, for starters. Since 150 is not set up in pairs, and covers so well, reuse is a big issue. The main reason CDF went to CTCSS on their repeater outputs was the issue of dispatch centers in one area setting off stations in an area a couple hundreds miles away (for example, Butte Unit Dispatch causing CZU Station 17 (Belmont) to roll out the door in the middle of the night on multiple occasions).

And Ed, the main reasons to leave lowband are the lack of available new base station equipment (the big manufacturers don't make it any more), high end mobiles, and interoperability.

Don

17911. Re: [bayscan] CHP radios

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-26 20:24:05 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 17904 Message: 17911

caladded@... wrote:
> Since I am certainly no expert on radios. But, it sure seems as I monitor the
> CHP, especially since the Golden Gate Division went to repeater on the
> lowland they would be a way to make the HHs better, if there is in fact a
> problem. I have to agree, an 800mhz wouldn't suit the CHP. I don't recall
> ever hearing a problem with the radios and I sure have heck have with the 800
> MHz stuff. there has to be another way other than a whole new system, which
> would be very expensive as pointed out by Terry.
> Ed

Actually, a number of state agencies (including the CHP) have been looking at the new 700 MHz band as an answer. The problem? we can't gain access to it for another 5 years while TV moves out.

As far as the cost? We're going to have to pay it one way or the other, as the existing infrastructure wears out and is replaced.

So do we just replace what is there and maintian the status quo [33, 42, 47, 151, 154, 460, 856, 866 MHz], with agencies using different types of radios [EDACS, SmartNet, LTR] and not being able to communicate with each other? Or do we build a new system with everyone on one technology platform in one or two bands, and save some money in the process through the power of quantity purchasing?

Just my $.02
Don

17912. Old radio equipment

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-26 21:01:37 UTC
From: efhoppe@juno.com
Topic: 17912 Message: 17912

On Thu, 26 Dec 2002 12:11:10 -0800 Don Root <k6cdo@...> writes:
> Ben kellogg wrote:
<SNIP>
> And Ed, the main reasons to leave lowband are the lack of available
> new base station equipment (the big manufacturers don't make it any
> more), > high end mobiles, and interoperability.
>
> Don
>
There seems to be a need for replacement equipment on the lower bands but
no one makes it anymore. Why? No money in it? How about they want to
force agencies to buy the new expensive 800 MHz stuff instead so they
don't bother to make replacement parts even though there is a market for
them. This sounds like what M$ does.

Earl
CALIFORNIA ~ USA
KE6CIM
Moderator PC-TECHNICAL
mailto:subscribe-PC-Technical@yahoogroups.com

Sign Up for Juno Platinum Internet Access Today
Only $9.95 per month!
Visit www.juno.com

17913. Re: [bayscan] Old radio equipment

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-26 21:07:38 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 17912 Message: 17913

efhoppe@... wrote:
> On Thu, 26 Dec 2002 12:11:10 -0800 Don Root <k6cdo@...> writes:
>
> <SNIP>
>
> There seems to be a need for replacement equipment on the lower bands but
> no one makes it anymore. Why? No money in it? How about they want to
> force agencies to buy the new expensive 800 MHz stuff instead so they
> don't bother to make replacement parts even though there is a market for
> them. This sounds like what M$ does.
>
> Earl

I won't disagree...
Don

17914. Re: [bayscan] Marin CHP Officer

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-26 21:40:53 UTC
From: Tim T
Topic: 17914 Message: 17914

****
From: Evan Platt <evan@...>
Subject: Re: Office Located

At 11:11 PM 12/25/2002, you wrote:
>Well I guess this officer just didn't have a radio on
him and was
wandering
>around in the bushes for the 5150 suspect who is
still at large..
>
>Funny the dispatcher said "tell him he scared us" and
the officer said
>"yeah I think he knows that" lol.
>
>Glad everything is ok I was starting to think as Im
sure they were
that he
>ran into the 5150 and was in trouble.

All the more reason to ditch the low band repeater /
extender. If the
officer gets more than about a half mile from his car
- his extender
will
essentially become a brick.

Evan
****

Not at all, Evan. The MT-1000 handheld isn't solely
a link between the mobile extender in the car & the
officer. The problem was that he apparently didn't
have a radio with him when he was out on foot. If he
did, he could have communicated directly with other
CHP officers on 154.905, plus CALCORD, et al.

Tim

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail Plus - Powerful. Affordable. Sign up now.
http://mailplus.yahoo.com

17915. Re: [bayscan] Old radio equipment

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-26 21:40:46 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17912 Message: 17915

OK, for Don -- you bring up some good points. Starting with there is of course the need to replace old equipment. No matter what the reason, if the low band stuff isn't available, even if its a conspiracy by the big M or if its a simple fact that the equipment simply doesn't exist anymore, and that may certainly be true. then what, in your opinion, would be the best avenue for the CHP to go. (1) wait the 5+ years for 700mhz or (2) another option ??? I can believe the equipment for low band may not be available, based upon the fact that outside the CHP, there slowly but surely are less and less users on low-band.
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17916. Re: [bayscan] Marin CHP Officer

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-26 21:47:13 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 17914 Message: 17916

Tim T said:
> **********
>
> Not at all, Evan. The MT-1000 handheld isn't solely
> a link between the mobile extender in the car & the
> officer. The problem was that he apparently didn't
> have a radio with him when he was out on foot. If he
> did, he could have communicated directly with other
> CHP officers on 154.905, plus CALCORD, et al.

I understood that, however the point I was making is if the officer gets more than about half a mile from his car, the extender CAN become a paperweight. Sure, he can communicate on 154.905 - IF there's other officers around. He can also come up on CALCORD, but often the area he's in there's no one monitoring. I know, nothings going to change, but I can put in my .02. :)

Evan

17917. Re: [bayscan] Old radio equipment

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-26 22:02:26 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 17912 Message: 17917

caladded@... wrote:
> OK, for Don -- you bring up some good points. Starting with there is of
> course the need to replace old equipment. No matter what the reason, if the
> low band stuff isn't available, even if its a conspiracy by the big M or if
> its a simple fact that the equipment simply doesn't exist anymore, and that
> may certainly be true. then what, in your opinion, would be the best avenue
> for the CHP to go. (1) wait the 5+ years for 700mhz or (2) another option
> ??? I can believe the equipment for low band may not be available, based
> upon the fact that outside the CHP, there slowly but surely are less and less
> users on low-band.

To put together a statewide solution that will fit the needs of all of
the state agencies (including the CHP) will take years, and will have to
be done on an area-by-area basis. In the meantime, some state agencies
are exploring partnerships with regional systems such as the Sacramento
City/County system, San Diego's Regional Commuications System, and
others. I can't speak for the CHP (and therefore I won't speculate) and
their plans.

Also, as digital and interoperability techniques mature, a number of
current barriers to interoperability (150 vs. 800, for example) will
most likely fall.

The next 5 - 10 years promise to be exciting to watch as technology
continues to advance by great leaps.

Don

17918. Re: [bayscan] CHP radio issues...(lengthy)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-26 22:16:43 UTC
From: greg
Topic: 17912 Message: 17918

CHP is definately stuck with what they have for now.

The extenders are a necessary evil unfortunately. Tests done quite some time ago proved that a lowband portable proved useless in nearly 95% of the state. Portables are just too inefficient with a rubber duck antenna at the lowband range even with a full 5 watts. The "repeater" setups being used by CHP in the bay now are really just cross-patched receive and transmit at the dispatcher's console. (unless the info I've been given is wrong).

The big issue with the extenders is the portables being kicked down to 2-watts and the mobiles transmitting with something between .2 to .5 watts. To make matters worse, I think they de-tune the mobile extender on purpose to prevent it from hearing neighboring extenders (if anybody wants a full explanation on how extenders work, i'd be happy to give it). So with the mobile side somewhat deaf already and the portable transmitting at low power the range obviously sucks-ass.

I didn't realize the whole discussion of 700MHz migration for CHP was still happening. Last I heard, the 700MHz band migration for CHP was killed several years ago when the potential costs into the TENS OF BILLIONS for the state came up (this just confirmed 5 mins ago through a state radio tech that works on CHP's system). Somebody mentioned the state having to ask for federal aid to make it happen, and finally it was shot down entirely. The last rumor I had heard was CHP was discussing moving to 143MHz statewide (Wonder where the MARS / CAP and military bases using this slice would go, but the military has hundreds of MHz of spectrum... I'm sure they'd be fine). Coverage issues would be minimal and propagation good, but that project was scrapped as well. The reality is, as stated by somebody a few posts ago, CHP is not going to find comparable coverage out of anything other than staying on Low Band for the forseeable future.

It's sad to see /\/\ working overtime to ditch all their lowband gear and offerings in favor of the 800MHz and up gear. (OH, BTW, Nextel has had approval and are currently building-out in the 900MHz band too... expect the noise-floor to go through the roof there in the near future!) You can still find quality lowband gear available from Kenwood these days (watching Kenwood move from bottom of the barrel to a real contender in LMR the past couple of years has been very gratifying for me). In fact a friend of mine recently sold some of the high-power 160 channel lowband Kenwood mobiles to Caltrans in the Monterey area. So far they love the radios and the fact they can hear CHP on them as well. The current repeater project was done primarily so the officers could hear each other in the bay, but it did take some upgrades to the consoles to allow the audio-patching to work. I think there were some minor changes made to the

tx/rx sites, but I don't have any real data there.

CHP just recently re-vamped their entire frequency plan statewide. In addition to the regular dispatch frequency pairs, they added an entire lowband tactical pool. No, sorry, don't have the new list but hope to obtain it soon.

IMHO, the best thing CHP could do would be to start retrofitting the mobile extenders in the cars with an improved system. The idea I'm thinking of would involve about 5 dedicated highband channels (or UHF, if space could be found) statewide that CHP could have undisputed access to for extender work, regardless of location. Crank up the mobile output and portable output power levels to a full 5w and have the extender perform automatic frequency selection once activated. Unfortunately this would involve being able to steer the portable to the proper frequency once activated without the officer having to make the selection from the channel switch or worry that he's not on the right channel. The range would be increased dramatically and worries about losing the link with the car would be greatly reduced. I just can't imagine what type of system would be needed and in the end the cost of such a beast would make it unfeasable for installation to all the vehicles. Oh well...

Last but not least, CHP has other frequencies on their portables keeping them from being completely useless bricks in an emergency. Among the frequencies there, one or two of the CLEMARS channels, CALCORD and more... including local options occasionally for certain areas that are using highband for local law etc. I think for interoperability purposes, however, it wouldn't hurt for each unit to have a separate highband radio in the car with the same frequency plan of mutual-aid channels the portables contain, maybe with local options as well. There's a whole mess of used highband mobiles everywhere, if the state had the presence of mind to allow purchase of used mobiles to be put into service.

-g-

Replacing one addiction with another since 1970.

17921. Re: [bayscan] oakland fire house & fireboat possible close due to budget cuts

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-27 02:35:50 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17920 Message: 17921

Aw Ben, the next Robin Williams! Actually, the SFFD cross-staffs Fireboat 1 (Phoenix) with Engine 35s crew of four. If the Boat goes out, Engine 35 is placed out of service. If they also need Fireboat 2 (The Guardian) another Engine is sent to Station 35 and they man FB 2.
Of course both boats have a marine crew of 2 who are not FFs.
ED
Not sure of OFD does that at their Station 2 or not.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17922. Re: CHP radio issues

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-27 15:36:30 UTC
From: Bundy Chanock
Topic: 17922 Message: 17922

Excellent posting, Grogster. Very thoughtful.

I can remember last year during a Golden Gate Bridge exercise that George Lowry from Don's office put a CHP Jedi on a scope
that was there, and it barely registered output wattage. I can also remember that they had difficulty talking from one end of the
bridge to the other on their portables. I never asked George why they are tuned down so low, but I'm sure that there's a reason.
Some folks recommended turning up the extender portables for the officers working near the GG and the Bay Bridges,
although I'm not sure what that would buy them.

I'm sure that the tuning down of wattage is some kind of interference issue, but maybe Don can address that. I really don't know much about it.

Bundy

Date: Thu, 26 Dec 2002 14:16:43 -0800 (PST)
From: "greg" <vector@...>
Subject: Re: CHP radio issues...(lengthy)

CHP is definately stuck with what they have for now.

(rest of message deleted for brevity).

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17923. Re: [bayscan] HERE IS A STRANGE SCANNER

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-27 15:38:19 UTC
From: Smokey Behr
Topic: 17852 Message: 17923

Icom IC-R3 has an LCD TV screen built into the radio, and goes up into the 2.4GHz range. You can look at all the TV channels, ATV channels, and those 2.4GHz "spycams".

On Mon, 23 Dec 2002 11:24:30 -0800, "Jordan Hayes" <jmhayes@...> wrote:

>Maybe someday instead of scanning just audio we'll be able to scan
>video, too ... nah, they'll probably outlaw it.

--
John "Smokey Behr" Gleichweit FF1/EMT
IPN-CAL086 N5VZQ UP Fresno Sub MP183.5
Incident Paging Network California Chapter Admin.
List Owner x4, Moderator x4.

17924. Re: [bayscan] if money were not an object / on topic

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-27 15:57:05 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17909 Message: 17924

I would put my house wherever I wanted and put remote receivers in areas where
I wanted to listen. I'd bring back the audio via VSAT or the Internet.

I'd also put together a BUD farm to scan the heavens.

Now, back to real work/world...

--On Thursday, December 26, 2002 19.51 +0000 "Ed <caladded@...>"
<caladded@...> wrote:

> I see someone won the powerball for 315 Million (damn) IF it were
> you, keeping your scanner hobby in mind. Where would you buy/build a
> home to monitor practically everything you wish ?
> Ed
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

--
| Kenton A. Hoover / Private Citizen / San Francisco, California USA |
| shibumi@... / KC6SST.marchordie.org / +1.415.850.5924 |
| |
| "The history of the world, my sweet |
| Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
| But fortunately, it's also clear |
| That everybody goes down well with beer." |
| -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |

17925. Re: [bayscan] HERE IS A STRANGE SCANNER

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-27 16:41:31 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 17852 Message: 17925

> Icom IC-R3 has an LCD TV screen built into the radio ...

Right!

Now if we could just get those 'on scene' to broadcast ... :-)

17926. Re: [bayscan] HERE IS A STRANGE SCANNER

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-27 16:46:49 UTC
From: PHILIP HUMES
Topic: 17852 Message: 17926

I was wondering when the ICR3 was going to appear. i was just sitting and waiting. That is supposed to be a great radio, and I want one.

Philip
----- Original Message -----
From: "Smokey Behr" <smokeybehr@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, December 27, 2002 7:38 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] HERE IS A STRANGE SCANNER

> Icom IC-R3 has an LCD TV screen built into the radio, and goes up into the
> 2.4GHz range. You can look at all the TV channels, ATV channels, and those
> 2.4GHz "spycams".
>
> On Mon, 23 Dec 2002 11:24:30 -0800, "Jordan Hayes" <jmhayes@...>
> wrote:
>
> >Maybe someday instead of scanning just audio we'll be able to scan
> >video, too ... nah, they'll probably outlaw it.
>
> --
> John "Smokey Behr" Gleichweit FF1/EMT
> IPN-CAL086 N5VZQ UP Fresno Sub MP183.5
> Incident Paging Network California Chapter Admin.
> List Owner x4, Moderator x4.
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

17927. Re: [bayscan] HERE IS A STRANGE SCANNER

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-27 16:52:02 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 17852 Message: 17927

> I was wondering when the ICR3 was going to appear.

The R-3 has been out for quite a while.

> That is supposed to be a great radio, and I want one.

Do you mean the R-5?

There's a Yahoo group for it:

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/icr5/

I don't know when it's going to be out, but it doesn't have SSB/USB/LSB
so it seems unlikely to tempt me from my R-10 ...

17928. Re: [bayscan] Re: CHP radio issues

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-27 17:44:54 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 17922 Message: 17928

Bundy Chanock wrote:
> Excellent posting, Grogster. Very thoughtful.

<snip>

> I'm sure that the tuning down of wattage is some kind of
>interference issue, but maybe Don can address that. I
>really don't know much about it.

Quite simple, actually.

Officer "A" is on a traffic stop southbound I-123 just north of SR-456, which is patrolled by the adjacent CHP Area (which operates on another CHP radio channel). Officer "B" is working a TC at the offramp westbound. With the HTs operating at their rated 5 watts, as Officer "A" runs the 4 miscreants in the car on the stop, he is keying the repeater in both cars, which is causing havoc on channel used by Officer "B."

Now, you might think "how often does that happen" day to day, but consider geography, and how much of the freeway system is elevated where the high spots look at freeways on adjacent channels. Then take into account that VHF signals tend to duct under thermal inversion layers (which is what causes smog, remember?) and can go great distances, especially over water.

Don

17929. Re: [bayscan] HERE IS A STRANGE SCANNER

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-27 18:48:29 UTC
From: Kenton A. Hoover
Topic: 17852 Message: 17929

The R3 is *not* a great radio. It's an OK radio but a royal pain to operate; very modal and difficult to override. However, if you want video, it's pretty much the only game in town.

--On Friday, December 27, 2002 8.46 -0800 PHILIP HUMES <PEHumes@...> wrote:

> I was wondering when the ICR3 was going to appear. i was just sitting and
> waiting. That is supposed to be a great radio, and I want one.
>
> Philip
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Smokey Behr" <smokeybehr@...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Friday, December 27, 2002 7:38 AM
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] HERE IS A STRANGE SCANNER
>
>
>> Icom IC-R3 has an LCD TV screen built into the radio, and goes up into the
>> 2.4GHz range. You can look at all the TV channels, ATV channels, and those
>> 2.4GHz "spycams".
>>
>> On Mon, 23 Dec 2002 11:24:30 -0800, "Jordan Hayes" <jmhayes@...>
>> wrote:
>>
>> > Maybe someday instead of scanning just audio we'll be able to scan
>> > video, too ... nah, they'll probably outlaw it.
>>
>> --
>> John "Smokey Behr" Gleichweit FF1/EMT
>> IPN-CAL086 N5VZQ UP Fresno Sub MP183.5
>> Incident Paging Network California Chapter Admin.
>> List Owner x4, Moderator x4.
>>
>>
>>
>> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

```
>
>
>

--
| Kenton A. Hoover / Private Citizen / San Francisco, California USA |
| shibumi@... / KC6SST.marchordie.org / +1.415.850.5924 |
| |
| "The history of the world, my sweet |
| Is who gets eaten and who gets to eat. |
| But fortunately, it's also clear |
| That everybody goes down well with beer." |
| -- Sweeney Todd, "Sweeney Todd" |
```

17930. Great Posts

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-27 18:55:16 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 17930 Message: 17930

I have to commend all of you for these great posts on the CHP radio system.

I don't think I have seen more informative information posted in quite some time!!!

This is exactly what this list is for! :)

Robert Sanford
BayScan List Manager

17931. Re: [bayscan] Re: CHP radio issues

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-27 20:02:21 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17922 Message: 17931

Interesting stuff, around the Bay there are 6 channels were the interference could occur. I can see where that would be a problem, especially since you pointed out the signal will travel over water with no problem.
Ed
(SF ,Oakland ,Marin ,Redwood City, San Jose, Hayward-Livermore) 6 different channels.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17932. Re: HERE IS A STRANGE SCANNER

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-27 20:06:20 UTC
From: ka5s <cortlandka5s@netscape.net>
Topic: 17852 Message: 17932

The R-3 doesn't do SSB, and the USA version omits 816-902 MHz. The video screen is non-functional below 30 Mhz, so the band-scope won't work below 30 MHz, either.

Cortland

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Jordan Hayes" <jmhayes@s...> wrote:

> I don't know when it's going to be out, but it doesn't have SSB/USB/LSB
> so it seems unlikely to tempt me from my R-10 ...

17933. Alameda County pubic safety

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-27 22:16:57 UTC
From: bredalrv1 <bredalrv@aol.com>
Topic: 17933 Message: 17933

Hi, I like to find out find out if the Alameda County pubic safety system uses a 3600 or 9600 baud control channel and can someone please tell me if any one uses 9600 baud control channels en California any information will be vary helpful

thanks

shon

17934. Re: [SCANnorCAL] Alameda County pubic safety

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-27 22:25:25 UTC
From: Tim Tribble
Topic: 17934 Message: 17934

Shon,

Look at www.trunkedradio.net they have a lot of
systems listed there. Alameda is one of them. I don't
remeber if it has the baud rate or not, I don't really
look for that.

--- "bredalrv1 <bredalrv@...>" <bredalrv@...>
wrote:
> Hi, I like to find out find out if the Alameda
> County pubic safety
> system uses a 3600 or 9600 baud control channel and
> can someone
> please tell me if any one uses 9600 baud control
> channels en
> California any information will be vary helpful
>
> thanks
>
> shon

=====
Donald "Tim" Tribble, KD6MDV
Sacramento, CA
"Would you like to supersize your order?"

17935. Re: Alameda County pubic safety, bod of baud info

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-27 22:40:21 UTC
From: Harry Marnell
Topic: 17935 Message: 17935

Here's the Alameda County system info, at
http://www.trunkedradio.net/modules.php?name=TRSDB&sid=109

Go to the "Related Links - Click Here
http://www.trunkedradio.net/groups/forumdisplay.php?forumid=42
to discuss this system in the Trunked Radio User Forum

I'll bet you'll get everything you could ever need in there. A super resource.

But remember it's not digital (yet anyway) and if it did go to APCO P-25 it
would almost by necessity be a "mixed" rather than "pure" P-25... and thus
virtually guaranteed to be 3600 (and "Uniden capable").... if that's what's
driving your question.

Harry

----- Original Message -----
From: <bredalrv@...>
To: <SCANnorCAL@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, December 27, 2002 14:18
Subject: [SCANnorCAL] Alameda County pubic safety

> Hi, I like to find out find out if the Alameda County pubic safety
> system uses a 3600 or 9600 baud control channel and can someone
> please tell me if any one uses 9600 baud control channels en
> California any information will be vary helpful
>
> thanks
>
> shon
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>

17936. Phoenix/Scottsdale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-27 22:49:23 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17936 Message: 17936

The X-ray and myself are going to Scottsdale in March for Giants spring training. I like to get this set up well in advance and have The Southwest Frequency Directory from summer 2001. But I wondering is there anyone on this list that has any "tips" you can offer for monitoring Phoenix area LE, Fire or EMS? tia
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17937. Re: [bayscan] Phoenix/Scottsdale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-27 23:09:54 UTC
From: Tim Tribble
Topic: 17936 Message: 17937

Ed,

This link has their Unit ID #'s:
http://www.ci.phoenix.az.us/FIRE/rdc.html

This is a good link for frequencies for area FD's
http://www.mghusa.com/scanner/fire.html

--- caladded@... wrote:
> The X-ray and myself are going to Scottsdale in
> March for Giants spring
> training. I like to get this set up well in advance
> and have The Southwest
> Frequency Directory from summer 2001. But I
> wondering is there anyone on this
> list that has any "tips" you can offer for
> monitoring Phoenix area LE, Fire
> or EMS? tia
> Ed

=====
Donald "Tim" Tribble, KD6MDV
Sacramento, CA
"Would you like to supersize your order?"

17938. Re: [bayscan] Phoenix/Scottsdale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-27 23:13:24 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17936 Message: 17938

That will be very useful, thanks Tim. Especially since my main interest is Fire.
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17940. Re: [bayscan] Re: Alameda County pubic safety, bod of baud info

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-28 00:54:47 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 17935 Message: 17940

> But remember it's not digital (yet anyway) and if it
> did go to APCO P-25 it would almost by necessity be a
> "mixed" rather than "pure" P-25...

I'm trying to remember this, but I guess I never knew it. Can you talk
about why it would "almost by necessity" be "mixed" ...?

> ... and thus virtually guaranteed to be 3600 ...

How come?

Thanks!

17941. ALAMEDA FD TG'S

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-28 01:47:23 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 17941 Message: 17941

can someone identify these alameda fd tg's for me

43824
43856
43888
43920
43952

thanks

www.mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & central California

17942. Re: [bayscan] ALAMEDA FD TG'S

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-28 02:33:16 UTC
From: Darryl Skivers
Topic: 17941 Message: 17942

you might look at bob kltey books golden gate edition
and trunked radio systems Darryl Skivers KE6BQG :--)
----- Original Message -----
From: "Ben kellogg" <ben96cal@...>
To: <BAYSCAN@...>
Sent: Friday, December 27, 2002 5:47 PM
Subject: [bayscan] ALAMEDA FD TG'S

> can someone identify these alameda fd tg's for me
>
> 43824
> 43856
> 43888
> 43920
> 43952
>
> thanks
>
> www.mattlogic.com
> Online scanning for Northern & central California
>
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

17943. RE: [bayscan] ALAMEDA FD TG'S

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-28 02:35:59 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 17941 Message: 17943

thanks i checked trs but they weren't labeled but i'll check kelty's..
thanks

www.mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & central California

-----Original Message-----
From: Darryl Skivers [mailto:darrryl89@...]
Sent: Friday, December 27, 2002 6:33 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] ALAMEDA FD TG'S

you might look at bob kltey books golden gate edition
and trunked radio systems Darryl Skivers KE6BQG :--)
----- Original Message -----
From: "Ben kellogg" <ben96cal@...>
To: <BAYSCAN@...>
Sent: Friday, December 27, 2002 5:47 PM
Subject: [bayscan] ALAMEDA FD TG'S

> can someone identify these alameda fd tg's for me
>
> 43824
> 43856
> 43888
> 43920
> 43952
>
> thanks
>
> www.mattlogic.com
> Online scanning for Northern & central California
>
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17944. Palo Alto police frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-28 04:57:13 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <jim_hack@yahoo.com>
Topic: 17944 Message: 17944

All I can find for them is 482.6125 and 482.8125. Is that ALL they use?

17945. Re: [bayscan] Palo Alto police frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-28 05:00:58 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 17944 Message: 17945

At 08:57 PM 12/27/2002, you wrote:
>All I can find for them is 482.6125 and 482.8125. Is that ALL they
>use?

They also have a Channel 3 & 4, primarily for Stanford Police / Events: 483.3375 & 483.5625, both with a PL of 110.9. You'll hear "10-3 to 3" or "10-3 to 4".

Evan

17946. Re: Palo Alto police frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-28 05:13:22 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <jim_hack@yahoo.com>
Topic: 17944 Message: 17946

Thanks, Evan.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> At 08:57 PM 12/27/2002, you wrote:
> >All I can find for them is 482.6125 and 482.8125. Is that ALL
they
> >use?
>
> They also have a Channel 3 & 4, primarily for Stanford Police /
Events:
> 483.3375 & 483.5625, both with a PL of 110.9. You'll hear "10-3 to
3" or
> "10-3 to 4".
>
>
> Evan

17947. sf working fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-28 21:19:52 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 17947 Message: 17947

sf fire responding to working fire on Folsom

freq
A-1
A-12

www.mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & central California

17948. Re: [bayscan] sf working fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-28 23:57:23 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17947 Message: 17948

Any update, cross St.?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17949. Nasty looking sky/storm incoming

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-28 20:39:55 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17949 Message: 17949

Here in pleasanton right now it's looking really bad outside. very High winds getting heavier by the minute and the sky is getting Darker by the minute!! This looks like it may be a good one, Keep those scanners rolling and maybe we might have another round of flooding and heavy rains. I'll check back later, i'm headed to Dublin to watch the Raiders Kick the holy Heck out of Kansas City Chiefs!!!!! Pleasanton public works TG 19216. also try these for Dublin 453.450 public works ch. (old) not sure may be active still.

17950. new scanner group!!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-28 19:23:02 UTC
From: captainbiddle <captainbiddle@yahoo.com>
Topic: 17950 Message: 17950

hey captain biddle here telling you of a new scanner group for the
western states we cover everything fire,police,air,etc
so stop on by and take a look and feel free to ask any questions
we would love too have you join our group
the address is
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/north_nevada_norcal_fire_radio_center/
its a great site so stop on by
captain biddle

17951. CHP&SAN BENITO SHERIFFS SEARCHING

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-28 19:25:21 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17951 Message: 17951

THERE HAVE BEEN FIVE SEPERATE CALLS ABOUT A CAR WITH THE DRIVER "DANCING "

AS THEY DRIVE AROUND HOLLISTER AREA THEY ARE ALL TRING TO FIND THEM THEY
WERE REPORTED BY A CALTRANS CREW AS A ROAD HAZARD!!!!

JEFFREY (ITS BORRING HERE) IN HOLLISTER

17952. Fwd: [CENCALFIRE] CHAT ROOM FIRE CHAT, 12/28/2002, 9:15 pm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-28 19:27:01 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17952 Message: 17952

```
>X-From_:
>sentto-4473576-1468-1041095406-jpschil=hollinet.com@returns.groups.yahoo.com
>Sat Dec 28 09:10:35 2002
>X-eGroups-Return:
>sentto-4473576-1468-1041095406-jpschil=hollinet.com@...
>From: Yahoo! Reminder <reminders@...>
>To: cencalfire@yahoogroups.com
>X-eGroups-Application: calendar
>Mailing-List: list CENCALFIRE@yahoogroups.com; contact
>CENCALFIRE-owner@yahoogroups.com
>Delivered-To: mailing list CENCALFIRE@yahoogroups.com
>List-Unsubscribe: <mailto:CENCALFIRE-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com>
>Date: 28 Dec 2002 17:10:04 -0000
>Subject: [CENCALFIRE] CHAT ROOM FIRE CHAT, 12/28/2002, 9:15 pm
>Reply-To: CENCALFIRE@yahoogroups.com
>
>
><http://groups.yahoo.com/>121ebd9.jpg <http://groups.yahoo.com/mygroups>My
>Groups | <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/CENCALFIRE>CENCALFIRE Main Page
>
>121ec17.jpg Reminder from the
><http://groups.yahoo.com/group/CENCALFIRE/cal>Calendar of CENCALFIRE
>CHAT ROOM FIRE CHAT
>
>Saturday December 28, 2002
>9:15 pm - 11:15 pm
>This event repeats every week.
>The next reminder for this event will be sent in 11 hours, 4 minutes.
>Event Location: CENCALFIRE CHAT ROOM
>Notes:
>I would like to make this a regular event each saterday night
>
>please come join us /if you have a mic use it please
>
><http://greetings.yahoo.com>121ec46.jpg <http://greetings.yahoo.com>Yahoo!
>Greetings
>Send a Yahoo! Greeting.
><http://rd.yahoo.com/cal_us/rem/?http://groups.yahoo.com/group/CENCALFIRE/cal?v=9&evt_typ
e=13>121ec56.jpg
><http://rd.yahoo.com/cal_us/rem/?http://groups.yahoo.com/group/CENCALFIRE/cal?v=9&evt_typ
e=13>Birthday
>Reminders
>Set up birthday reminders!
>
>
```

>Copyright © 2002 <http://www.yahoo.com>Yahoo! Inc. All Rights Reserved.
><http://privacy.yahoo.com/>Privacy Policy -
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Terms of Service
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>CENCALFIRE-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17953. Re: [bayscan] CHP&SAN BENITO SHERIFFS SEARCHING

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-29 00:14:08 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17951 Message: 17953

Is it dirty dancing? Maybe its Patrick Swayzee? Bored in EPA too
(getting kinda moist out however, CHP getting busy)
Sure hope its dry on New Year's Eve! Bad enough when its dry. Me, I will
be up Jake's area with the X-ray (got talked into it) at least I won't have
to hear all them 10-57s in EPA!
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17954. Re: [bayscan] Phoenix/Scottsdale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-28 14:18:22 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17936 Message: 17954

Ed

you are such a scanner head (lol), when you steal her cookies wile she is
baking does she report a 215 in progress?

jeffrey

At 05:49 PM 12/27/2002 -0500, you wrote:
>The X-ray and myself are going to Scottsdale in March for Giants spring
>training. I like to get this set up well in advance and have The Southwest
>Frequency Directory from summer 2001. But I wondering is there anyone on this
>list that has any "tips" you can offer for monitoring Phoenix area LE, Fire
>or EMS? tia
>Ed
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

17955. Re: [bayscan] Phoenix/Scottsdale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-29 00:28:59 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17936 Message: 17955

Hey, how did you know "she " bakes? Interesting, the next thing is you'll tell me her favorite color, etc., etc. LOL. Naw, she is used to the scanner, her dad had a trusty BC210xl (had one myself). so she gets used to it, of course there are always headphone or earphones. Will be fun to monitor another area. Best thing is we stay in LA on the first leg, and you know how busy LA is.

BTW, I took two cross country trips on my Goldwing, one in 96 and one in 99. Taking the scanner was a ball, monitored New York, Philly, Boston, Chicago, Washington D.C. (what a bloodbath that place is) and some other areas. Busiest IMHO .....Harry's old stomping grounds Los Angeles by far.
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17956. RE: [bayscan] sf working fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-29 00:35:51 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 17947 Message: 17956

for some reason yahoo is lagging... i posted this in the morning.. just came up for me... last i heard fire out.. mopup was being delayed because another tenant had keys to a part of the house and could not open.. were keeping 2 trucks and engine on scene

www.mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & central California

-----Original Message-----
From: caladded@... [mailto:caladded@...]
Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 3:57 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] sf working fire

Any update, cross St.?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17957. Re: [bayscan] sf working fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-29 00:39:26 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17947 Message: 17957

yeah, yahoo was on a snails pass for awhile, although it seems to be OK now.
thats for the update anyway
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17958. Peninsula Steam

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-29 03:08:23 UTC
From: Bob Underwood
Topic: 17947 Message: 17958

The Golden Gate Railroad Museum will be running steam locomotive 2472 for two round trips between San Francisco and Redwood city tomorrow, Sunday 12/29; for details and times:

http://www.sfsteam.org

I assume they will be using the normal Cal-Train road frequency of 160.815MHz, but have no actual knowledge; the event was also on today, but it slipped my mind.

Bob U.
AA6BT

17959. San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-29 03:28:59 UTC
From: Doug Owens
Topic: 17947 Message: 17959

Saturday 7:27 p.m. - San Jose CHP 42.50MHz is Code 33 for some sort of search. There was a discussion about getting a dog from Santa Clara County Sheriff.

Doug

17960. RE: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-29 03:40:39 UTC
From: Doug Owens
Topic: 17947 Message: 17960

Saturday 7:39 p.m.

Dog is in route from SCCO Sheriff 156.210 MHz.

Apparently subject left jacket when in got caught in barb wire fence.
May have been ID in jacket.

-----Original Message-----
From: Doug Owens [mailto:radiobuff@...]
Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 7:29 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ

Saturday 7:27 p.m. - San Jose CHP 42.50MHz is Code 33 for some sort of
search. There was a discussion about getting a dog from Santa Clara
County Sheriff.

Doug

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17961. RE: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-29 03:49:46 UTC
From: Doug Owens
Topic: 17947 Message: 17961

Satuday 7:50 p.m.

Apparently unrelated perimeter set up by SJPD on SJPD 5 460.400. Both CHP and SJPD are near a Monarch Rental Center on Julian.

-----Original Message-----
From: Doug Owens [mailto:radiobuff@...]
Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 7:41 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ

Saturday 7:39 p.m.

Dog is in route from SCCO Sheriff 156.210 MHz.

Apparently subject left jacket when in got caught in barb wire fence. May have been ID in jacket.

-----Original Message-----
From: Doug Owens [mailto:radiobuff@...]
Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 7:29 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ

Saturday 7:27 p.m. - San Jose CHP 42.50MHz is Code 33 for some sort of search. There was a discussion about getting a dog from Santa Clara County Sheriff.

Doug

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17962. RE: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-29 04:03:41 UTC
From: Doug Owens
Topic: 17947 Message: 17962

Saturday 8:02 p.m.

Search seems to be centered in the area of Julian St. and 28th in San Jose. CHP is active on 42.500 MHz, SJPD is active on SJPD 5 460.400 MHz and Santa Clara County Sheriff dispatched a dog on 156.210.

SJPD is overhead with Air 2 and has provided a bloodhound. It is unclear how the CHP and San Jose incidents are related. Initially, they appeared to be separate.

CHP has detained one subject so far. It sounds like CHP and SJPD have additional suspect information, possibly from ID left in a jacket that was left tangled in barbwire.

Does anyone have any other information?

Doug

-----Original Message-----
From: Doug Owens [mailto:radiobuff@...]
Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 7:50 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ

Satuday 7:50 p.m.

Apparently unrelated perimeter set up by SJPD on SJPD 5 460.400. Both CHP and SJPD are near a Monarch Rental Center on Julian.

-----Original Message-----
From: Doug Owens [mailto:radiobuff@...]
Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 7:41 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ

Saturday 7:39 p.m.

Dog is in route from SCCO Sheriff 156.210 MHz.

Apparently subject left jacket when in got caught in barb wire fence. May have been ID in jacket.

-----Original Message-----
From: Doug Owens [mailto:radiobuff@...]
Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 7:29 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ

Saturday 7:27 p.m. - San Jose CHP 42.50MHz is Code 33 for some sort of search. There was a discussion about getting a dog from Santa Clara County Sheriff.

Doug

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17963. RE: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-29 04:34:24 UTC
From: Doug Owens
Topic: 17947 Message: 17963

Saturday 8:33 p.m.

Search of yard near Julian St./28th St./St. James is underway by CHP and SJPD.

CHP has one in custody and has impounded a vehicle for evidence. CHP and SJPD are checking the home of the registered owner of the impounded vehicle.

SJ CHP 42.500 MHz no tone restricted.
SJPD 5 460.400 MHz no tone Code 33.

Unknown what original want for subjects is. Anyone with further information?

Doug

-----Original Message-----
From: Doug Owens [mailto:radiobuff@...]
Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 8:04 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ

Saturday 8:02 p.m.

Search seems to be centered in the area of Julian St. and 28th in San Jose. CHP is active on 42.500 MHz, SJPD is active on SJPD 5 460.400 MHz and Santa Clara County Sheriff dispatched a dog on 156.210.

SJPD is overhead with Air 2 and has provided a bloodhound. It is unclear how the CHP and San Jose incidents are related. Initially, they appeared to be separate.

CHP has detained one subject so far. It sounds like CHP and SJPD have additional suspect information, possibly from ID left in a jacket that was left tangled in barbwire.

Does anyone have any other information?

Doug

-----Original Message-----
From: Doug Owens [mailto:radiobuff@...]
Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 7:50 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ

Satuday 7:50 p.m.

Apparently unrelated perimeter set up by SJPD on SJPD 5 460.400. Both CHP and SJPD are near a Monarch Rental Center on Julian.

-----Original Message-----
From: Doug Owens [mailto:radiobuff@...]
Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 7:41 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ

Saturday 7:39 p.m.

Dog is in route from SCCO Sheriff 156.210 MHz.

Apparently subject left jacket when in got caught in barb wire fence. May have been ID in jacket.

-----Original Message-----
From: Doug Owens [mailto:radiobuff@...]
Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 7:29 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ

Saturday 7:27 p.m. - San Jose CHP 42.50MHz is Code 33 for some sort of search. There was a discussion about getting a dog from Santa Clara County Sheriff.

Doug

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17964. Re: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-29 04:57:00 UTC
From: Quinn
Topic: 17947 Message: 17964

> Unknown what original want for subjects is. Anyone with further
> information?

For this particular incident? No.

But I imagine I'm not alone on this list frequently having to bite my tongue about various things that go by.

> and Santa Clara County Sheriff dispatched a dog on 156.210.
>
> SJPD is overhead with Air 2 and has provided a bloodhound. It is

SJPD has 2 bloodhounds. Given that this incident is so clearly in prime SJPD territory, why do you suppose CHP would get a dog from SCCSO? (Then again, was the SCCSO unit just a canine unit instead of a tracker? Why would you pull a SCCSO canine unit into San Jose when SJPD has plenty of canines? Or why would you pull in a SCCSO scent dog when SJPD has two bloodhounds? Hmmmm.)

Of course, aside from the dogs, from your postings it sure doesn't sound like CHP and SJPD have been working together very well anyway, with a lot of duplication of effort going on.

17965. Re: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-29 05:07:26 UTC
From: Christopher Handisides
Topic: 17947 Message: 17965

I heard a mention of 20002 (misdemeanor hit & run), but I don't know if that's the only want. Seems fairly involved for a misdemeanor want, IMHO..

Chris
----- Original Message -----
From: Doug Owens
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 8:34 PM
Subject: RE: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ

Saturday 8:33 p.m.

Search of yard near Julian St./28th St./St. James is underway by CHP and SJPD.

CHP has one in custody and has impounded a vehicle for evidence. CHP and SJPD are checking the home of the registered owner of the impounded vehicle.

SJ CHP 42.500 MHz no tone restricted.
SJPD 5 460.400 MHz no tone Code 33.

Unknown what original want for subjects is. Anyone with further information?

Doug

-----Original Message-----
From: Doug Owens [mailto:radiobuff@...]
Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 8:04 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ

Saturday 8:02 p.m.

Search seems to be centered in the area of Julian St. and 28th in San Jose. CHP is active on 42.500 MHz, SJPD is active on SJPD 5 460.400 MHz and Santa Clara County Sheriff dispatched a dog on 156.210.

SJPD is overhead with Air 2 and has provided a bloodhound. It is unclear how the CHP and San Jose incidents are related. Initially, they appeared to be separate.

CHP has detained one subject so far. It sounds like CHP and SJPD have additional suspect information, possibly from ID left in a jacket that was left tangled in barbwire.

Does anyone have any other information?

Doug

-----Original Message-----
From: Doug Owens [mailto:radiobuff@...]
Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 7:50 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ

Satuday 7:50 p.m.

Apparently unrelated perimeter set up by SJPD on SJPD 5 460.400. Both CHP and SJPD are near a Monarch Rental Center on Julian.

-----Original Message-----
From: Doug Owens [mailto:radiobuff@...]
Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 7:41 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ

Saturday 7:39 p.m.

Dog is in route from SCCO Sheriff 156.210 MHz.

Apparently subject left jacket when in got caught in barb wire fence. May have been ID in jacket.

-----Original Message-----
From: Doug Owens [mailto:radiobuff@...]
Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 7:29 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ

Saturday 7:27 p.m. - San Jose CHP 42.50MHz is Code 33 for some sort of search. There was a discussion about getting a dog from Santa Clara County Sheriff.

Doug

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17966. RE: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-29 05:58:26 UTC
From: Doug Owens
Topic: 17947 Message: 17966

Chris,

Thanks for the information.

Bryce/Quinn and All,

If my posts made it sound like either CHP or SJPD was doing a bad job of coordinating, the fault lies with me and my attempts to pass information almost as quickly as I was getting it. Both agencies seemed to be dancing in lock step after the first few minutes.

I don't know why the initial dog came from the S.O. I can only speculate that there is a fair amount of unincorporated area in the East San Jose area and that this incident may have started in an unincorporated area. The S.O. dog was not a bloodhound and the SJPD dog was.

Doug

-----Original Message-----
From: Christopher Handisides [mailto:landsknechte@...]
Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 9:07 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ

I heard a mention of 20002 (misdemeanor hit & run), but I don't know if that's the only want. Seems fairly involved for a misdemeanor want, IMHO..

Chris
----- Original Message -----
From: Doug Owens
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 8:34 PM
Subject: RE: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ

Saturday 8:33 p.m.

Search of yard near Julian St./28th St./St. James is underway by CHP and
SJPD.

CHP has one in custody and has impounded a vehicle for evidence. CHP and SJPD are checking the home of the registered owner of the impounded
vehicle.

SJ CHP 42.500 MHz no tone restricted.
SJPD 5 460.400 MHz no tone Code 33.

Unknown what original want for subjects is. Anyone with further information?

Doug

-----Original Message-----
From: Doug Owens [mailto:radiobuff@...]
Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 8:04 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ

Saturday 8:02 p.m.

Search seems to be centered in the area of Julian St. and 28th in San Jose. CHP is active on 42.500 MHz, SJPD is active on SJPD 5 460.400 MHz
and Santa Clara County Sheriff dispatched a dog on 156.210.

SJPD is overhead with Air 2 and has provided a bloodhound. It is unclear how the CHP and San Jose incidents are related. Initially, they
appeared to be separate.

CHP has detained one subject so far. It sounds like CHP and SJPD have additional suspect information, possibly from ID left in a jacket that was left tangled in barbwire.

Does anyone have any other information?

Doug

-----Original Message-----
From: Doug Owens [mailto:radiobuff@...]
Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 7:50 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ

Satuday 7:50 p.m.

Apparently unrelated perimeter set up by SJPD on SJPD 5 460.400. Both CHP and SJPD are near a Monarch Rental Center on Julian.

-----Original Message-----
From: Doug Owens [mailto:radiobuff@...]
Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 7:41 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ

Saturday 7:39 p.m.

Dog is in route from SCCO Sheriff 156.210 MHz.

Apparently subject left jacket when in got caught in barb wire fence.
May have been ID in jacket.

-----Original Message-----
From: Doug Owens [mailto:radiobuff@...]
Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 7:29 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ

Saturday 7:27 p.m. - San Jose CHP 42.50MHz is Code 33 for some sort of search. There was a discussion about getting a dog from Santa Clara County Sheriff.

Doug

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17967. 2nd alarm called in Berkeley

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-29 08:07:25 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 17967 Message: 17967

12:01am, 2525 Stuart @ Hillegass ... on BFD #4 154.355 ... sounds like an apartment building, concrete roof ... being evacuated now.

17968. Re: [bayscan] 2nd alarm called in Berkeley

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-29 08:10:16 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 17967 Message: 17968

3rd alarm called, then cancelled. Sounds like they can handle it as a
2.

17969. Re: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-29 07:28:38 UTC
From: KG6NXU
Topic: 17947 Message: 17969

This is from the CHP CAD website... Explains what was going on and why SJPD got involved...

Incident: 1979 Type: Hit and Run - No Injuries Location: SB US101 AT MCKEE RD Zoom Map: 834 4E Info as of: 12/28/2002 11:23:38 PM

ADDITIONAL DETAILS
9:10PM - JACKET AND SWEATSHIRT THAT WE THOUGHT WAS OUR SUBJS WAS TRANSIENTS CLOTHING
9:05PM - UNABLE TO LOCATE THE SUBJ--ALL UNITS CLEARING
8:22PM - ***1015 TIME IS 1919 HOURS******ON THE FIRST SUBJ
8:16PM - ROLL 1185 EVIDENCE TOW--1039 CAMPBELLS
8:11PM - THE PD IS SENDING IN A SEARCH TEAM--UNK ETA
7:53PM - PLS CONF RE LINE 67 MCKEE ONR TO SB 680 OR 101
7:52PM - PER SJPD - SCSO ENRT W/BLOOD HOUND TO MCKEE ONR TO SB 101
7:51PM - 24-681 WILL ADVS SCSO DOG OF LINE 67
7:51PM - 1039 SJPD FOR BLOODHOUND
7:51PM - AFFIRM HAVE SJPD SEND THE BLOODHOUND PLS
7:51PM - DOG IS 1097 ON THE JULIAN SIDE--NEED THE DOG TO GO TO THE MCKEE ONR TO SB 680
7:49PM - SJPD FOUND BLOOD HOUND / INQ IF THEY RE NEEDED / PD STILL LL
7:46PM - 1039 TO RO S MOTHER, RO LEFT THIS AM IN VEH TO GO TO OAKLAND A S GAME
7:40PM - SCSO ADV D K9 IS NOT A TRACKING DOG / WILL BE ENRT TO ASSIST / ETA 5-10 MINS
7:40PM - 1039 SO TO HAVE K9 RESP TO LN 56
7:38PM - HAVE K9 RESP TO MCKEE ONR TO SB 101
7:38PM - NO LESS THAN 1/2 BLOCK SOUTH OF THE SCENE--PLAIN CLOTHES OFCRS WORKING ANOTHER PERIMETER NOT RELATED TO OUR SCENE PER A2
7:34PM - SO K9 ETA 10
7:33PM - LL FOR ETA
7:23PM - SJ AIR 2 IS 1097--WILL RESPOND TO THE MONARCH CENTER
7:19PM - PLS ROLL SJPD TO MONARCH TRUCK ON N 30TH ST
7:17PM - 1039 SJPD, ENRT
7:16PM - 24-110 IS ON THE MCKEE ONR TO SB 101
7:16PM - PLS ROLL SJPD FOR A PERIMETER
7:15PM - THEY MAY BE IN THE BUSHES--2 HMA S THREW A JACKET--DRK JEANS WHI T-SHIRT--THEY WERE RUNNING BACK UP THE ONR TOWARDS MCKEE--MONARCH TRUCK RENTALS
7:08PM - MCKEE WB ONR TO SB 101 UTL AND ON THE OFR UTL
7:03PM - PER RP UNK EXTENT ON DAMAGE TO VEH
7:01PM - 2 MALES WENT TOWARD ST JAMES AT 28TH AVE
7:01PM - HEARD LOUD BANG PER RP
7:00PM - GRY CHEV SUBU ON MCKEE OFR
6:59PM - UNK IF TC

On Saturday, Dec 28, 2002, at 21:58 US/Pacific, Doug Owens wrote:

> Chris,
>
> Thanks for the information.
>
> Bryce/Quinn and All,
>
> If my posts made it sound like either CHP or SJPD was doing a bad job
> of
> coordinating, the fault lies with me and my attempts to pass
> information
> almost as quickly as I was getting it. Both agencies seemed to be
> dancing in lock step after the first few minutes.
>
> I don't know why the initial dog came from the S.O. I can only
> speculate that there is a fair amount of unincorporated area in the
> East
> San Jose area and that this incident may have started in an
> unincorporated area. The S.O. dog was not a bloodhound and the SJPD
> dog
> was.
>
> Doug
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Christopher Handisides [mailto:landsknechte@...]
> Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 9:07 PM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ
>
> I heard a mention of 20002 (misdemeanor hit & run), but I don't know if
> that's the only want. Seems fairly involved for a misdemeanor want,
> IMHO..
>
> Chris
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Doug Owens
> To: bayscan@...m
> Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 8:34 PM
> Subject: RE: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ
>
>
> Saturday 8:33 p.m.
>
> Search of yard near Julian St./28th St./St. James is underway by CHP
> and
> SJPD.
>
> CHP has one in custody and has impounded a vehicle for evidence. CHP
> and SJPD are checking the home of the registered owner of the

> impounded
> vehicle.
>
> SJ CHP 42.500 MHz no tone restricted.
> SJPD 5 460.400 MHz no tone Code 33.
>
> Unknown what original want for subjects is. Anyone with further
> information?
>
> Doug
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Doug Owens [mailto:radiobuff@...]
> Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 8:04 PM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ
>
> Saturday 8:02 p.m.
>
> Search seems to be centered in the area of Julian St. and 28th in San
> Jose. CHP is active on 42.500 MHz, SJPD is active on SJPD 5 460.400
> MHz
> and Santa Clara County Sheriff dispatched a dog on 156.210.
>
> SJPD is overhead with Air 2 and has provided a bloodhound. It is
> unclear how the CHP and San Jose incidents are related. Initially,
> they
> appeared to be separate.
>
> CHP has detained one subject so far. It sounds like CHP and SJPD
> have
> additional suspect information, possibly from ID left in a jacket
> that
> was left tangled in barbwire.
>
> Does anyone have any other information?
>
> Doug
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Doug Owens [mailto:radiobuff@dougowens.net]
> Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 7:50 PM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ
>
> Satuday 7:50 p.m.
>
> Apparently unrelated perimeter set up by SJPD on SJPD 5 460.400.
> Both
> CHP and SJPD are near a Monarch Rental Center on Julian.

>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Doug Owens [mailto:radiobuff@...]
> Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 7:41 PM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ
>
> Saturday 7:39 p.m.
>
> Dog is in route from SCCO Sheriff 156.210 MHz.
>
> Apparently subject left jacket when in got caught in barb wire fence.
> May have been ID in jacket.
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Doug Owens [mailto:radiobuff@...]
> Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 7:29 PM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ
>
> Saturday 7:27 p.m. - San Jose CHP 42.50MHz is Code 33 for some sort
> of
> search. There was a discussion about getting a dog from Santa Clara
> County Sheriff.
>
> Doug
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17970. Re: [bayscan] CHP radio issues...(lengthy)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-27 06:41:19 UTC
From: Jake H
Topic: 17912 Message: 17970

I am enjoying this CHP talk - good issues guys. One thing on inter-operability, up here in Tehama County, CHP and local agencies are very adept at communicating via scanners. CHP has scanners in their car with the local law agencies plugged in. Local law dispatchers have scanners with CHP plugged in right next to them. Tehama County Sheriff dispatch has called CHP units over their high band freq and CHP will answer back simplex on their lowband freq. They then hold a conversation using both their scanners to hear the opposite party. Happens all the time, is very coordinated, and works well in pursuits and whatnot. Also, their extendor HT's have local law dispatch freqs too so if portable and in need of help, can work the local law radio systems.

So they have found ways to cope with being the loners down in low band.

Jake

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17971. Re: [bayscan] CHP radio issues...(lengthy)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-30 01:29:19 UTC
From: Darryl Skivers
Topic: 17912 Message: 17971

o/t can someone send me instructions for setting my tuuntracker III for bart
alco and oakland pd Darryl Skivers KE6BQG :---)
----- Original Message -----
From: "Jake H" <yahoo@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2002 10:41 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] CHP radio issues...(lengthy)

> I am enjoying this CHP talk - good issues guys. One thing on
> inter-operability, up here in Tehama County, CHP and local agencies
> are very adept at communicating via scanners. CHP has scanners in
> their car with the local law agencies plugged in. Local law
> dispatchers have scanners with CHP plugged in right next to them.
> Tehama County Sheriff dispatch has called CHP units over their high
> band freq and CHP will answer back simplex on their lowband freq.
> They then hold a conversation using both their scanners to hear the
> opposite party. Happens all the time, is very coordinated, and works
> well in pursuits and whatnot. Also, their extendor HT's have local
> law dispatch freqs too so if portable and in need of help, can work
> the local law radio systems.
>
> So they have found ways to cope with being the loners down in low band.
>
> Jake
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

17972. Update to Industrial Radio System Addendum

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-30 02:49:28 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz
Topic: 13280 Message: 17972

Hi all;

The IRS addendum has been updated and corrected

For a copy go to;

http://www.saltydogradio.com/irs/IRS_Addendum_20021229.pdf

Send additions and corrections to Bob Eisner at
rheisner@...

Thanks to everyone who contributed this week

Regards

Ed

_____
Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail Plus - Powerful. Affordable. Sign up now.
http://mailplus.yahoo.com

17973. RE: [bayscan] CHP radio issues...(lengthy)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-30 02:55:43 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 17912 Message: 17973

which scanner?

www.mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & central California

-----Original Message-----
From: Darryl Skivers [mailto:darrryl89@...]
Sent: Sunday, December 29, 2002 5:29 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] CHP radio issues...(lengthy)

o/t can someone send me instructions for setting my tuuntracker III for
bart
alco and oakland pd Darryl Skivers KE6BQG :---)
----- Original Message -----
From: "Jake H" <yahoo@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2002 10:41 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] CHP radio issues...(lengthy)

> I am enjoying this CHP talk - good issues guys. One thing on
> inter-operability, up here in Tehama County, CHP and local agencies
> are very adept at communicating via scanners. CHP has scanners in
> their car with the local law agencies plugged in. Local law
> dispatchers have scanners with CHP plugged in right next to them.
> Tehama County Sheriff dispatch has called CHP units over their high
> band freq and CHP will answer back simplex on their lowband freq.
> They then hold a conversation using both their scanners to hear the
> opposite party. Happens all the time, is very coordinated, and works
> well in pursuits and whatnot. Also, their extendor HT's have local
> law dispatch freqs too so if portable and in need of help, can work
> the local law radio systems.
>
> So they have found ways to cope with being the loners down in low band.
>
> Jake
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17974. Re: [bayscan] CHP radio issues...(lengthy)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-30 03:01:56 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17912 Message: 17974

[Body unavailable in the source archive]

17975. Tehama area question (jake or Mike /)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-30 03:02:24 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17975 Message: 17975

[Body unavailable in the source archive]

17976. tehama question , Jake or Mike

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-30 03:04:42 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17976 Message: 17976

I will be in Tehama area New Year's Eve. What area do you guys think might be the best for monitoring? I know Chico is a college town, do thinks get "outta hand " there on NYE ? thanks
Ed
Ps, sorry about them blanks :-(

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17977. Re: [bayscan] CHP radio issues...(lengthy)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-30 03:20:20 UTC
From: Darryl Skivers
Topic: 17912 Message: 17977

bc 780 hlt "Trunktracker Base"
----- Original Message -----
From: "Ben kellogg" <ben96cal@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 29, 2002 6:55 PM
Subject: RE: [bayscan] CHP radio issues...(lengthy)

> which scanner?
>
> www.mattlogic.com
> Online scanning for Northern & central California
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Darryl Skivers [mailto:darrryl89@...]
> Sent: Sunday, December 29, 2002 5:29 PM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] CHP radio issues...(lengthy)
>
>
> o/t can someone send me instructions for setting my tuuntracker III for
> bart
> alco and oakland pd Darryl Skivers KE6BQG :---)
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Jake H" <yahoo@...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2002 10:41 PM
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] CHP radio issues...(lengthy)
>
>
> > I am enjoying this CHP talk - good issues guys. One thing on
> > inter-operability, up here in Tehama County, CHP and local agencies
> > are very adept at communicating via scanners. CHP has scanners in
> > their car with the local law agencies plugged in. Local law
> > dispatchers have scanners with CHP plugged in right next to them.
> > Tehama County Sheriff dispatch has called CHP units over their high
> > band freq and CHP will answer back simplex on their lowband freq.
> > They then hold a conversation using both their scanners to hear the
> > opposite party. Happens all the time, is very coordinated, and works
> > well in pursuits and whatnot. Also, their extendor HT's have local
> > law dispatch freqs too so if portable and in need of help, can work
> > the local law radio systems.
> >
> > So they have found ways to cope with being the loners down in low
band.
> >

> > Jake
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

17978. Re: CHP radio issues...(lengthy)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-30 03:29:31 UTC
From: ben96cal <ben96cal@yahoo.com>
Topic: 17912 Message: 17978

do you want freqs and tg;s or actually how to program the 780?

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Darryl Skivers" <darrryl89@e...>
wrote:
> bc 780 hlt "Trunktracker Base"
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Ben kellogg" <ben96cal@y...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Sunday, December 29, 2002 6:55 PM
> Subject: RE: [bayscan] CHP radio issues...(lengthy)
>
>
> > which scanner?
> >
> > www.mattlogic.com
> > Online scanning for Northern & central California
> >
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Darryl Skivers [mailto:darrryl89@e...]
> > Sent: Sunday, December 29, 2002 5:29 PM
> > To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: Re: [bayscan] CHP radio issues...(lengthy)
> >
> >
> > o/t can someone send me instructions for setting my tuuntracker
III for
> > bart
> > alco and oakland pd Darryl Skivers KE6BQG :---)
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "Jake H" <yahoo@n...>
> > To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> > Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2002 10:41 PM
> > Subject: Re: [bayscan] CHP radio issues...(lengthy)
> >
> >
> > > I am enjoying this CHP talk - good issues guys. One thing on
> > > inter-operability, up here in Tehama County, CHP and local
agencies
> > > are very adept at communicating via scanners. CHP has
scanners in
> > > their car with the local law agencies plugged in. Local law
> > > dispatchers have scanners with CHP plugged in right next to
them.
> > > Tehama County Sheriff dispatch has called CHP units over
their high

> > > band freq and CHP will answer back simplex on their lowband
freq.
> > > They then hold a conversation using both their scanners to
hear the
> > > opposite party. Happens all the time, is very coordinated,
and works
> > > well in pursuits and whatnot. Also, their extendor HT's have
local
> > > law dispatch freqs too so if portable and in need of help,
can work
> > > the local law radio systems.
> > >
> > > So they have found ways to cope with being the loners down in
low
> band.
> > >
> > > Jake
> > >
> > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> > http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >

17979. RE: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-30 04:01:06 UTC
From: Doug Owens
Topic: 17947 Message: 17979

Scott,

Thanks a million for posting the CHP log -- it really ties all the pieces together. I had no idea that so much detail was posted on the internet.

Doug

-----Original Message-----
From: Scott (KG6NXU) [mailto:yahoo@syntactic.net]
Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 11:29 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ

This is from the CHP CAD website... Explains what was going on and why SJPD got involved...

Incident: 1979 Type: Hit and Run - No Injuries Location: SB US101 AT MCKEE RD Zoom Map: 834 4E Info as of: 12/28/2002 11:23:38 PM

ADDITIONAL DETAILS
9:10PM - JACKET AND SWEATSHIRT THAT WE THOUGHT WAS OUR SUBJS WAS TRANSIENTS CLOTHING
9:05PM - UNABLE TO LOCATE THE SUBJ--ALL UNITS CLEARING
8:22PM - ***1015 TIME IS 1919 HOURS******ON THE FIRST SUBJ
8:16PM - ROLL 1185 EVIDENCE TOW--1039 CAMPBELLS
8:11PM - THE PD IS SENDING IN A SEARCH TEAM--UNK ETA
7:53PM - PLS CONF RE LINE 67 MCKEE ONR TO SB 680 OR 101
7:52PM - PER SJPD - SCSO ENRT W/BLOOD HOUND TO MCKEE ONR TO SB 101
7:51PM - 24-681 WILL ADVS SCSO DOG OF LINE 67
7:51PM - 1039 SJPD FOR BLOODHOUND
7:51PM - AFFIRM HAVE SJPD SEND THE BLOODHOUND PLS
7:51PM - DOG IS 1097 ON THE JULIAN SIDE--NEED THE DOG TO GO TO THE MCKEE ONR TO SB 680
7:49PM - SJPD FOUND BLOOD HOUND / INQ IF THEY RE NEEDED / PD STILL LL
7:46PM - 1039 TO RO S MOTHER, RO LEFT THIS AM IN VEH TO GO TO OAKLAND A S GAME
7:40PM - SCSO ADV D K9 IS NOT A TRACKING DOG / WILL BE ENRT TO ASSIST / ETA 5-10 MINS
7:40PM - 1039 SO TO HAVE K9 RESP TO LN 56
7:38PM - HAVE K9 RESP TO MCKEE ONR TO SB 101
7:38PM - NO LESS THAN 1/2 BLOCK SOUTH OF THE SCENE--PLAIN CLOTHES OFCRS WORKING ANOTHER PERIMETER NOT RELATED TO OUR SCENE PER A2
7:34PM - SO K9 ETA 10
7:33PM - LL FOR ETA
7:23PM - SJ AIR 2 IS 1097--WILL RESPOND TO THE MONARCH CENTER

7:19PM - PLS ROLL SJPD TO MONARCH TRUCK ON N 30TH ST
7:17PM - 1039 SJPD, ENRT
7:16PM - 24-110 IS ON THE MCKEE ONR TO SB 101
7:16PM - PLS ROLL SJPD FOR A PERIMETER
7:15PM - THEY MAY BE IN THE BUSHES--2 HMA S THREW A JACKET--DRK JEANS
WHI T-SHIRT--THEY WERE RUNNING BACK UP THE ONR TOWARDS MCKEE--MONARCH
TRUCK RENTALS
7:08PM - MCKEE WB ONR TO SB 101 UTL AND ON THE OFR UTL
7:03PM - PER RP UNK EXTENT ON DAMAGE TO VEH
7:01PM - 2 MALES WENT TOWARD ST JAMES AT 28TH AVE
7:01PM - HEARD LOUD BANG PER RP
7:00PM - GRY CHEV SUBU ON MCKEE OFR
6:59PM - UNK IF TC

On Saturday, Dec 28, 2002, at 21:58 US/Pacific, Doug Owens wrote:

> Chris,
>
> Thanks for the information.
>
> Bryce/Quinn and All,
>
> If my posts made it sound like either CHP or SJPD was doing a bad job
> of
> coordinating, the fault lies with me and my attempts to pass
> information
> almost as quickly as I was getting it. Both agencies seemed to be
> dancing in lock step after the first few minutes.
>
> I don't know why the initial dog came from the S.O. I can only
> speculate that there is a fair amount of unincorporated area in the
> East
> San Jose area and that this incident may have started in an
> unincorporated area. The S.O. dog was not a bloodhound and the SJPD
> dog
> was.
>
> Doug
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Christopher Handisides [mailto:landsknechte@...]
> Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 9:07 PM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ
>
> I heard a mention of 20002 (misdemeanor hit & run), but I don't know
if
> that's the only want. Seems fairly involved for a misdemeanor want,
> IMHO..
>
> Chris

> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Doug Owens
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 8:34 PM
> Subject: RE: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ
>
>
> Saturday 8:33 p.m.
>
> Search of yard near Julian St./28th St./St. James is underway by CHP
> and
> SJPD.
>
> CHP has one in custody and has impounded a vehicle for evidence.
CHP
> and SJPD are checking the home of the registered owner of the
> impounded
> vehicle.
>
> SJ CHP 42.500 MHz no tone restricted.
> SJPD 5 460.400 MHz no tone Code 33.
>
> Unknown what original want for subjects is. Anyone with further
> information?
>
> Doug
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Doug Owens [mailto:radiobuff@...]
> Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 8:04 PM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ
>
> Saturday 8:02 p.m.
>
> Search seems to be centered in the area of Julian St. and 28th in
San
> Jose. CHP is active on 42.500 MHz, SJPD is active on SJPD 5 460.400
> MHz
> and Santa Clara County Sheriff dispatched a dog on 156.210.
>
> SJPD is overhead with Air 2 and has provided a bloodhound. It is
> unclear how the CHP and San Jose incidents are related. Initially,
> they
> appeared to be separate.
>
> CHP has detained one subject so far. It sounds like CHP and SJPD
> have
> additional suspect information, possibly from ID left in a jacket
> that

> was left tangled in barbwire.
>
> Does anyone have any other information?
>
> Doug
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Doug Owens [mailto:radiobuff@dougowens.net]
> Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 7:50 PM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ
>
> Satuday 7:50 p.m.
>
> Apparently unrelated perimeter set up by SJPD on SJPD 5 460.400.
> Both
> CHP and SJPD are near a Monarch Rental Center on Julian.
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Doug Owens [mailto:radiobuff@...]
> Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 7:41 PM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ
>
> Saturday 7:39 p.m.
>
> Dog is in route from SCCO Sheriff 156.210 MHz.
>
> Apparently subject left jacket when in got caught in barb wire
fence.
> May have been ID in jacket.
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Doug Owens [mailto:radiobuff@...]
> Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 7:29 PM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ
>
> Saturday 7:27 p.m. - San Jose CHP 42.50MHz is Code 33 for some sort
> of
> search. There was a discussion about getting a dog from Santa Clara
> County Sheriff.
>
> Doug
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

17980. Re: [bayscan] CHP radio issues...(lengthy)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-30 11:35:19 UTC
From: PHILIP HUMES
Topic: 17912 Message: 17980

A number of years ago when I lived in Wisconsin, I had someone come up to Ch 22 (CB) to report a car using both lanes of I90 and at 15 mph. Well, I heard Jayhawker, a WI State Patrol just south of Janesville where I lived. He had a CB in his car. Skip was coming in and I hardly hear him when I called him on the CB. I told him that I have my other radio (translated as scanner). He could hear my loud station quite well. So he talked to me over the scanner and I on the CB. A unique duplex conversation. This was way back in 1977 or 78.

Philip Humes
----- Original Message -----
From: "Jake H" <yahoo@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2002 10:41 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] CHP radio issues...(lengthy)

> I am enjoying this CHP talk - good issues guys. One thing on
> inter-operability, up here in Tehama County, CHP and local agencies
> are very adept at communicating via scanners. CHP has scanners in
> their car with the local law agencies plugged in. Local law
> dispatchers have scanners with CHP plugged in right next to them.
> Tehama County Sheriff dispatch has called CHP units over their high
> band freq and CHP will answer back simplex on their lowband freq.
> They then hold a conversation using both their scanners to hear the
> opposite party. Happens all the time, is very coordinated, and works
> well in pursuits and whatnot. Also, their extendor HT's have local
> law dispatch freqs too so if portable and in need of help, can work
> the local law radio systems.
>
> So they have found ways to cope with being the loners down in low band.
>
> Jake
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

17981. Re: [bayscan] tehama question , Jake or Mike

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-30 18:00:53 UTC
From: Jake H
Topic: 17976 Message: 17981

Very small parties Ed. Nothing big up here except maybe in Redding.
To get the busy action, your best bet is to monitor Shasta, Tehama,
Butte county sheriff depts and respective city's PD dispatches.
Combined you should have nonstop chatter.

As for Chico by itself, all the students are out of town to family on
vacation so new years has never been much of a problem in Chico.

You can get your freqs on norcalscan.org

Jake

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17982. New Years Eve scanning activity....-Whats in your area?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-31 04:51:12 UTC
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@hotmail.c
Topic: 17982 Message: 17982

So who will be hgome scanning all night tomorrow night? What goes "down" in your area? anything good? I know Ed will be in Jakes neck o' the woods but his area usually is hopping in Palo Alto and EPA. Lets have a tally of who's gonna be scanning and what are the "hot" areas to key in on. I will be either Here in pleasanton at Home or in Dublin. Either way i'll be scanning something..Have a safe one and HAPPY NEW YEARS To all!!!!!!

17983. Re: New Years Eve scanning activity....-Whats in your area?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-31 06:38:40 UTC
From: ka5s <cortlandka5s@netscape.net>
Topic: 17982 Message: 17983

Good? Depends on what "good" means; when scanning, it seems like it's the opposite, for some folks.

It isn't _Oakland_, but Santa Rosa is lively even when folks are NOT celebrating, and Sonoma County Sheriff frequencies are interesting almost any time. Then there's the usual holiday highway roulette on CHP and FD channels.

You hear stuff scanning, you know, that on reflection, it hurts to have heard. I had a Standard C508 glued to my ear one day in Anaheim, listening to the Irvine (I lived there) FD working a jet aircraft down in an industrial park. Horrified fascination, that's what it is.

Maybe that's why we turn the volume up.

Happy new year!

Cortland

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@h...>" <copenhagen1971@h...> wrote:
> So who will be hgome scanning all night tomorrow night? What
> goes "down" in your area? anything good? I know Ed will be in Jakes

17984. Re: [bayscan] New Years Eve scanning activity....-Whats in your area?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-31 06:39:48 UTC
From: magikaldj642@cs.com
Topic: 17982 Message: 17984

I will be home here at Travis AFB. Plan on keying on Vallejo PD since it seems to be the most active area around here. Will post anything exciting from Fairfield, Vallejo, Solano County...

Happy Scanning All,

Mark

17985. Re: [bayscan] tehama question , Jake or Mike

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-31 18:53:50 UTC
From: Mike
Topic: 17976 Message: 17985

If your lazy, like me you dont want to go all the way to norcalscan.org so here are the freqs:

Tehama SO 154.740
Red Bluff PD 155.685
Tehama CHP 42.440 / 42.280
Redding PD www.norcalscan.org/shasta
Shasta SO 158.730
Anderson PD 159.090
Redding CHP 42.440 / 42.280
Butte SO 154.725
Chico PD 154.785

Those are the real freqs to lsiten to. Listen for me getting pulled over ;) since me and a buncha friends will be party hopping.

Mike

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17986. Re: [bayscan] tehama question/ Eds Ambulance ride !!!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-31 21:03:09 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17986 Message: 17986

Thanks Jake, but I had to cancel. You see I got an unexpected ride along with AMR on Monday morning. I developed chest pains and shortness of breath so my coworker did the right thing and called 911. Santa Clara City Engine 6 and Medic 8 arrived (each with 3) then AMR also with 3 and all these professionals did a great job taking care of me, finally transported me to Kaiser-Santa Clara. After all the tests it was probably just heart burn or something like it but it hurt quite a bit early on. the FD medics gave me aspirin to chew on and 5 squirts of Nitro and that really helped. The people at Kaiser were all great. There must have been close to 2 dozen people that looked after me including SCFD and AMR. Good to know you get such good care in the area I work in. I got released a couple hours ago with high blood pressure medicine and a chance to take a couple more days off. Feel pretty good now. The Xray is DEMANDING that I stay with her LOL , which I will be glad to do. We may drive up to Tehama tomorrow anyway. you all have a nice safe NYE ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17988. Re: [bayscan] tehama question , Jake or Mike

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-31 21:05:39 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17976 Message: 17988

thanks Mike, see my other email
Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

17989. Re: [bayscan] tehama question/ Eds Ambulance ride !!!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-31 21:29:39 UTC
From: Jeff & Pam
Topic: 17986 Message: 17989

ED

OUR PRAYERS ARE WITH YOU

JEFFREY<><

At 04:03 PM 12/31/2002 -0500, you wrote:
>Thanks Jake, but I had to cancel. You see I got an unexpected ride along with
>AMR on Monday morning. I developed chest pains and shortness of breath so my
>coworker did the right thing and called 911. Santa Clara City Engine 6 and
>Medic 8 arrived (each with 3) then AMR also with 3 and all these
>professionals did a great job taking care of me, finally transported me to
>Kaiser-Santa Clara. After all the tests it was probably just heart burn or
>something like it but it hurt quite a bit early on. the FD medics gave me
>aspirin to chew on and 5 squirts of Nitro and that really helped. The people
>at Kaiser were all great. There must have been close to 2 dozen people that
>looked after me including SCFD and AMR. Good to know you get such good care
>in the area I work in. I got released a couple hours ago with high blood
>pressure medicine and a chance to take a couple more days off. Feel pretty
>good now. The Xray is DEMANDING that I stay with her LOL , which I will be
>glad to do. We may drive up to Tehama tomorrow anyway. you all have a nice
>safe NYE ED
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
><http://rd.yahoo.com/M=234081.2814790.4175286.1925585/D=egroupweb/S=1705063108:HM/A=13279
85/R=0/*http://ad.doubleclick.net/clk;4870024;7586687;x?http://www.ameriquestmortgage.com/
welcome.html?ad=Yahoo01>103256e.jpg
>10325db.jpg
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

18018. Re: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-30 05:27:34 UTC
From: KG6NXU
Topic: 17947 Message: 18018

I wanted to make sure you had the URL for the CHP site...
http://cad.chp.ca.gov/

-Scott
KG6NXU

On Sunday, Dec 29, 2002, at 20:01 US/Pacific, Doug Owens wrote:

> Scott,
>
> Thanks a million for posting the CHP log -- it really ties all the
> pieces together. I had no idea that so much detail was posted on the
> internet.
>
> Doug
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Scott (KG6NXU) [mailto:yahoo@...]
> Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 11:29 PM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ
>
> This is from the CHP CAD website... Explains what was going on and why
> SJPD got involved...
>
>
> Incident: 1979 Type: Hit and Run - No Injuries Location: SB US101 AT
> MCKEE RD Zoom Map: 834 4E Info as of: 12/28/2002 11:23:38 PM
>
> ADDITIONAL DETAILS
> 9:10PM - JACKET AND SWEATSHIRT THAT WE THOUGHT WAS OUR SUBJS WAS
> TRANSIENTS CLOTHING
> 9:05PM - UNABLE TO LOCATE THE SUBJ--ALL UNITS CLEARING
> 8:22PM - ***1015 TIME IS 1919 HOURS******ON THE FIRST SUBJ
> 8:16PM - ROLL 1185 EVIDENCE TOW--1039 CAMPBELLS
> 8:11PM - THE PD IS SENDING IN A SEARCH TEAM--UNK ETA
> 7:53PM - PLS CONF RE LINE 67 MCKEE ONR TO SB 680 OR 101
> 7:52PM - PER SJPD - SCSO ENRT W/BLOOD HOUND TO MCKEE ONR TO SB 101
> 7:51PM - 24-681 WILL ADVS SCSO DOG OF LINE 67
> 7:51PM - 1039 SJPD FOR BLOODHOUND
> 7:51PM - AFFIRM HAVE SJPD SEND THE BLOODHOUND PLS
> 7:51PM - DOG IS 1097 ON THE JULIAN SIDE--NEED THE DOG TO GO TO THE
> MCKEE ONR TO SB 680
> 7:49PM - SJPD FOUND BLOOD HOUND / INQ IF THEY RE NEEDED / PD STILL LL
> 7:46PM - 1039 TO RO S MOTHER, RO LEFT THIS AM IN VEH TO GO TO OAKLAND A
> S GAME

> 7:40PM - SCSO ADV D K9 IS NOT A TRACKING DOG / WILL BE ENRT TO ASSIST /
> ETA 5-10 MINS
> 7:40PM - 1039 SO TO HAVE K9 RESP TO LN 56
> 7:38PM - HAVE K9 RESP TO MCKEE ONR TO SB 101
> 7:38PM - NO LESS THAN 1/2 BLOCK SOUTH OF THE SCENE--PLAIN CLOTHES OFCRS
> WORKING ANOTHER PERIMETER NOT RELATED TO OUR SCENE PER A2
> 7:34PM - SO K9 ETA 10
> 7:33PM - LL FOR ETA
> 7:23PM - SJ AIR 2 IS 1097--WILL RESPOND TO THE MONARCH CENTER
> 7:19PM - PLS ROLL SJPD TO MONARCH TRUCK ON N 30TH ST
> 7:17PM - 1039 SJPD, ENRT
> 7:16PM - 24-110 IS ON THE MCKEE ONR TO SB 101
> 7:16PM - PLS ROLL SJPD FOR A PERIMETER
> 7:15PM - THEY MAY BE IN THE BUSHES--2 HMA S THREW A JACKET--DRK JEANS
> WHI T-SHIRT--THEY WERE RUNNING BACK UP THE ONR TOWARDS MCKEE--MONARCH
> TRUCK RENTALS
> 7:08PM - MCKEE WB ONR TO SB 101 UTL AND ON THE OFR UTL
> 7:03PM - PER RP UNK EXTENT ON DAMAGE TO VEH
> 7:01PM - 2 MALES WENT TOWARD ST JAMES AT 28TH AVE
> 7:01PM - HEARD LOUD BANG PER RP
> 7:00PM - GRY CHEV SUBU ON MCKEE OFR
> 6:59PM - UNK IF TC
>
> On Saturday, Dec 28, 2002, at 21:58 US/Pacific, Doug Owens wrote:
>
> > Chris,
> >
> > Thanks for the information.
> >
> > Bryce/Quinn and All,
> >
> > If my posts made it sound like either CHP or SJPD was doing a bad job
> > of
> > coordinating, the fault lies with me and my attempts to pass
> > information
> > almost as quickly as I was getting it. Both agencies seemed to be
> > dancing in lock step after the first few minutes.
> >
> > I don't know why the initial dog came from the S.O. I can only
> > speculate that there is a fair amount of unincorporated area in the
> > East
> > San Jose area and that this incident may have started in an
> > unincorporated area. The S.O. dog was not a bloodhound and the SJPD
> > dog
> > was.
> >
> > Doug
> >
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Christopher Handisides [mailto:landsknechte@hotmail.com]

> > Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 9:07 PM
> > To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: Re: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ
> >
> > I heard a mention of 20002 (misdemeanor hit & run), but I don't know
> if
> > that's the only want. Seems fairly involved for a misdemeanor want,
> > IMHO..
> >
> > Chris
> >   ----- Original Message -----
> >   From: Doug Owens
> >   To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> >   Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 8:34 PM
> >   Subject: RE: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ
> >
> >
> >   Saturday 8:33 p.m.
> >
> >   Search of yard near Julian St./28th St./St. James is underway by
> CHP
> > and
> >   SJPD.
> >
> >   CHP has one in custody and has impounded a vehicle for evidence.
> CHP
> >   and SJPD are checking the home of the registered owner of the
> > impounded
> >   vehicle.
> >
> >   SJ CHP 42.500 MHz no tone restricted.
> >   SJPD 5 460.400 MHz no tone Code 33.
> >
> >   Unknown what original want for subjects is. Anyone with further
> >   information?
> >
> >   Doug
> >
> >   -----Original Message-----
> >   From: Doug Owens [mailto:radiobuff@...]
> >   Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 8:04 PM
> >   To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> >   Subject: RE: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ
> >
> >   Saturday 8:02 p.m.
> >
> >   Search seems to be centered in the area of Julian St. and 28th in
> San
> >   Jose. CHP is active on 42.500 MHz, SJPD is active on SJPD 5
> 460.400

> > MHz
> > and Santa Clara County Sheriff dispatched a dog on 156.210.
> >
> > SJPD is overhead with Air 2 and has provided a bloodhound. It is
> > unclear how the CHP and San Jose incidents are related. Initially,
> > they
> > appeared to be separate.
> >
> > CHP has detained one subject so far. It sounds like CHP and SJPD
> > have
> > additional suspect information, possibly from ID left in a jacket
> > that
> > was left tangled in barbwire.
> >
> > Does anyone have any other information?
> >
> > Doug
> >
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Doug Owens [mailto:radiobuff@...]
> > Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 7:50 PM
> > To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: RE: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ
> >
> > Satuday 7:50 p.m.
> >
> > Apparently unrelated perimeter set up by SJPD on SJPD 5 460.400.
> > Both
> > CHP and SJPD are near a Monarch Rental Center on Julian.
> >
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Doug Owens [mailto:radiobuff@...]
> > Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 7:41 PM
> > To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: RE: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ
> >
> > Saturday 7:39 p.m.
> >
> > Dog is in route from SCCO Sheriff 156.210 MHz.
> >
> > Apparently subject left jacket when in got caught in barb wire
> fence.
> > May have been ID in jacket.
> >
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Doug Owens [mailto:radiobuff@...]
> > Sent: Saturday, December 28, 2002 7:29 PM
> > To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [bayscan] San Jose CHP is Code 33 for Search 42.50MHZ
> >

> >   Saturday 7:27 p.m. - San Jose CHP 42.50MHz is Code 33 for some sort
> > of
> >   search. There was a discussion about getting a dog from Santa
> Clara
> >   County Sheriff.
> >
> >   Doug
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >   Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> >   http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >   Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> >   http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >   Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> >   http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >   Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> >   http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
> >   Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
> >
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> > http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
> >
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

>
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

18061. Silent DEA freqs?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-31 09:00:43 UTC
From: Christopher Handisides
Topic: 18061 Message: 18061

I've noticed that over the last few months, the DEA frequencies in my scanner (all taken directly from Kelty's listings) have gone completely silent. Granted, even when I did hear activity, it tended to come and go in waves - but this seems to be a more substantial dry spell. I'm not asking for anyone to undermine national security here, but I am curious to know if anyone else has observations that agree/disagree with what I've seen.

--Chris

18066. RE: [bayscan] Update: Footchase Livermore TG 48/Chase

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-30 21:06:26 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 18065 Message: 18066

oh great love being next to the action =)

www.mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & central California

-----Original Message-----
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@...>
[mailto:copenhagen1971@...]
Sent: Thursday, January 02, 2003 1:04 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Update: Footchase Livermore TG 48/Chase

CHP canine now in route, Not sure of the original want, but its a
White Male, all black clothing, raiders jacket and beanie(and no
comments about us Raider fans!! lol) Livermore PD really want this
guy pretty bad, setting up a perimeter. Now evacuating some
buildings.1:05 Pm now(Thurs)

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

18070. RE: [bayscan] RE:Update Foot chase Livermore PD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-30 21:15:28 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 18067 Message: 18070

i got 48 on right now

www.mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & central California

-----Original Message-----
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@...>
[mailto:copenhagen1971@...]
Sent: Thursday, January 02, 2003 1:13 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] RE:Update Foot chase Livermore PD

Ben you only listen to Fire.. lol

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

18073. RE: [bayscan] RE:Update Foot chase Livermore PD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-30 21:49:32 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 18067 Message: 18073

code 4 subject in custody

www.mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & central California

-----Original Message-----
From: Ben kellogg [mailto:ben96cal@...]
Sent: Monday, December 30, 2002 1:15 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [bayscan] RE:Update Foot chase Livermore PD

i got 48 on right now

www.mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & central California

-----Original Message-----
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@...>
[mailto:copenhagen1971@...]
Sent: Thursday, January 02, 2003 1:13 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] RE:Update Foot chase Livermore PD

Ben you only listen to Fire.. lol

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

18074. RE: [bayscan] Update Livermore PD Footchase/search

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-30 21:50:11 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 18072 Message: 18074

got some pics from my loft of eagle overhead. h-30 cancelled also

www.mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & central California

-----Original Message-----
From: cowboymike1971 <copenhagen1971@...>
[mailto:copenhagen1971@...]
Sent: Thursday, January 02, 2003 1:48 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Update Livermore PD Footchase/search

Suspect in custody 10-15. K-9 did his job well!! Good job from EBRP
Eagle 5!!

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

18243. my 2 cents

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-05 18:22:40 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 18243 Message: 18243

This has been a wonderful group over the past couple years that i have been using it. Everyone has been very cordial and nice. Everyone's opinions have always been heard. all information has been shared. That's what made this group great. Stupid bickering and name calling is only going to make this group crash to the ground and make no one want to use it. That is whats starting to happen. We are all of legal age. with that i don't beleive any name calling should be thrown around. we're not in 6th grade. so staop acting like it.
Lets turn this group back into a very important scanning group for the bay area. if you don't have anything nice to say, don't say it, and bite your tongue.As long as we act like kids, the less professionalism we give to this group and scanning as a whole. The world is changing. i agree with the person who said if it sounds secret, don't post it.. no reason to be posting it...

Off my soap box

Ben

www.mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & central California

18245. feeds are back up

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-05 19:40:35 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 18245 Message: 18245

for those who listen to them. my feeds are now back up. had a router problem

www.mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & central California

18752. saw the 785 today...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-05 01:11:15 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 18752 Message: 18752

Went down and took a look at the new 785 and honestly i'm not really impressed. I thought it would pretty much be the 780 with 1000 channels. ITS NOT... The display on the lcd is bigger and the volume and vfo knobs are swapped. The button layout is also different. I attempted to enter in alco trs in it and i for the life of me couldn't figure ouit how to put it in trunk mode... got the freq, system type and all that in but couldn't get it to trunk scan. it isn't the same as the 780. ie. set up trunk bank info, enter in freq and press trunk and wait for little M or E to pop up and hit trunk.. nope its totally different.. arrrgg.. IMHO i'm happy just to pick up a couple more 780's for my shack at a lower price... Scan mode has a different look to it also.. very different than the 780...

Ben
Alameda County EMT

www.mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & central California

18754. RE: [bayscan] Re: [CENCALFIRE] saw the 785 today...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-05 03:00:17 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 18753 Message: 18754

yes it's the store i went to just got them in today...

Ben
Alameda County EMT

www.mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & central California

-----Original Message-----
From: Harry Marnell [mailto:marnell@...]
Sent: Thursday, January 30, 2003 6:34 PM
To: CENCALFIRE@yahoogroups.com; Bayscan (E-mail); Norcalonlinescanning
(E-mail)
Subject: [bayscan] Re: [CENCALFIRE] saw the 785 today...

Is it in the stores for sale??? Or ??

Harry

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ben kellogg" <bkellogg9@...>
To: "Bayscan (E-mail)" <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>; "Cencalfire (E-mail)"
<cencalfire@yahoogroups.com>; "Norcalonlinescanning (E-mail)"
<norcalonlinescanning@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2002 17:11
Subject: [CENCALFIRE] saw the 785 today...

> Went down and took a look at the new 785 and honestly i'm not really
> impressed. I thought it would pretty much be the 780 with 1000 channels.
ITS
> NOT... The display on the lcd is bigger and the volume and vfo knobs are
> swapped. The button layout is also different. I attempted to enter in
alco
> trs in it and i for the life of me couldn't figure ouit how to put it in
> trunk mode... got the freq, system type and all that in but couldn't get
it
> to trunk scan. it isn't the same as the 780. ie. set up trunk bank
info,
> enter in freq and press trunk and wait for little M or E to pop up and
hit
> trunk.. nope its totally different.. arrrgg.. IMHO i'm happy just to
pick
up
> a couple more 780's for my shack at a lower price... Scan mode has a
> different look to it also.. very different than the 780...
>
> Ben

> Alameda County EMT
>
> www.mattlogic.com
> Online scanning for Northern & central California
>
>
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> CENCALFIRE-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to
http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
>

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

18756. san jose fd..

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-05 02:43:09 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 18756 Message: 18756

When is s.j. switching to their new main freq? 155.025?

Ben
Alameda County EMT

www.mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & central California

18759. RE: [bayscan] saw the 785 today...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-05 03:14:32 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 18752 Message: 18759

it's not that the 785 isn't good.. i'm sure it is.. i was hoping that uniden
would clone the 780 and then add features, not change simple things. I
expected to walk up to to the 785 and be able to trunk program on the
fly..guess was bad expectation... i was more impressed with 250 then the
785, but would be very happy for my purposes having the 780...

Ben
Alameda County EMT

www.mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & central California

-----Original Message-----
From: Glists [mailto:lists@...]
Sent: Thursday, January 30, 2003 7:07 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] saw the 785 today...

It sounds like your problem is simply being unfamiliar with the radio
rather than the radio not being any good. Someone who doesn't own a 780
may
not have the same objections. Had someone not mentioned the GRE coming out
that will have the ASTRO decoder built in, I would have bought a 780 when
it came out.

I played with the 250D and am not very happy with that flimsy thumbwheel.
The one I was using was skipping badly. The radio was really thick as
well.

At 05:11 PM 12/4/2002, you wrote:
>Went down and took a look at the new 785 and honestly i'm not really
>impressed. I thought it would pretty much be the 780 with 1000 channels.
ITS
>NOT... The display on the lcd is bigger and the volume and vfo knobs are
>swapped. The button layout is also different. I attempted to enter in
alco
>trs in it and i for the life of me couldn't figure ouit how to put it in
>trunk mode... got the freq, system type and all that in but couldn't get
it
>to trunk scan. it isn't the same as the 780. ie. set up trunk bank info,
>enter in freq and press trunk and wait for little M or E to pop up and
hit
>trunk.. nope its totally different.. arrrgg.. IMHO i'm happy just to pick
up
>a couple more 780's for my shack at a lower price... Scan mode has a
>different look to it also.. very different than the 780...

>
>Ben
>Alameda County EMT
>
>www.mattlogic.com
>Online scanning for Northern & central California
>
>
>
>
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

19664. New Pics of antenna setup...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-04 20:09:20 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 19664 Message: 19664

I've snapped some new pics of my antenna setup. 3 steerable yagi's on a 15 foot mast and guided.. On a second mast 2 gold anodized (yagi material) uhf & vhf verticals on a 15 foot mast... Lemme know whatcha think...

Thanks

Ben Kellogg
Alameda County EMT
Calstar Support Services

www.Mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & Central California

20168. RE: [bayscan] HOMELAND SECURITY ALERT

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-06 00:20:31 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 20162 Message: 20168

I found it quite humorous.. not on topic but very humorous

Ben Kellogg
Alameda County EMT
Calstar Support Services

www.Mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & Central California

-----Original Message-----
From: Jeffrey [mailto:jeffrey@...]
Sent: Sunday, March 30, 2003 4:18 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] HOMELAND SECURITY ALERT

I fail to see the humor here
ditto for the reply!!!!

At 10:54 PM 3/29/2003, you wrote:
>From: Director, Homeland Security
>
>Date: Sat, 29 Mar 2003 12:59:41 EST
>
>Subject: Security Alert!
>
>To: All Security Personnel Subject: Security Alert
>
>DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY ALERT
>
>We've just been notified by Security that there have been 6 suspected
>terrorists working out of your office. Five of the six have been
>apprehended. Bin Sleepin, Bin Loafin, Bin Goofin, Bin Lunchin and Bin
>Drinkin have been taken into custody. Our agent advised us that they
could
>find no one fitting the description of the sixth cell member, Bin Workin,
at
>your office.
>
>Security is confident that anyone who looks like he's Bin Workin will be
>very easy to spot.
>
>You are obviously not a suspect at this time.
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT

><http://rd.yahoo.com/M=245454.2994396.4323964.1728375/D=egroupweb/S=1705063
108:HM/A=1457554/R=0/*http://ipunda.com/clk/beibunmaisuiyuiwabei>b5c72.jpg
>b5cd0.jpg
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

20170. antenna standoff brackets

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-06 01:29:21 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 20170 Message: 20170

Can anyone point to toward someone who carries antenna standoff bracket preferrable 6-12 inches in separation?

Thanks in advance...

Ben

Ben Kellogg
Alameda County EMT
Calstar Support Services

www.Mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & Central California

20171. new edition to the hillsborough fd fleet

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-06 03:02:01 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 20171 Message: 20171

http://www.piercemfg.com/new_deliveries/new_delivery.cfm?truck=460&type=nd

Ben Kellogg
Alameda County EMT
Calstar Support Services

www.Mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & Central California

20403. RE: [bayscan] Re: 153.995 in South Bay?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2002-12)
Date: 2002-12-06 03:03:24 UTC
From: Ben kellogg
Topic: 20384 Message: 20403

I thought they were still using heir 46.xx freq? simulcasting?

Ben Kellogg
Alameda County EMT
Calstar Support Services

www.Mattlogic.com
Online scanning for Northern & Central California

-----Original Message-----
From: kma371 [mailto:ke6les@...]
Sent: Monday, April 14, 2003 5:33 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Re: 153.995 in South Bay?

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> I am pretty sure its a channel with San Ramon Valley Fire Pro.
District
> can't find confirmation, but I think it them . (Engines 30-39 ?)
> Ed

153.995 pl 100.0 is San Ramon Valley Fire's new (well not
really "new" been in use about 6 months now) VHF primary frequency.

Bill

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]